

## LAGRANGIAN PAIRS IN HILBERT SPACES

ADRIAN SANDOVICI

ABSTRACT. Weakly Lagrangian pairs and Lagrangian pairs in a pair of Hilbert spaces  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  are defined. The weakly Lagrangian pair and Lagrangian pair extensions in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$  of a given weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  are characterized and those extensions which are operators are identified. A description of all Lagrangian pair extensions in a larger pair of Hilbert spaces  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$  of a given weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  is also given.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be two (not necessarily densely defined) operators in a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  and assume that  $U$  is a unitary operator in  $\mathfrak{H}$  which commutes with  $A_1$  and that  $A_2$  is a restriction of the (linear) relation  $U^*A_1^*$ . Using the language of (linear) relations this assumption can be written as

$$UA_1 = A_1U, \quad A_2 \subset U^*A_1^*,$$

where the operator and its graph are identified. Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be two operators from (the graph of)  $A_1$  to (the graph of)  $A_2^*$  and from (the graph of)  $A_2$  to (the graph of)  $A_1^*$ , which are defined as follows

$$V_1(x, A_1x) = (x, UA_1x), \quad V_2(y, A_2y) = (y, UA_2y), \quad x \in \text{dom } A_1, \quad y \in \text{dom } A_2,$$

so that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isometric operators.

The above situation can be described in a general scheme using the concept of *weakly Lagrangian pair in a pair of Hilbert spaces*. This concept is the subject of the paper and is introduced as follows. Let  $\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2$  be two Hilbert spaces and let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be two relations from  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  to  $\mathfrak{H}_2$  and from  $\mathfrak{H}_2$  to  $\mathfrak{H}_1$ , respectively. The pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  is said to be a *weakly dual pair* in the pair of Hilbert spaces  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ , if the following inclusions hold

$$(1.1) \quad \text{dom } A_1 \subseteq \text{dom } A_2^* \quad \text{and} \quad \text{dom } A_2 \subseteq \text{dom } A_1^*.$$

The pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  is said to be a *dual pair* if  $A_1 \subseteq A_2^*$ , cf. [13, 14]. Furthermore, a weakly dual pair is said to be a *weakly Lagrangian pair* if there are two isometries,  $V_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A_2^*$  and  $V_2 : A_2 \rightarrow A_1^*$  of the form

$$V_1(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x'_2), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in A_1, \quad \|x_2\|_2 = \|x'_2\|_2,$$

and

$$V_2(y_2, y_1) = (y_2, y'_1), \quad (y_2, y_1) \in A_2, \quad \|y_1\|_1 = \|y'_1\|_1.$$

By definition, a *Lagrangian pair* is a weakly Lagrangian pair with

$$(1.2) \quad \text{dom } A_1 = \text{dom } A_2^* \quad \text{and} \quad \text{dom } A_2 = \text{dom } A_1^*,$$

and whose isometries  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are from  $A_1$  onto  $A_2^*$  and from  $A_2$  onto  $A_1^*$ , so that

$$V_1A_1 = A_2^* \quad \text{and} \quad V_2A_2 = A_1^*.$$

When  $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H}_2$  and  $A_1 = A_2$ , a weakly Lagrangian pair becomes a *formally normal relation*, a notion which has been introduced by E. A. Coddington in [5]. Clearly, dual

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A06; Secondary 47A05, 47B20.

*Key words and phrases*. Weakly Lagrangian pair, Lagrangian pair, Hilbert space.

pairs and formally normal subspaces are particular cases of weakly Lagrangian pairs. Therefore, a densely defined formally normal operator  $N$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  gives the weakly Lagrangian pair  $(N, N)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H})$  with the isometries  $V_1 = V_2 =: V$  given by

$$V(x, Nx) = (x, N^*x), \quad x \in \text{dom } N,$$

cf. [5]. Furthermore, a normal operator  $\tilde{N}$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  determines the Lagrangian pair  $(\tilde{N}, \tilde{N})$ , cf. [3, 5].

Recently, the theory of dual pairs in Hilbert spaces has been developed by M. M. Malamud and V. I. Mogilevskii (see [13, 14]). Their treatment is mainly based on the concepts of boundary triplets and the Krein formula. In the present paper the duality of two relations in Hilbert spaces is reconsidered in order to study both dual pairs and formally normal subspaces. Some algebraic descriptions of weakly Lagrangian pairs and Lagrangian pairs extensions of a given weakly Lagrangian pair are proposed. The main results of this paper are parallel with the ones in [5] and complete the theory of dual pair of relations proposed by Malamud and Mogilevskii.

The so-called Dirac structure on a linear space has been introduced by T. J. Courant in [6], and both geometrical and functional analysis approaches on finite-dimensional differentiable manifolds or on Hilbert spaces have been developed, cf. [7, 16, 17, 18]. In fact a Dirac structure is a relation in a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}$  such that  $A = -A^*$ , so that it can be viewed as a particular Lagrangian pair. An approach to joint semi-normality based on the theory of Dirac and Laplace operators on a Dirac vector bundle has been developed in [15]. Using the concepts introduced in this paper, the theories proposed in the above mentioned references might be extended in order to develop new theories in geometry, physics and engineering.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 some general facts concerning relations from a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  to a Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}_2$  are presented. In Section 3 the notions of weakly Lagrangian pair and Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  are analyzed. Section 4 gives a complete description of all weakly Lagrangian pairs and Lagrangian pairs extensions in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  of a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ . Those extensions which are (graphs of) operators are explicitly characterized. This result contains the description of all Lagrangian pair extensions of a given densely defined weakly Lagrangian pair. Section 5 is devoted to a study of the weakly Lagrangian pair extensions in larger Hilbert spaces. In particular, Proposition 5.3 shows that a weakly Lagrangian pair need not have Lagrangian pair extensions in any larger pair of Hilbert spaces. The description of the possible Lagrangian pair extensions in a larger pair of Hilbert spaces of a weakly Lagrangian pair is also given. Finally, the last section contains an example of a weakly Lagrangian pair of differential operators.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathfrak{H}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be two Hilbert spaces with the inner products denoted by  $[\cdot, \cdot]_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and with the corresponding norms denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , respectively. A typical element of the Cartesian product  $\mathfrak{H}_1 \times \mathfrak{H}_2$  is an ordered pair  $(f_1, f_2)$ ,  $f_i \in \mathfrak{H}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . A relation  $A$  from  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  to  $\mathfrak{H}_2$ , is by definition the linear subspace  $A$  of the Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}_1 \times \mathfrak{H}_2$ . The *domain* and the *kernel* of  $A$  are linear subspaces of  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  which are denoted by  $\text{dom } A$  and  $\ker A$ , and are defined by

$$\text{dom } A := \{f_1 : (f_1, f_2) \in A\}, \quad \ker A := \{f_1 : (f_1, 0) \in A\},$$

while the *range* and the *multivalued part* of  $A$  are linear subspaces of  $\mathfrak{H}_2$  which are denoted by  $\text{ran } A$  and  $\text{mul } A$ , and are defined by

$$\text{ran } A := \{f_2 : (f_1, f_2) \in A\}, \quad \text{mul } A := \{f_2 : (0, f_2) \in A\}.$$

A relation  $A$  is (the graph of) an operator precisely when  $\text{mul } A = \{0\}$ . The inverse of  $A$ , is the relation  $A^{-1}$  from  $\mathfrak{H}_2$  to  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  defined by

$$A^{-1} = \{(f_2, f_1) : (f_1, f_2) \in A\}.$$

Clearly,  $\text{dom } A^{-1} = \text{ran } A$  and  $\text{ker } A^{-1} = \text{mul } A$ .

A relation  $A$  is closed if it is closed as a subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}_1 \times \mathfrak{H}_2$ , in which case  $\text{ker } A$  and  $\text{mul } A$  are closed subspaces of  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_2$ , respectively. The adjoint  $A^*$  of a relation  $A$  is the closed relation given by

$$A^* = \{(f_2, f_1) \in \mathfrak{H}_2 \times \mathfrak{H}_1 : \langle (f_2, f_1), (g_1, g_2) \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } (g_1, g_2) \in A\},$$

where

$$\langle (f_2, f_1), (g_1, g_2) \rangle = [f_1, g_1]_1 - [f_2, g_2]_2,$$

with  $(f_1, f_2), (g_1, g_2) \in \mathfrak{H}_1 \times \mathfrak{H}_2$ .

An important tool in the theory of relations in Hilbert spaces is the operator  $J_{12}$  defined on all of  $\mathfrak{H}_1 \times \mathfrak{H}_2$  into  $\mathfrak{H}_2 \times \mathfrak{H}_1$  as follows

$$J_{12}(f_1, f_2) = (f_2, -f_1), \quad (f_1, f_2) \in \mathfrak{H}_1 \times \mathfrak{H}_2.$$

Similarly, the operator  $J_{21}$  can be defined on all of  $\mathfrak{H}_2 \times \mathfrak{H}_1$  into  $\mathfrak{H}_1 \times \mathfrak{H}_2$ , so that  $J_{21}J_{12} = -I_{\mathfrak{H}_1 \times \mathfrak{H}_2}$  and  $J_{12}J_{21} = -I_{\mathfrak{H}_2 \times \mathfrak{H}_1}$ . Furthermore, if  $A$  is a relation from  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  to  $\mathfrak{H}_2$ , then it is easily checked that

$$A^* = (\mathfrak{H}_2 \times \mathfrak{H}_1) \ominus (J_{12}A) = (J_{12}A)^\perp = J_{12}(A^\perp).$$

Finally, if  $A \subset B$  are two closed relations from  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  to  $\mathfrak{H}_2$  then

$$(2.1) \quad J_{12}(B \ominus A) = A^* \ominus B^*.$$

Let  $A$  be a closed relation from  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  to  $\mathfrak{H}_2$ . Define the closed relation  $A_\infty$  to be the set of all elements of the form  $(0, f_2)$  in  $A$ , and let  $A_s := A \ominus A_\infty$ . Then  $A_s$  is a closed operator from  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  to  $\mathfrak{H}_2$  with  $\text{dom } A_s = \text{dom } A$ . The following result describes some simple facts about  $A_s$  and  $A_\infty$ , which were noted for instance in [1].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{H}_i, i = 1, 2$  be two Hilbert spaces. If  $A$  is a closed relation from  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  to  $\mathfrak{H}_2$ , then*

- (i)  $\text{mul } A = (\text{dom } A^*)^\perp$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{dom } A_s = \text{dom } A$  is dense in  $(\text{mul } A^*)^\perp$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{ran } A_s \subset (\text{mul } A)^\perp$ .

An object is said to be maximal if it is maximal with respect to the operation of inclusion of sets in the class of sets in which it is included. The formal identification of an operator with its graph is implicitly assumed, so that an operator is viewed as a relation and a maximal object will be maximal in the sense of relations. Throughout the paper, assume that the relations (operators) which are involved are closed.

### 3. WEAKLY LAGRANGIAN PAIRS IN HILBERT SPACES

Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ . Define the relations  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  as follows

$$B_1 := V_2 A_2, \quad B_2 := V_1 A_1.$$

Then  $\text{dom } B_1 = \text{dom } A_2$ ,  $\text{dom } B_2 = \text{dom } A_1$ , and  $V_1, V_2$  take  $A_1$  onto  $B_2$  and  $A_2$  onto  $B_1$ , respectively, in a one to one way. Since  $B_i \subseteq A_i^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , it follows that  $A_i \subseteq B_i^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , which implies

$$(3.1) \quad \text{dom } B_1 = \text{dom } A_2 \subset \text{dom } A_1^*, \quad \text{dom } B_2 = \text{dom } A_1 \subset \text{dom } A_2^*.$$

Thus  $(B_1, B_2)$  can be viewed as a weakly Lagrangian pair with isometries  $V_2^{-1}$  and  $V_1^{-1}$ , respectively. Next, a simple non-trivial example is stated.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_2$  be two unitarily equivalent Hilbert spaces and let  $\mathfrak{H} := \mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_2$  be their orthogonal sum. Assume that  $A_{11} : \mathfrak{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_1$  and  $A_{21} : \mathfrak{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_2$  are two bounded everywhere defined operators. Furthermore, consider  $U, V, W$  and  $T$  four unitary operators from  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  to  $\mathfrak{H}_2$ . Define on  $\mathfrak{H}$  the following two (non-densely defined) operators by

$$(3.2) \quad A_1 := \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} \\ A_{21} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 := \begin{pmatrix} A_{21}^* U \\ V A_{11}^* \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $\text{dom } A_1 = \text{dom } A_2 = \mathfrak{H}_1$ . Furthermore, their adjoints are two (proper) linear relations in  $\mathfrak{H}$  given by

$$(3.3) \quad A_1^* = \left\{ \left( \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^* h_1 + A_{21}^* h_2 \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \right) : h_1 \in \mathfrak{H}_1, h_2, \beta \in \mathfrak{H}_2 \right\},$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad A_2^* = \left\{ \left( \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} U^{-1} A_{21} h_1 + A_{11} V^{-1} h_2 \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \right) : h_1 \in \mathfrak{H}_1, h_2, \beta \in \mathfrak{H}_2 \right\}.$$

Clearly,  $\text{dom } A_1^* = \text{dom } A_2^* = \mathfrak{H}$  and  $\text{mul } A_1^* = \text{mul } A_2^* = \mathfrak{H}_2$ . Define the linear operator  $V_1$  from  $A_1$  to  $A_2^*$  by

$$V_1 \left( \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} h_1 \\ A_{21} h_1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} U^{-1} A_{21} h_1 \\ T A_{11} h_1 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \text{for all } h_1 \in \mathfrak{H}_1,$$

and the linear operator  $V_2$  from  $A_2$  to  $A_1^*$  by

$$V_2 \left( \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A_{21}^* U h_1 \\ V A_{11}^* h_1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^* h_1 \\ W A_{21}^* U h_1 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \text{for all } h_1 \in \mathfrak{H}_1.$$

It is easily seen that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are two isometries and that  $\text{dom } A_1 \subset \text{dom } A_2^*$ , and  $\text{dom } A_2 \subset \text{dom } A_1^*$ , so that the pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H})$  which, in general, is not reducible neither to a dual pair nor to a formally normal relation as the next result shows.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be the linear operators given by (3.2).*

- (i) *The pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  is reducible to a dual pair if and only if  $A_{21} = U A_{11}$ ;*
- (ii) *The pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  is reducible to a formally normal relation if and only if  $A_{21} = U A_{11}^* = V A_{11}^*$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Clearly,  $(A_1, A_2)$  is reducible to a dual pair if and only if  $A_1 \subset A_2^*$ , equivalently  $A_{21} = U A_{11}$ .

(ii) Furthermore,  $(A_1, A_2)$  is reducible to a formally normal relation if and only if  $A_1 = A_2$ , equivalently  $A_{21} = U A_{11}^* = V A_{11}^*$ .  $\square$

A deep study of this example, involving the notion of boundary triplets adapted to the case of weakly Lagrangian pairs, will be done elsewhere.

In the paper  $i$  and  $j$  are mainly used in order to denote the indices of the Hilbert spaces  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_2$ , and the indices of the relations  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . They run from 1 to 2, such that  $i \neq j$ , and, this fact is not mentioned whenever it is obvious.

The following result shows the behavior of a weakly Lagrangian pair with respect to the decomposition of a relation in its operator part and its multivalued part.

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $(A_1, A_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ , then*

$$(3.5) \quad V_1 (A_1)_s = (V_1 A_1)_s = (B_2)_s, \quad V_2 (A_2)_s = (V_2 A_2)_s = (B_1)_s,$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad V_1 (A_1)_\infty = (V_1 A_1)_\infty = (B_2)_\infty, \quad V_2 (A_2)_\infty = (V_2 A_2)_\infty = (B_1)_\infty.$$

*Proof.* Since  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are closed relations it follows that  $A_i = (A_i)_s \oplus (A_i)_\infty$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . As it can be easily verified,  $V_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , preserve the inner products, so that (3.5) and (3.6) follow.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  with the isometries  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Then*

- (i)  $(A_1, A_2)$  is a Lagrangian pair if and only if  $A_i = B_i^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .
- (ii) If  $A_{0i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are closed subspaces of  $A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $(A_{01}, A_{02})$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair with the isometries  $V_{0i} := V_i \upharpoonright A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .
- (iii)  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are both operators if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions are verified:
  - (a)  $B_2$  and  $B_1$  are both operators;
  - (b)  $\text{dom } A_2^*$  and  $\text{dom } A_1^*$  are dense in  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_2$ , respectively;
  - (c)  $\text{dom } B_1^*$  and  $\text{dom } B_2^*$  are dense in  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_2$ , respectively.
- (iv)  $A_2^*$  and  $A_1^*$  are both operators if and only if one of the following equivalent conditions are verified:
  - (a)  $B_1^*$  and  $B_2^*$  are both operators;
  - (b)  $\text{dom } A_1$  and  $\text{dom } A_2$  are dense in  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_2$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Let  $i, j = 1, 2$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Clearly,  $\{A_1, A_2\}$  is a Lagrangian pair if and only if  $B_i = A_i^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then, it is a Lagrangian pair if and only if  $A_i = B_i^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , which means that (i) holds. If  $A_{0i} \subseteq A_i$ , then  $A_i^* \subseteq A_{0i}^*$ , and

$$V_i A_{0i} = B_{0j} \subseteq V_i A_i = B_j \subseteq A_j^* \subseteq A_{0j}^*.$$

Thus  $\text{dom } A_{0i} = \text{dom } B_{0j} \subseteq \text{dom } A_j^*$ , and  $V_{0i}$  are isometries of  $A_{0i}$  onto  $V_{0i} A_{0i} = B_{0j} \subseteq A_{0j}^*$ , so that (ii) follows. Furthermore,  $\{0, y_j\} \in A_i$  if and only if  $V_i \{0, y_j\} = \{0, y'_j\} \in B_j$  for some  $y'_j$  such that  $\|y_j\|_j = \|y'_j\|_j$ . Thus  $(\text{dom } A_i^*)^\perp = \text{mul } A_i = \{0\}$  if and only if  $(\text{dom } B_j^*)^\perp = \text{mul } B_j = \{0\}$ , which leads to (iii). Finally,

$$\text{mul } A_i^* = (\text{dom } A_i)^\perp = \text{mul } B_j^*.$$

Then  $A_2^*$  and  $A_1^*$  are both operators if and only if  $B_1^*$  and  $B_2^*$  are both operators, or, equivalently,  $\text{dom } A_1 = \text{dom } B_2$  and  $\text{dom } A_2 = \text{dom } B_1$  are dense in  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_2$ , respectively. The proof is now complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ . Then both*

$$((A_1)_s, (A_2)_s) \quad \text{and} \quad ((A_1)_\infty, (A_2)_\infty)$$

*are weakly Lagrangian pairs in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ .*

*Proof.* A simple application of Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.4 leads to the statement of this result.  $\square$

Let  $\mathfrak{K}_i := (\text{mul } A_i)^\perp$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $\text{dom } (A_i)_s$  is dense in  $(\text{mul } A_i^*)^\perp$  and  $\text{ran } (A_i)_s \subseteq (\text{mul } A_i)^\perp$  it follows that

$$(3.7) \quad (A_i)_s \subseteq (\text{mul } A_i^*)^\perp \times (\text{mul } A_i)^\perp,$$

and similarly

$$(3.8) \quad (A_i^*)_s \subseteq (\text{mul } A_i)^\perp \times (\text{mul } A_i^*)^\perp.$$

The relation  $\text{dom } A_i \subseteq \text{dom } A_j^*$  leads to

$$(3.9) \quad (\text{mul } A_i^*)^\perp \subseteq (\text{mul } A_j)^\perp.$$

It is easily seen from (3.7)-(3.9), that

$$(A_i)_s \subseteq \mathfrak{K}_j \times \mathfrak{K}_i, \quad (A_i^*)_s \subseteq \mathfrak{K}_i \times \mathfrak{K}_j.$$

Denote  $(A_i)_\sigma := (A_i)_s \cap (\mathfrak{K}_j \times \mathfrak{K}_i)$ . A natural question is when  $((A_1)_\sigma, (A_2)_\sigma)$  is weakly Lagrangian in  $(\mathfrak{K}_2, \mathfrak{K}_1)$ . The key of the answer is given by  $(A_i)_\sigma^\otimes$ , which denotes the adjoint of  $(A_i)_s$ , viewed as a relation from  $\mathfrak{K}_i$  to  $\mathfrak{K}_j$ . The next result gives an “estimation” of  $(A_i)_\sigma^\otimes$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *If  $(A_1, A_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  then*

$$(3.10) \quad (A_i^*)_s \subseteq (A_i)_\sigma^\otimes \subseteq A_i^*.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y) \in (A_i^*)_s \subseteq A_i^*$ . Then,  $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{K}_i \times \mathfrak{K}_j$  and

$$(3.11) \quad \langle (x, y), (a, b) \rangle = 0,$$

for all  $(a, b) \in A_i$ . In particular this is true for all  $(a, b) \in (A_i)_\sigma$ . Thus  $(x, y) \in (A_i)_\sigma^\otimes$ , and the first inclusion is established. Assume now that  $(x, y) \in (A_i)_\sigma^\otimes$ . Then  $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{K}_i \times \mathfrak{K}_j$  and (3.11) holds for all  $(a, b) \in (A_i)_\sigma$ . Each  $(a, b) \in A_i$  can be written as

$$(3.12) \quad (a, b) = (a, b_1) + (0, b_2),$$

with  $(a, b_1) \in (A_i)_\sigma$ , and  $(0, b_2) \in (A_i)_\infty$ . Then clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (x, y), (a, b) \rangle &= [y, a]_{\mathfrak{K}_j} - [x, b_1]_{\mathfrak{K}_i} - [x, b_2]_{\mathfrak{K}_i} \\ &= [y, a]_{\mathfrak{K}_j} - [x, b_1]_{\mathfrak{K}_i} \\ &= \langle (x, y), (a, b_1) \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(a, b) \in A_i$ , which implies that  $(x, y) \in A_i^*$ , concluding the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

*Remark 3.7.* A direct consequence of Lemma 3.6 is that  $\text{dom}(A_i)_\sigma \subseteq \text{dom}(A_j)_\sigma^\otimes$  since

$$(3.13) \quad \text{dom}(A_i)_\sigma = \text{dom}(A_i)_s = \text{dom} A_i \subseteq \text{dom} A_j^* = \text{dom}(A_j^*)_s \subseteq \text{dom}(A_j)_\sigma^\otimes.$$

Moreover, there exists a natural isometry for  $(A_i)_\sigma$ : it is the isometry  $V_i$  restricted to  $(A_i)_\sigma$ , so that

$$V_i(A_i)_\sigma = V_i(A_i)_s = (B_j)_s.$$

Since  $(B_j)_s \subseteq A_j^*$ , it is known that if  $(x, y) \in (B_j)_s$  then  $\langle (x, y), (a, b) \rangle = 0$  for all  $(a, b) \in (A_i)_\sigma$ . However, the inclusion  $V_i(A_i)_\sigma \subseteq (A_j)_\sigma^\otimes$  does not necessarily hold; although  $x \in \text{dom} A_i \in \mathfrak{K}_j$ , it is not known that  $y \in \mathfrak{K}_i$ . No such problem arises in the case of a Lagrangian pair, as the following result shows.

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ . Then*

- (i)  $(A_j)_\infty = (A_i^*)_s$ ;
- (ii)  $((A_1)_\sigma, (A_2)_\sigma)$  is a Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{K}_2, \mathfrak{K}_1)$ , whose components are densely defined operators. Moreover,

$$(A_i)_\sigma^\otimes = (A_i^*)_s.$$

*Proof.* Clearly,

$$\text{mul} A_j^* = (\text{dom} A_j)^\perp = (\text{dom} A_i^*)^\perp = \text{mul} A_i,$$

which gives (i). Also,  $\text{dom}(A_i)_\sigma = \text{dom} A_i = \text{dom} A_j^*$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{K}_i$ , and (3.13) now implies that  $\text{dom}(A_i)_\sigma = \text{dom}(A_j)_\sigma^\otimes$ . From Lemma 3.6 it is known that

$$(3.14) \quad (A_i^*)_s \subseteq (A_i)_\sigma^\otimes.$$

Furthermore, the following two relations

$$(A_i)_\sigma^\otimes \subseteq ((A_i^*)_s \oplus (A_i^*)_s) \cap (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \mathfrak{K}_j),$$

and

$$(A_i^*)_s = (A_j)_\infty,$$

imply that  $(A_i^*)_s$  is orthogonal to  $\mathfrak{K}_i \times \mathfrak{K}_j$ , and

$$(3.15) \quad (A_i)_\sigma^\otimes \subseteq (A_i^*)_s \cap (\mathfrak{K}_i \times \mathfrak{K}_j) = (A_i^*)_s.$$

Now, the relations (3.14) and (3.15) lead to the identity  $(A_i)_\sigma^\otimes = (A_i^*)_s$ . The isometry  $(V_i)_\sigma$  for  $(A_i)_\sigma$ , defined by

$$(V_i)_\sigma = V_i \upharpoonright (A_i)_\sigma = V_i \upharpoonright (A_i)_s,$$

is such that

$$V_i(A_i)_\sigma = V_i(A_i)_s = (A_j^*)_s = (A_j)_\sigma^\otimes.$$

Therefore  $(V_i)_\sigma$  is an isometry of  $(A_i)_\sigma$  onto  $(A_j)_\sigma^\otimes$ . The proof is now complete.  $\square$

## 4. LAGRANGIAN EXTENSIONS OF A WEAKLY LAGRANGIAN PAIR

Assume that  $(A_1, A_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  and let  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$ . It is the purpose of this section to give an algebraic characterization of all such extensions. If  $\tilde{V}_1$  and  $\tilde{V}_2$  are the isometries for  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$ , then the isometries  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  for  $(A_1, A_2)$  are  $V_i = \tilde{V}_i \upharpoonright A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . The following relation

$$B_j = V_i A_i = \tilde{V}_i A_i \subseteq \tilde{V}_i \tilde{A}_i = \tilde{B}_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad i \neq j,$$

holds, and thus

$$A_i \subseteq \tilde{A}_i \subseteq \tilde{B}_i^* \subseteq B_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

In particular,

$$A_i \subseteq \tilde{A}_i \subseteq B_i^*, \quad B_i \subseteq \tilde{A}_i^* \subseteq A_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

This implies that  $\tilde{A}_i = A_i \oplus C_i$ , where  $C_i := \tilde{A}_i \ominus A_i$  is a subspace of  $B_i^*$ , which added orthogonally to  $A_i$ , give rise to weakly Lagrangian extension  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  of  $(A_1, A_2)$ . The next result gives a purely algebraic characterization of all weakly Lagrangian pair extensions of a weakly Lagrangian pair.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  with the isometries  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , and let  $X_i := B_i^* \ominus A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  with the isometries  $\tilde{V}_1$  and  $\tilde{V}_2$  if and only if the following items are valid:*

- (i)  $X_i = X_{1i} \oplus X_{2i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- (ii)  $\tilde{A}_i = A_i \oplus X_{1i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{dom } X_{1i} \subseteq \text{dom } (J_{ji} X_{2j})$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ ,  $i \neq j$ ;
- (iv)  $\tilde{V}_i = V_i \oplus V'_i$ , where  $V'_i$  is an isometry of  $X_{1i}$  into  $J_{ji} X_{2j}$  of the form

$$V'_i(\varphi, \psi) = (\varphi, \psi'), \quad \|\psi\|_j = \|\psi'\|_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad i \neq j.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $(A_1, A_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair with the isometries  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  and  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair extension with the isometries  $\tilde{V}_1$  and  $\tilde{V}_2$ , respectively. Thus  $V_i = \tilde{V}_i \upharpoonright A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Clearly, with  $X_{1i} := \tilde{A}_i \ominus A_i$  and  $X_{2i} := B_i^* \ominus \tilde{A}_i$ , the items (i) and (ii) are valid. Moreover, for  $i, j = 1, 2$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_i X_{1i} &= \tilde{V}_i(\tilde{A}_i \ominus A_i) = \tilde{V}_i \tilde{A}_i \ominus \tilde{V}_i A_i \\ &= \tilde{V}_i \tilde{A}_i \ominus V_i A_i = \tilde{B}_j \ominus B_j \\ &\subseteq \tilde{A}_j^* \ominus B_j = J_{ji}(B_j^* \ominus \tilde{A}_j) = J_{ji} X_{2j}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\text{dom } X_{1i} = \text{dom } \tilde{V}_i X_{1i} \subseteq \text{dom } J_{ji} X_{2j}$ , proving (iii). If  $V'_i := \tilde{V}_i \upharpoonright X_{1i}$  then clearly  $V'_i$  satisfies the conditions in (iv).

For the converse implication, assume that  $X_i$  can be decomposed as an orthogonal sum as in (i), and  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is defined by (ii), with  $X_{1i}$  and  $X_{2i}$  satisfying (iii) and (iv). It will be shown that  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$ . Clearly,  $A_i \subseteq \tilde{A}_i \subseteq B_i^*$  and consequently  $B_i \subseteq \tilde{A}_i^* \subseteq A_i^*$ . Moreover,  $X_{2i} = B_i^* \ominus \tilde{A}_i = X_i \ominus X_{1i}$  and using (2.1) it follows that  $\tilde{A}_i^* = B_i \oplus J_{ij} X_{2i}$ . By (iii), the relations  $\text{dom } A_i = \text{dom } B_j$  and  $\text{dom } X_{1i} \subseteq \text{dom } J_{ji} X_{2j}$  lead to

$$\text{dom } \tilde{A}_i = \text{dom } A_i + \text{dom } X_{1i} \subseteq \text{dom } B_j + \text{dom } J_{ji} X_{2j} = \text{dom } \tilde{A}_j^*,$$

where the sums are algebraic ones. Consequently,  $\text{dom } \tilde{A}_i \subseteq \text{dom } \tilde{A}_j^*$ . Now  $\tilde{V}_i := V_i \oplus V'_i$  maps  $\tilde{A}_i$  isometrically into  $\tilde{A}_j^*$  in the prescribed manner, and thus  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a weakly

Lagrangian pair with isometries  $\tilde{V}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and, since  $V_i = \tilde{V}_i \upharpoonright A_i$  it follows that  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$ .  $\square$

The next result describes those weakly Lagrangian pair extensions  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  of a weakly Lagrangian pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  which are Lagrangian pairs.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  with the isometries  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  and let  $X_i := B_i^* \ominus A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  with the isometries  $\tilde{V}_1$  and  $\tilde{V}_2$  if and only if the following items are valid:*

- (i)  $X_i = X_{1i} \oplus X_{2i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- (ii)  $\tilde{A}_i = A_i \oplus X_{1i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{dom } X_{1i} = \text{dom } (J_{ji}X_{2j})$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ ,  $i \neq j$ ;
- (iv)  $\tilde{V}_i = V_i \oplus V'_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , where  $V'_i$  is an isometry of  $X_{1i}$  onto  $J_{ji}X_{2j}$  of the form

$$V'_i(\varphi, \psi) = \{\varphi, \psi'\}, \quad \|\psi\|_j = \|\psi'\|_j.$$

*Proof.* Assume  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$ . Then (i) and (ii) are valid due to Theorem 4.1. Since  $\tilde{V}_i \tilde{A}_i = \tilde{B}_j = \tilde{A}_j^*$  it follows that  $\tilde{V}_i X_{1i} = \tilde{A}_j^* \ominus B_j = J_{ji}X_{2j}$ , and thus (iii) and (iv) hold true.

Conversely, assume that  $(A_1, A_2)$  is weakly Lagrangian with some  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  satisfying (i)-(iv). It is known from Theorem 4.1 that  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$  with

$$\tilde{A}_i = A_i \oplus X_{1i}, \quad \tilde{A}_i^* = B_i \oplus J_{ij}X_{2i}.$$

Then  $\text{dom } \tilde{A}_i = \text{dom } \tilde{A}_i^*$ , since

$$\text{dom } \tilde{A}_i = \text{dom } A_i + \text{dom } X_{1i} = \text{dom } B_j + \text{dom } J_{ji}X_{2j} = \text{dom } \tilde{A}_j^*.$$

Moreover,  $\tilde{V}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , give the desired isometries of  $\tilde{A}_i$  onto  $\tilde{A}_j^*$  and thus  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$ .  $\square$

Theorem 4.2 differs from Theorem 4.1 in that equality occurs in (iii) and  $V'_i$  is now onto  $J_{ji}X_{2j}$ . The condition (iv) implies that  $\dim X_{1i} = \dim X_{2j}$ . Moreover, it is possible to specify those extensions  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  of  $(A_1, A_2)$  in Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 which are operators. The following result is useful in this respect.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $A$  and  $\tilde{A}$  be relations from  $\mathfrak{H}_1$  to  $\mathfrak{H}_2$  and let  $B$  be a relation from  $\mathfrak{H}_2$  to  $\mathfrak{H}_1$ , such that  $A \subset \tilde{A} \subset B^*$ . Denote*

$$X_1 := \tilde{A} \ominus A, \quad X_2 := B^* \ominus \tilde{A}, \quad X := X_1 \oplus X_2,$$

and

$$X' := P_X(B^*)_\infty,$$

where  $P_X$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathfrak{H}_1 \times \mathfrak{H}_2$  onto  $X$ . Then  $\tilde{A}$  is an operator if and only if the following two items are satisfied:

- (i)  $A$  is an operator ;
- (ii)  $X_1 \cap X' = \{(0, 0)\}$  .

*Proof.* It is easily seen that

$$\text{mul } \tilde{A} = \text{mul } B^* \cap (\text{dom } J_{12}X_2)^\perp,$$

so that the conclusion follows.  $\square$



**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ , and let  $X_i = B_i^* \ominus A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Assume that  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  with  $\tilde{A}_i = A_i \oplus X_{1i}$ ,  $X_{1i} \subset X_i$ . Then  $\tilde{A}_1$  and  $\tilde{A}_2$  are both operators if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are both operators;
- (ii)  $X_{1i} \cap X'_i = \{(0, 0)\}$ , where  $X'_i := P_{X_i}(B_i^*)_\infty$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 4.3 successively with  $A := A_i$ ,  $\tilde{A} := \tilde{A}_i$  and  $B := B_i$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** *If  $(A_1, A_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  with  $\text{dom } A_i$  dense in  $\mathfrak{H}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $(A_1, A_2)$  and every weakly Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  are pairs of operators.*

*Proof.* Condition (ii) in Theorem 3.4 is trivially satisfied if  $B_i^*$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are operators. From Theorem 3.4, this is the case if and only if  $\text{dom } A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are dense in  $\mathfrak{H}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .  $\square$

A weakly Lagrangian pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  is said to be a *weakly Lagrangian densely defined pair* if  $\text{dom } A_i$  are dense in  $\mathfrak{H}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . The next characterization of the Lagrangian pair extensions of a weakly Lagrangian densely defined pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  follows from Proposition 4.2 and Corollary 4.5.

**Proposition 4.6.** *A densely defined weakly Lagrangian pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  has a Lagrangian pair extension  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{X}_i = \mathcal{X}_{1i} + \mathcal{X}_{2i}$ , a direct sum, where  $\mathcal{X}_i = \ker(I + A_i^* B_i^*)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- (ii)  $(B_i^* \upharpoonright \mathcal{X}_{1i}) \perp (B_i^* \upharpoonright \mathcal{X}_{2i})$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ;
- (iii)  $B_i^* \mathcal{X}_{2i} = \mathcal{X}_{1j}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ ,  $i \neq j$ ;
- (iv)  $\|A_i^* \alpha\|_i = \|B_j^* \alpha\|_i$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}_{1j}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ ,  $i \neq j$ .

*Proof.* From Corollary 4.5 it follows that all Lagrangian pairs extensions  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  of  $(A_1, A_2)$  are operators. Apply Theorem 4.2, and with  $\mathcal{X}_i = \text{dom } X_i$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_{1i} = \text{dom } X_{1i}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_{2i} = \text{dom } X_{2i}$ , it follows that

$$X_i = B_i^* \upharpoonright \mathcal{X}_i, \quad X_{ki} = B_i^* \upharpoonright \mathcal{X}_{ki}, \quad i, k = 1, 2.$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{X}_i = \ker(I + A_i^* B_i^*)$ . Condition (i) in Theorem 4.2 gives (i) and (ii) of this result and (ii) in Theorem 4.2 implies the last statement describing  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$ . Since

$$X_{1i} = \{(\alpha, B_i^* \alpha), \alpha \in \mathcal{X}_{1i}\}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$X_{2i} = \{(\beta, B_i^* \beta), \beta \in \mathcal{X}_{2i}\}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$J_{ij} X_{2i} = \{(B_i^* \beta, -\beta), \beta \in \mathcal{X}_{2i}\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad i, j = 1, 2,$$

the condition  $\text{dom } X_{1j} = \text{dom } J_{ij} X_{2i}$  assures that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}_{1j}$  if and only if  $\alpha = B_i^* \beta$  for some  $\beta \in \mathcal{X}_{2i}$ . Then  $A_i^* \alpha = A_i^* B_i^* \beta = -\beta$ , which shows that

$$J_{ij} X_{2i} = \{(\alpha, A_i^* \alpha), \alpha \in \mathcal{X}_{1j}\}.$$

Condition (iv) of Theorem 4.2 shows that the isometry  $V_i$  of  $X_{1i}$  onto  $J_{ji} X_{2j}$  implies that  $\|A_i^* \alpha\|_i = \|B_j^* \alpha\|_i$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}_{1j}$ .  $\square$

## 5. EXTENSION OF WEAKLY LAGRANGIAN PAIRS IN LARGER HILBERT SPACES

Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ . It will be shown how the results of Section 4 may be applied to investigate the Lagrangian pair extensions of  $(A_1, A_2)$  in a pair of larger Hilbert spaces  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}_i \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

Assume the weakly Lagrangian pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  with the isometries  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  has a Lagrangian pair extension  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$ , with the isometries  $\tilde{V}_1$  and  $\tilde{V}_2$ , where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_i = \mathfrak{H}_i \oplus \mathfrak{H}'_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are the orthogonal sums of the Hilbert spaces  $\mathfrak{H}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{H}'_i$ , respectively. The Hilbert space  $\mathfrak{H}_1 \times \mathfrak{H}_2$  can be identified with  $(\mathfrak{H}_1 \oplus \{0\}) \times (\mathfrak{H}_2 \oplus \{0\})$  and  $\mathfrak{H}'_1 \times \mathfrak{H}'_2$  can be identified with  $(\{0\} \oplus \mathfrak{H}'_1) \times (\{0\} \oplus \mathfrak{H}'_2)$  in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1 \times \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2$ , and then  $(A_1, A_2)$  is identified with  $(A_1 \oplus \{(0, 0)\}, A_2 \oplus \{(0, 0)\})$ . Then  $A_i \subset \tilde{A}_i$  as a subspace of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_i \times \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_j$  and  $V_i = \tilde{V}_i \upharpoonright A_i$ . Define the pair  $(A'_1, A'_2)$  as follows

$$A'_i = \left\{ (x'_i, y'_j) \in \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j) : \tilde{V}_i(x'_i, y'_j) \in \mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j \right\}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad i \neq j.$$

Note that  $(x'_i, y'_j) \in \mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j$  is identified with  $((0, x'_i), (0, y'_j)) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_i \times \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$ ,  $i \neq j$ . Let  $P_i$  and  $P'_i$  be the orthogonal projections from  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_i \times \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_j$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}_i \times \mathfrak{H}_j$  and  $\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j$ , respectively, and,  $p_i$  and  $p'_i$  be the orthogonal projections from  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_i$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{H}'_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , respectively.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  with the isometries  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , and let  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  be a Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$  with the isometries  $\tilde{V}_1$  and  $\tilde{V}_2$ . Then  $(A'_1, A'_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}'_1, \mathfrak{H}'_2)$  with the isometries  $V'_i := \tilde{V}_i \upharpoonright A'_i$ . Moreover, the following relations hold:*

$$(5.1) \quad A_i \subseteq \tilde{A}_i, \quad A_i \subseteq P_i \tilde{A}_i \subseteq B_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$(5.2) \quad B_i \subseteq \tilde{A}_i^*, \quad B_i \subseteq P_j \tilde{A}_i^* \subseteq A_i^*, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad i \neq j,$$

and

$$(5.3) \quad A'_i \subseteq \tilde{A}_i, \quad A'_i \subseteq P'_i \tilde{A}_i \subseteq B_i'^*, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$(5.4) \quad B'_i \subseteq \tilde{A}_i^*, \quad B'_i \subseteq P'_j \tilde{A}_i^* \subseteq A_i^*, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad i \neq j.$$

*Proof.* Since  $V_i = \tilde{V}_i \upharpoonright A_i$  and  $B_i = V_j A_j \subset A_i^*$  it follows that  $B_i = \tilde{V}_j A_j \subset \tilde{V}_j \tilde{A}_j = \tilde{A}_i^*$ , and hence  $B_i = P_j B_i \subseteq P_j \tilde{A}_i^*$ . Now let  $(a, b) \in \tilde{A}_i^*$  and consider  $P_j(a, b) = (p_j a, p_i b)$ . If  $(x, y) \in A_i \subset \tilde{A}_i$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (p_j a, p_i b), (x, y) \rangle &= [p_i b, x]_i - [p_j a, y]_j \\ &= [b, x]_i - [a, y]_j \\ &= \langle (a, b), (x, y) \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $P_j \tilde{A}_i^* \subseteq A_i^*$ . Similarly, if  $(c, d) \in \tilde{A}_i$  and  $(\alpha, \beta) \in B_i \subset \tilde{A}_i^*$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (p_i c, p_j d), (\alpha, \beta) \rangle &= [p_j d, \alpha]_j - [p_i c, \beta]_i \\ &= [d, \alpha]_j - [c, \beta]_i \\ &= \langle (c, d), (\alpha, \beta) \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives  $P_i \tilde{A}_i \subset B_i^*$ . Thus (5.1) and (5.2) are verified. Moreover,  $A'_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are closed relations. Indeed, if  $(x'_n, y'_n) \in A'_i$  and  $(x'_n, y'_n) \rightarrow (x', y') \in \mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j$ , then  $(x', y') \in \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j)$ , since  $\tilde{A}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j$  are closed. Now, the following inequality

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_i \tilde{V}_i(x', y')\| &= \|P_i \tilde{V}_i(x', y') - P_i \tilde{V}_i(x'_n, y'_n)\| \\ &= \|P_i \tilde{V}_i(x' - x'_n, y' - y'_n)\| \\ &\leq \|(x' - x'_n, y' - y'_n)\|, \end{aligned}$$

shows that  $P_i \tilde{V}_i(x', y') = 0$ , or that  $\tilde{V}_i(x', y') \in \mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j$ , and hence  $(x', y') \in A'_i$ .

Let  $(y'_j, x'_i) \in A'_j \subseteq \tilde{A}_j$  and let  $x''_i \in \text{dom } A'_i \subseteq \text{dom } \tilde{A}_i = \text{dom } \tilde{A}_i^*$ , with  $(x''_i, y_j) \in \tilde{A}_j^*$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (y'_j, x'_i), (x''_i, p'_j y_j) \rangle &= [x'_i, x''_i]_i - [y'_j, p'_j y_j]_j \\ &= [x'_i, x''_i]_j - [y'_j, y_j]_j \\ &= \langle (y'_j, x'_i), (x''_i, y_j) \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $(x''_i, p'_j y_j) \in A_j'^*$ , and, in particular,  $\text{dom } A'_i \subseteq \text{dom } A_j'^*$ . Clearly, the pair  $(A'_i, A'_j)$  with the isometries  $V'_i = \tilde{V}_i \upharpoonright A'_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}'_i, \mathfrak{H}'_j)$ , and  $B'_j := V'_i A'_i$  is given by

$$B'_j = \left\{ (x, y) \in \tilde{A}_j^* \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j) : \tilde{V}_i^{-1}(x, y) \in \mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j \right\}.$$

The inclusions (5.3) and (5.4) follow using similar arguments as for the proof of (5.1) and (5.2), respectively.  $\square$

In general it can not be asserted that  $A'_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j)$ , that is, for  $\{x'_i, y'_j\} \in \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j)$  it is not possible to guarantee that  $\tilde{V}_i(x'_i, y'_j) \in \mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j$ .

Clearly,  $\tilde{V}_i(x'_i, y'_j) = (x'_i, z'_j) \in \tilde{A}_j^*$ , and  $\|y'_j\|_j^2 = \|z'_j\|_j^2 = \|p_j z'_j\|_j^2 + \|p'_j z'_j\|_j^2$ . Since  $P_i \tilde{A}_j^* \subseteq A_j^*$  it follows for any  $(x_j, y_i) \in A_j$  that  $P_i(x'_i, z'_j) = (0, p_j z'_j) \in A_j^*$ , and thus,

$$[y_i, 0]_i = [x_j, p_j z'_j]_j = 0,$$

which implies  $p_j z'_j \in (\text{dom } A_j)^\perp$ . Consequently,  $A'_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j)$  if  $\text{dom } A_i$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{H}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

Define the subspaces  $A_i^+$  by

$$A_i^+ := \left\{ (x_i, y_j) \in \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}_i \times \mathfrak{H}_j) : \tilde{V}_i(x_i, y_j) \in \mathfrak{H}_i \times \mathfrak{H}_j \right\}.$$

Then clearly  $(A_1^+, A_2^+)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  with the isometries  $\tilde{V}_i \upharpoonright A_i^+$ , so that it is a weakly Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$ . If  $(A_1, A_2)$  is a maximal weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ , then  $A_i = A_i^+$  and  $A_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}_i \times \mathfrak{H}_j)$ . Moreover, if  $\text{dom } A'_i$  are dense in  $\mathfrak{H}'_i$ , then  $A_i^+ = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}_i \times \mathfrak{H}_j)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

The next result gives a necessary condition for the existence of a Lagrangian pair extension  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ , with the isometries  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , and suppose that  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$ , with the isometries  $\tilde{V}_1$  and  $\tilde{V}_2$ , where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_i = \mathfrak{H}_i \oplus \mathfrak{H}'_i$ . Then*

$$(5.6) \quad \tilde{A}_i = A_i \oplus C_i, \quad \tilde{A}_j^* = B_j \oplus D_j,$$

where  $C_i = \tilde{A}_i \ominus A_i$ ,  $D_j = \tilde{A}_j^* \ominus B_j$  and

$$(5.7) \quad \tilde{V}_i C_i = D_j, \quad P_i C_i \subseteq E_i, \quad P_i D_j \subseteq F_j,$$

where  $E_i = B_i^* \ominus A_i$ ,  $F_j = A_j^* \ominus B_j$ . In particular,

$$\text{dom } C_i = \text{dom } D_j,$$

and

$$p_i \text{dom } C_i = p_i \text{dom } D_j \subseteq \text{dom } E_i \cap \text{dom } E_j.$$

*Proof.* Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_i C_i &= \tilde{V}_i (\tilde{A}_i \ominus A_i) = \tilde{V}_i \tilde{A}_i \ominus \tilde{V}_i A_i \\ &= \tilde{B}_j \ominus B_j = \tilde{A}_j^* \ominus B_j = D_j. \end{aligned}$$

If  $(x_i, y_j) \in C_i$  then (5.1) leads to  $P_i(x_i, y_j) \in P_i\tilde{A}_i \subseteq B_i^*$ . Let  $(x_{1i}, y_{1j}) \in A_i \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_i \times \mathfrak{H}_j$ . Then the identity

$$[P_i(x_i, y_j), (x_{1i}, y_{1j})] = [(x_i, y_j), (x_{1i}, y_{1j})] = 0,$$

shows that  $P_i C_i \subseteq B_i^* \ominus A_i = E_i$ . From (5.2) it follows that

$$P_i D_j = P_i \tilde{V}_i C_i \subseteq P_i \tilde{A}_j^* \subseteq A_j^*,$$

and using similar arguments as above it follows that  $P_i D_j \subseteq F_j$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  such that  $\text{dom } A_i$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{H}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . With the notations from Proposition 5.2, assume that*

$$(5.8) \quad \text{dom } E_i \cap \text{dom } F_j = \{0\}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad i \neq j.$$

*Then  $(A_1, A_2)$  is a maximal weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ . If  $(A_1, A_2)$  is not a Lagrangian pair, it has no Lagrangian pair extension in any  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $(A_1, A_2)$  has a weakly Lagrangian pair extension  $(A_1^+, A_2^+)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ . Then

$$A_i \subseteq A_i^+ \subseteq (B_i^+)^* \subseteq B_i^*, \quad B_i \subseteq B_i^+ \subseteq (A_i^+)^* \subseteq A_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

and thus  $A_i^+, B_i^+, B_i^*$  and  $(B_i^+)^*$  are all operators. Using analogous notations as in Proposition 5.2 it follows that

$$A_i^+ = A_i \oplus C_i^+, \quad C_i^+ = A_i^+ \ominus A_i, \quad (A_j^+)^* = B_j \oplus D_j^+, \quad D_j^+ = (A_j^+)^* \ominus B_j,$$

where  $\text{dom } C_i^+ \subseteq \text{dom } D_j^+$ . Thus

$$\text{dom } C_i^+ \subseteq \text{dom } C_i^+ \cap \text{dom } D_j^+ \subseteq \text{dom } E_i \cap \text{dom } F_j,$$

and (5.8) leads to  $\text{dom } C_i^+ = \{0\}$ . Since  $C_i^+$  is an operator,  $C_i^+ = \{(0, 0)\}$ , proving  $A_i^+ = A_i$ .

Assume now that  $(A_1, A_2)$  has a Lagrangian pair extension  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$ . Since  $B_i^*$  and  $A_j^*$  are operators,  $E_i$  and  $F_j$  are operators, and the relations (5.7) and (5.8) lead to

$$p_i \text{dom } C_i = p_i \text{dom } D_j = \{0\},$$

where  $C_i$  and  $D_j$  are as in Proposition 5.2. Then  $P_i C_i \subseteq E_i$  and  $P_i D_j \subseteq F_j$  imply that  $P_i C_i = P_i D_j = \{(0, 0)\}$ . Therefore  $A_j^* \subseteq P_i \tilde{A}_j^*$ . Indeed, let  $(x_i, y_j) \in A_j^*$ , and  $(a_j, b_i) \in \tilde{A}_j$ . Then the decomposition

$$(a_j, b_i) = (a_{1j}, b_{1i}) + (\alpha, \beta),$$

holds with  $(a_{1j}, b_{1i}) \in A_j$  and  $(\alpha, \beta) \in C_j$ . Clearly,

$$P_j(\alpha, \beta) = (p_j \alpha, p_i \beta) = (0, 0),$$

so that

$$\langle (a_j, b_i), (x_i, y_j) \rangle = \langle (a_{1j}, b_{1i}), (x_i, y_j) \rangle = 0,$$

showing that  $A_j^* \subseteq P_i \tilde{A}_j^*$ . Thus  $\tilde{A}_j^* \subseteq P_i \tilde{A}_j^* = P_i(B_j \oplus D_j) = B_j$ , for  $P_i D_j = \{(0, 0)\}$ . Now  $B_j \subseteq A_j^*$  and therefore  $B_j = A_j^*$ , which means that  $(A_1, A_2)$  has to be a Lagrangian pair. If  $(A_1, A_2)$  is not a Lagrangian pair, this contradiction shows that  $(A_1, A_2)$  has no Lagrangian pair extension in any  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1 \times \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2$ .  $\square$

The purpose of the next part of this section is to describe the Lagrangian pair extensions in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$  of a weakly Lagrangian pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ . For such  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$ , with the isometries  $\tilde{V}_1$  and  $\tilde{V}_2$ , consider  $(A'_1, A'_2)$  as in Theorem 5.1, and define  $\mathcal{A}_i := A_i \oplus A'_i$  in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_i \times \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_j$ , by

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \left\{ ((x_i, x'_i), (y_j, y'_j)) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_i \times \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_j : (x_i, y_j) \in A_i, (x'_i, y'_j) \in A'_i \right\},$$

and define the isometries  $\mathcal{V}_i := V_i \oplus V'_i$  on  $\mathcal{A}_i$ , where  $V_i = \tilde{V}_i \upharpoonright A_i$  and  $V'_i = \tilde{V}_i \upharpoonright A'_i$ . Furthermore, define  $\mathcal{B}_j := \mathcal{V}_i \mathcal{A}_i$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** *The pair  $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$  with the isometries  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$ . Moreover, the following relations hold true:*

$$(5.9) \quad \mathcal{A}_i^* = A_i^* \oplus (A'_i)^*, \quad \mathcal{B}_i = B_i \oplus B'_i, \quad \mathcal{B}_i^* = B_i^* \oplus (B'_i)^*,$$

$$(5.10) \quad \mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \tilde{A}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}_i^*, \quad \mathcal{B}_i \subseteq \tilde{A}_i^* \subseteq \mathcal{A}_i^*,$$

$$(5.11) \quad \mathcal{E}_i := \mathcal{B}_i^* \ominus \mathcal{A}_i = E_i \oplus E'_i,$$

where  $E_i = B_i^* \ominus A_i$  and  $E'_i = (B'_i)^* \ominus A'_i$ ,

$$(5.12) \quad (\mathcal{B}_i^*)_\infty = (B_i^*)_\infty \oplus ((B'_i)^*)_\infty,$$

$$(5.13) \quad P_{\mathcal{E}_i}(\mathcal{B}_i^*)_\infty = P_{E_i}(B_i^*)_\infty \oplus P_{E'_i}((B'_i)^*)_\infty,$$

where  $P_{\mathcal{E}_i}$  is the orthogonal projection from  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1 \times \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2$  onto  $\mathcal{E}_i$ ,  $P_{E_i}$  is the orthogonal projection from  $\mathfrak{H}_1 \times \mathfrak{H}_2$  onto  $E_i$  and  $P_{E'_i}$  is the orthogonal projection from  $\mathfrak{H}'_1 \times \mathfrak{H}'_2$  onto  $E'_i$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\mathcal{A}_i = A_i \oplus A'_i$  implies that  $\mathcal{A}_i^* = A_i^* \oplus (A'_i)^*$ , and since  $(A_1, A_2)$  and  $(A'_1, A'_2)$  are weakly Lagrangian pairs in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  and  $(\mathfrak{H}'_1, \mathfrak{H}'_2)$ , respectively, it follows that  $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$  with the isometries  $\mathcal{V}_i = \tilde{V}_i \upharpoonright \mathcal{A}_i = V_i \oplus V'_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{B}_j = \mathcal{V}_i \mathcal{A}_i = V_i A_i \oplus V'_i A'_i = B_j \oplus B'_j,$$

and therefore  $\mathcal{B}_j^* = B_j^* \oplus (B'_j)^*$ , proving (5.9).

The inclusion  $\mathcal{A}_i \subset \tilde{A}_i$  implies  $\tilde{A}_i^* \subset \mathcal{A}_i^*$ . Also  $\mathcal{B}_i \subset \tilde{A}_i^*$  since  $B_i \subset \tilde{A}_i^*$  and  $B'_i \subset \tilde{A}_i^*$  and thus  $\mathcal{B}_i = B_i \oplus B'_i \subset \tilde{A}_i^*$ . Then  $\tilde{A}_i \subset \mathcal{B}_i^*$ , and then (5.10) is verified. The relations (5.11) and (5.12) follow directly from the definition of  $\mathcal{A}_i$  and the last equality in (5.9). Finally, (5.13) is easily verified from the definitions which are involved.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$  with the isometries  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Assume that  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$  with the isometries  $\tilde{V}_1$  and  $\tilde{V}_2$ . If  $\mathcal{A}_i = A_i \oplus A'_i$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{B}_i^* \ominus \mathcal{A}_i$ , as in Proposition 5.4, then there exists a decomposition*

$$(5.14) \quad \mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{E}_{1i} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{2i},$$

such that

$$(5.15) \quad \text{dom } \mathcal{E}_{1i} = \text{dom } (J_{ji} \mathcal{E}_{2j}),$$

$$(5.16) \quad \tilde{A}_i = \mathcal{A}_i \oplus \mathcal{E}_{1i},$$

$$(5.17) \quad \tilde{V}_i = \mathcal{V}_i \oplus \mathcal{V}'_i,$$

where

$$(5.18) \quad \mathcal{V}_i = \tilde{V}_i \upharpoonright \mathcal{A}_i,$$

and  $\mathcal{V}'_i$  is an isometry from  $\mathcal{E}_{1i}$  onto  $J_{ji} \mathcal{E}_{2j}$  of the form

$$(5.19) \quad \mathcal{V}'_i \{\alpha_i, \beta_j\} = \{\alpha_i, \beta'_j\}, \quad \|\beta_j\|_j = \|\beta'_j\|_j.$$

Conversely, if  $(A'_1, A'_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}'_1, \mathfrak{H}'_2)$  with the isometries  $V'_1$  and  $V'_2$ , and  $\mathcal{V}_i = V_i \oplus V'_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are such that there exists a decomposition of  $\mathcal{E}_i$  as in (5.14), and the pair  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  with the isometries  $\tilde{V}_1$  and  $\tilde{V}_2$  defined by (5.17)–(5.19), then  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair extension of  $(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2)$  in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$ , which is a Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$ .

Furthermore,  $\tilde{A}_1$  and  $\tilde{A}_2$  are both operators if and only if  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are both operators, and

$$\mathcal{E}_{1i} \cap P_{\mathcal{E}_i}(B_i^*)_\infty = \{(0, 0)\}.$$

*Proof.* A direct application of Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 leads to the statement of this theorem.  $\square$

The next result deals with the particular case when  $A'_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}_i \times \mathfrak{H}_j)$ .

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ , and let  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  be a Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$ , where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_i = \mathfrak{H}_i \oplus \mathfrak{H}'_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then,  $A'_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j)$  if and only if the projection  $P_i$  is one-to-one from  $\mathcal{E}_{1i}$  onto  $P_i \mathcal{E}_{1i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $A'_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j)$ , then*

$$\dim E'_i \leq \dim E_i.$$

*Similarly,  $A_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j)$  if and only if  $P'_i$  is one-to-one from  $\mathcal{E}_{1i}$  onto  $P'_{1i} \mathcal{E}_{1i}$ , and, in this case*

$$\dim E_i \leq \dim E'_i.$$

*Moreover, if  $A_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}_i \times \mathfrak{H}_j)$  and  $A'_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j)$ , then*

$$\dim E_i = \dim E'_i = \dim \mathcal{E}_{1i} = \dim \mathcal{E}_{2i}.$$

*Proof.* Assume  $A'_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j)$  and let  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{E}_{1i}$ ,  $P_i(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)$ . Then  $(\alpha, \beta) = P'_i(\alpha, \beta) \in E'_i$ . Since  $A'_i$  and  $E'_i$  are orthogonal it follows that  $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)$ , showing that  $P_i$  is one-to-one on  $\mathcal{E}_i$ . Conversely, assume  $P_i$  is one-to-one on  $\mathcal{E}_{1i}$ . Let  $(a, b) \in \tilde{A}_i$ ,  $P_i(a, b) = (0, 0)$ , that is

$$(a, b) \in \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j).$$

Then

$$(a, b) = (x, y) + (u, v),$$

where  $(x, y) \in A_i$ ,  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{E}_{1i}$ , and

$$(0, 0) = P_i(a, b) = P_i(x, y) + P_i(u, v).$$

But,  $P_i(x, y) \in A_i$ ,  $P_i(u, v) \in P_i \mathcal{E}_{1i} \subseteq E_i$ , and  $A_i$  orthogonal to  $E_i$  imply that

$$P_i(x, y) = P_i(u, v) = (0, 0).$$

This implies  $(u, v) = (0, 0)$ , or

$$(x, y) = P'_i(x, y) \in A'_i,$$

that is

$$\tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j) \subseteq A'_i.$$

Clearly,  $A'_i \subseteq \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j)$ , and thus

$$A'_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j).$$

Assume now that  $A_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j)$ . Then  $P_i \mathcal{E}_{1i} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{1i}$ , and, since  $P_i$  is one-to-one on  $\mathcal{E}_{1i}$ , it follows that  $\dim \mathcal{E}_{1i} = \dim P_i \mathcal{E}_{1i} \leq \dim E_i$ . Then

$$\mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{E}_{1i} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{2i} = E_i \oplus E'_i,$$

and  $\dim \mathcal{E}_{1i} = \dim \mathcal{E}_{2i}$  (which follows from (5.15)) lead to

$$\dim \mathcal{E}_i = \dim E_i + \dim E'_i = 2 \dim \mathcal{E}_{1i} \leq 2 \dim E_i,$$

or,  $\dim E'_i \leq \dim E_i$ , as stated. The others statements have a similar proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.7.** *If  $\text{dom } A_i$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{H}_i$ , then*

$$A'_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j),$$

$P_i$  is one-to-one on  $\mathcal{E}_{1i}$  and

$$\dim E'_i \leq \dim E_i.$$

The next result identifies those Lagrangian pairs  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  which are operators in the case when  $\text{dom } A_i$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{H}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Proposition 5.8.** *Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ , let  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  be a Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}'_1, \mathfrak{H}'_2)$ , and assume that  $\text{dom } A_i$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{H}_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $\tilde{A}_1$  and  $\tilde{A}_2$  are both operators if and only if  $A'_1$  and  $A'_2$  are both operators.*

*Proof.* If  $\tilde{A}_i$  is an operator then  $A'_i$  is an operator as well since  $A'_i \subset \tilde{A}_i$ . Assume now that  $A'_1$  and  $A'_2$  are both operators. Since  $\text{dom } A_i$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{H}_i$  it follows that  $B_i^*$  is an operator and thus  $A_i$  is an operator. Therefore  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is an operator. In order to show that  $\tilde{A}_i$  is an operator, let  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{E}_{1i} \cap P_{\mathcal{E}_i}(B_i^*)_\infty$ . Since

$$(0, 0) = P_i(\alpha, \beta) \in P_{\mathcal{E}_{1i}}(B_i^*),$$

and  $P_i$  is one-to-one on  $\mathcal{E}_{1i}$  it follows that  $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)$ , showing that

$$\mathcal{E}_{1i} \cap P_{\mathcal{E}_i}(B_i^*)_\infty = (0, 0),$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

The operator version of Theorem 5.5 is now stated. Its proof follows immediately from Theorem 5.5–Proposition 5.8.

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let  $(A_1, A_2)$  be a weakly Lagrangian densely defined pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2)$ . Assume that  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a Lagrangian pair extension of  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$ , where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_i = \mathfrak{H}_i \oplus \mathfrak{H}'_i$ . If  $A'_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j)$ , then  $(A'_1, A'_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}'_1, \mathfrak{H}'_2)$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_i = A_i \oplus A'_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $(A_1, A_2)$  is a weakly Lagrangian operator pair in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$  such that*

$$(5.20) \quad \mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \tilde{A}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}_i^*,$$

$$(5.21) \quad \mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{B}_i^* \ominus \mathcal{A}_i = E_i \oplus E'_i,$$

where,

$$(5.22) \quad E_i = B_i^* \ominus A_i, \quad E'_i = B_i'^* \ominus A'_i.$$

Moreover,

- (i)  $\mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{E}_{1i} \oplus \mathcal{E}_{2i}$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{dom } \mathcal{E}_{1i} = \text{dom } J_{j_i} \mathcal{E}_{2j}$ ;
- (iii)  $P_i$  is one-to-one from  $\mathcal{E}_{1i}$  onto  $P_i \mathcal{E}_{1i} \subseteq E_{1i}$ ;
- (iv)  $\tilde{A}_i = \mathcal{A}_i \oplus \mathcal{E}_{1i}$ ;
- (v)  $\dim E'_i \leq \dim E_i$ ;
- (vi) There is an isometry  $\mathcal{V}_{1i}$  of  $\mathcal{E}_{1i}$  onto  $J_{j_i} \mathcal{E}_{2j}$  of the form

$$\mathcal{V}_{1i}\{\alpha, \beta\} = \{\alpha, \beta'\}, \quad \|\beta\|_j = \|\beta'\|_j, \quad \alpha \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E}_{1i}.$$

Conversely, assume for a given weakly Lagrangian pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  that there exists a weakly Lagrangian operator pair  $(A'_1, A'_2)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}'_1, \mathfrak{H}'_2)$  such that (5.20)–(5.22) are satisfied, and the pair  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  and the isometries  $\mathcal{V}_{1i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , exist, satisfying (i)–(vi). Then  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  is a Lagrangian pair in  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2)$ , and

$$A'_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}'_i \times \mathfrak{H}'_j).$$

*Remark 5.10.* Assume that  $(A_1, A_2)$  is a maximal weakly Lagrangian operator pair in Theorem 5.9. Then for any Lagrangian operator pair extension  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  it follows that

$$A_i = \tilde{A}_i \cap (\mathfrak{H}_i \times \mathfrak{H}_j),$$

and Proposition 5.6 then implies that  $\dim \mathcal{E}_i = \dim E'_i = \dim \mathcal{E}_{1i} = \dim \mathcal{E}_{2i}$ .

## 6. A CLASS OF WEAKLY LAGRANGIAN PAIRS OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

Let  $\mathfrak{H} = L^2(0, 1)$  and let  $\mathfrak{D}_0$  be the space of all absolutely continuous functions  $f$  on the interval  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  satisfying the condition  $f(0) = f(1)$ , and such that its derivative  $f'$  is also an element of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Clearly,  $\mathfrak{D}_0$  is a dense subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Define two (linear) operators  $A_{j0}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$  by  $\text{dom } A_{10} = \text{dom } A_{20} = \mathfrak{D}_0$  and

$$A_{j0}f = L_{j0}f := \Omega_j f' + a_j f, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}_0,$$

where  $\Omega_j$  and  $a_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2$  are some non-zero complex numbers which satisfy the following conditions

$$|\Omega_1| = |\Omega_2|, \quad |a_1| = |a_2|, \quad \Omega_j \bar{a}_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{D}_0^+$  be the set of all absolutely continuous functions on the interval  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  such that  $f' \in \mathfrak{H}$ . It is now easily seen that the operators  $A_{10}^*$  and  $A_{20}^*$  have the domains given by  $\text{dom } A_{10}^* = \text{dom } A_{20}^* = \mathfrak{D}_0^+$  and are defined by

$$A_j^* f = L_j^+ f := -\overline{\Omega_j} f' + \bar{a}_j f, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}_0^+, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2.$$

From now on the indices  $j$  and  $k$  will run from 1 to 2 and are different whenever they appear in the same sentence. Since  $\|A_j f\| = \|A_k^* f\|$  for all  $f \in \mathfrak{D}_0$  it follows that the operators  $V_{10} : A_{10} \rightarrow A_{20}^*$  and  $V_{20} : A_{20} \rightarrow A_{10}^*$ , defined by

$$V_{10}(f, L_{10}f) = (f, L_{20}^+ f), \quad V_{20}(f, L_{20}f) = (f, L_{10}^+ f), \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}_0$$

are two isometries. Therefore the pair  $(A_{10}, A_{20})$  is a densely defined weakly Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H})$ . Furthermore, the corresponding operators  $B_{10}$  and  $B_{20}$  are defined on  $\mathfrak{D}_0$  and are given by

$$B_{10}f = L_{20}^+ f, \quad B_{20}f = L_{10}^+ f, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}_0,$$

while their adjoints  $B_{10}^*$  and  $B_{20}^*$  are defined on  $\mathfrak{D}_0^+$  and are given by

$$B_{10}^* f = L_{20} f, \quad B_{20}^* f = L_{10} f, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}_0^+.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{H}_0$  be a finite-dimensional subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}$  and define the operators  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  to be the restrictions of  $A_{10}$  and  $A_{20}$  to  $\text{dom } A_1 = \text{dom } A_2 = \mathfrak{D} := \mathfrak{D}_0 \cap \mathfrak{H}_0^\perp$ . Thus,  $A_1 \subset A_{10}$ ,  $A_2 \subset A_{20}$ , so that

$$(6.1) \quad A_{10}^* \subset A_1^*, \quad A_{20}^* \subset A_2^*.$$

Furthermore,

$$\text{mul } A_j^* = (\text{dom } A_j)^\perp = \text{clos}(\mathfrak{D}_0^\perp \hat{+} \mathfrak{H}_0),$$

which implies that

$$(6.2) \quad \mathfrak{H}_0 \subset \text{mul } A_j^*, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2.$$

It follows from (6.1) and (6.2) that

$$A_{j0}^* \hat{+} (\{0\} \times \mathfrak{H}_0) \subset A_j^*, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2.$$

Using similar arguments as in [5] it can be shown that in fact there is equality in the above inclusions, namely,

$$(6.3) \quad A_j^* = A_{j0}^* \hat{+} (A_j^*)_\infty, \quad (A_j^*)_\infty := \{0\} \times \mathfrak{H}_0.$$

Furthermore, the single-valued part of  $A_j^*$  is given by

$$(A_j^*)_s f = A_{0j}^* f - P_0 A_{0j}^* f, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}_0^+,$$

where  $P_0$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathfrak{H}$  onto  $\mathfrak{H}_0$ . Indeed, let  $(f, g) \in (A_j^*)_s$ , so that  $(f, g) = (f, A_{j0}^* f + \varphi)$ , for some  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ , and  $(f, g)$  is orthogonal to  $(0, \psi)$  for all  $\psi \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ ,



that is

$$[A_{j0}^*f + \varphi, \psi] = 0,$$

for all  $\psi \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ , or  $\varphi = -P_0A_{j0}^*f$ .

Consider  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  the restrictions of  $V_{10}$  and  $V_{20}$  to  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , respectively. Therefore, the pair  $(A_1, A_2)$  is now a (non-densely defined) Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H})$ . It is clear that the corresponding operators  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are the restrictions of  $B_{10}$  and  $B_{20}$  to  $\mathfrak{D}$ . Moreover,

$$\text{mul } B_k^* = (\text{dom } B_k)^\perp = (\text{dom } A_j)^\perp = \text{mul } A_j^* = \mathfrak{H}_0,$$

and

$$(6.4) \quad B_k^* = B_{k0}^* \widehat{+} (B_k^*)_\infty, \quad (B_k^*)_s f = B_{k0}^* f - P_0 B_{k0}^* f, \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}_0^+,$$

where  $(B_k^*)_\infty = (A_j^*)_\infty = \{0\} \times \mathfrak{H}_0$ .

*Remark 6.1.* The fact that  $A_j \subset B_j^*$ , and  $A_j$  and  $(A_k^*)_s$  are operators does not necessarily imply that  $A_j \subset (B_j^*)_s$ . Indeed, let  $\mathfrak{H}_0$  be the one-dimensional space spanned by the function  $\varphi(x) = x$  for all  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . Assume that  $A_j \subset (B_j^*)_s$ , so that  $\text{ran } A_j \subset \text{ran } (B_j^*)_s$ , or

$$\mathfrak{K}_0 := (\text{ran } A_j)^\perp \supset (\text{ran } (B_j^*)_s)^\perp \supset \mathfrak{H}_0.$$

Thus,  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{K}_0 = \ker A_j^*$ , or by (6.3)

$$A_{j0}^* \varphi = -\overline{\Omega_j} \varphi' + \overline{a_j} \varphi = -b_j \varphi,$$

for some complex constant  $b_j$ . Since

$$-\overline{\Omega_j} \varphi' + \overline{a_j} \varphi + b_j \varphi = -\overline{\Omega_j} + (\overline{a_j} + b_j)x$$

is not the zero function it follows that the assumption is false. Thus,  $A_j \not\subset (B_j^*)_s$ .

Define now the subspaces  $X_j = B_j^* \ominus A_j$  and  $Y_j = A_j^* \ominus B_j$ . Since

$$X_j = B_j^* \cap A_j^\perp = B_j^* \cap J_{kj} A_j^*,$$

it follows that  $(f_j, g_j) \in X_j$  if and only if  $(f_j, g_j) \in B_j^*$  and  $(g_j, -f_j) \in A_j^*$ . Furthermore, (6.3) and (6.4) imply that  $(f_j, g_j) \in X_j$  if and only if  $f_j \in \text{dom } B_j^* = \mathfrak{D}_0^+$ ,  $g_j \in \text{dom } A_j^* = \mathfrak{D}_0^+$ , and

$$(6.5) \quad g_j = L_j f_j - \varphi_j, \quad -f_j = L_j^+ g_j - \psi_j,$$

for some  $\varphi_j, \psi_j \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ . This system of equations has a solution for every pair  $(\varphi_j, \psi_j) \in \mathfrak{H}_0 \times \mathfrak{H}_0$ . When  $\varphi_j = \psi_j = 0$  the pair  $(f_j, g_j)$  satisfies the system

$$g_j = L_j f_j, \quad -f_j = L_j^+ g_j,$$

and hence  $f_j$  and  $g_j$  are solutions of the same second order differential equation

$$(L_j^+ L_j + I)u = -\overline{\Omega_j} \Omega_j u'' + (1 + |a_j|^2)u = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\dim X_j = 2 + 2\dim \mathfrak{H}_0 = \dim Y_j$$

and  $Y_j = J_{jk} X_j$  is the set of all  $(f_j, g_j)$  such that  $f_j, g_j \in \mathfrak{D}_0^+$  and

$$g_j = L_j^+ f_j - \varphi_j, \quad -f_j = L_j g_j - \psi_j,$$

for some  $\varphi_j, \psi_j \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ . Applying Theorem 4.2, the Lagrangian extensions  $(\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2)$  of  $(A_1, A_2)$  in  $(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H})$  will be next described. In fact  $\tilde{A}_j = A_j \oplus X_{1j}$ , where  $X_j = X_{1j} \oplus X_{2j}$  with  $\dim X_{1j} = \dim X_{2j} = 1 + \dim \mathfrak{H}_0$ , and  $\text{dom } X_{1j} = \text{dom } J_{kj} X_{2k}$ , and there are two isometries  $\tilde{V}_j = V_j \oplus V_j'$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2$ , where  $V_j'$  is an isometry from  $X_{1j}$  onto  $J_{kj} X_{2k}$  of the form

$$V_j'(\alpha_j, \beta_j) = (\alpha_j, \beta_j'), \quad \|\beta_j\| = \|\beta_j'\|.$$

Here,  $V_j$  is the isometry of  $A_j$  onto  $B_k$  given by

$$V_j(f, A_j f) = (f, B_k f), \quad f \in \mathfrak{D}.$$

Since  $\tilde{A}_j = B_j^* \ominus X_{2j}$  it follows that  $\tilde{A}_j$  can be described as the set of all  $(f_j, g_j) \in B_j^*$  such that

$$(6.6) \quad [g_j, \alpha_j] - [f_j, \beta_j] = 0,$$

for all  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j) \in J_{jk}X_{1j}$ . Since  $B_j^* = B_{j0}^* \hat{+} (\{0\} \times \mathfrak{H}_0)$  it follows that the pairs  $(f_j, g_j)$  and  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$  can be expressed by

$$(f_j, g_j) = (f_j, L_j f_j + \psi_j), \quad (\alpha_j, \beta_j) = (\alpha_j, L_j^+ \alpha_j + \chi_j),$$

with  $f_j \in \mathfrak{D}_0^+$ ,  $\alpha_j \in \text{dom } J_{jk}X_{2j}$ , and for some elements  $\psi_j, \chi_j \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ . The condition (6.6) may then be written as

$$(6.7) \quad \langle f_j \alpha_j \rangle_j + [\psi_j, \alpha_j] - [f_j, \chi_j] = 0,$$

where

$$\langle f_j \alpha_j \rangle_j = [L_j f_j, \alpha_j] - [f_j, L_j^+ \alpha_j] = \Omega_j[\overline{\alpha_j(1)}f_j(1) - \overline{\alpha_j(0)}f_j(0)].$$

In (6.7) are in fact  $1 + \dim \mathfrak{H}_0$  independent boundary-integral conditions specifying the elements of  $\tilde{A}_j$ .

Assume now that  $\dim \mathfrak{H}_0 = 1$  and that  $\mathfrak{H}_0$  is spanned by a function  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{H}$  with  $\|\varphi\| = 1$ . Then in the above  $\psi_j = c_j \varphi$ ,  $\chi_j = d_j \varphi$  for some complex constants  $c_j$  and  $d_j$ . Let  $(\alpha_{1j}, L_j^+ \alpha_{1j} + d_{1j} \varphi)$ ,  $(\alpha_{2j}, L_j^+ \alpha_{2j} + d_{2j} \varphi)$  be a basis for the subspace  $J_{jk}X_{2j}$ . Then (6.7) is equivalent to the following equations

$$(6.8) \quad \langle f_j \alpha_{lj} \rangle_j + c_j [\varphi, \alpha_{lj}] - \overline{d_{lj}} [f_j, \varphi] = 0, \quad 1 \leq l \leq 2,$$

for  $(f_j, L_j f_j + c_j \varphi) \in \tilde{A}_j$ . Since  $(\tilde{A}_j)_\infty \subset (B_j^*)_\infty = \{0\} \times \mathfrak{H}_0$  it follows that  $\tilde{A}_j$  is not an operator if and only if  $(o, \varphi) \in \tilde{A}_j$ , and using (6.8) it follows that this is the case if and only if

$$(6.9) \quad [\varphi, \alpha_{1j}] = [\varphi, \alpha_{2j}] = 0,$$

that is  $\varphi \in \text{dom } (J_{jk}X_{2j})^\perp = \text{dom } (X_{1k})^\perp$ . Therefore, two cases have to be considered:

**A.**  $\tilde{A}_j$  is an operator and, for instance,  $[\varphi, \alpha_{1j}] \neq 0$ ;

**B.**  $\tilde{A}_j$  is not an operator, and (6.9) holds true.

In the first case it follows from (6.8) that  $c_j$  can be uniquely obtained, and then  $\tilde{A}_j$  can be specified as the set of all pairs  $(f_j, L_j f_j + c_j \varphi) \in B_{j0}^*$  such that the following relations hold:

$$\langle f_j \alpha_j \rangle_j + [f_j, d_j \varphi] = 0, \quad c_j = \frac{1}{[\varphi, \alpha_{1j}]} ([f_j, d_j \varphi] - \langle f_j \alpha_{1j} \rangle_j),$$

where

$$\alpha_j = [\alpha_{1j}, \varphi] \alpha_{2j} - [\alpha_{2j}, \varphi] \alpha_{1j}, \quad d_j = [\alpha_{2j}, \varphi] d_{1j} - [\alpha_{1j}, \varphi] d_{2j}.$$

In the latter case, namely **B**, it follows that  $[f_j, \varphi] = 0$  for all  $f_j \in \text{dom } \tilde{A}_j$ , since

$$(\text{dom } \tilde{A}_j)^\perp = \text{mul } \tilde{A}_j^* = \text{mul } \tilde{A}_j = \mathfrak{H}_0,$$

and this relation can be used in (6.8) to obtain  $\tilde{A}_j$  as the set of all pairs  $(f_j, L_j f_j + c_j \varphi) \in B_{j0}^*$  satisfying the following relation

$$(6.10) \quad \langle f_j \alpha_{1j} \rangle_j = 0, \quad \langle f_j \alpha_{2j} \rangle_j = 0, \quad [f_j, \varphi] = 0.$$

It is easily seen that the second condition in (6.10) is superfluous, and thus  $(f_j, L_j f_j + c_j \varphi)$  is an element of  $\tilde{A}_j$  if and only if

$$(6.11) \quad \langle f_j \alpha_{1j} \rangle_j = 0, \quad [f_j, \varphi] = 0, \quad f_j \in \mathfrak{D}_0^+,$$

and  $c_j$  is a complex number. The single-valued parts of  $\tilde{A}_1$  and  $\tilde{A}_2$ , namely  $(\tilde{A}_1)_s$  and  $(\tilde{A}_2)_s$  determine a densely defined Lagrangian pair in  $(\mathfrak{H}_0^\perp, \mathfrak{H}_0^\perp)$ , and it is specified by the boundary-integral conditions (6.11) and

$$(\tilde{A}_j)_s f_j = L_j f_j - (L_j f_j, \varphi) \varphi, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2.$$

Notice that since  $\alpha_{1j} \in \text{dom } X_{1j} \subset \text{dom } \tilde{A}_j$  it follows that  $\alpha_{1j}$  is a function satisfying

$$\langle \alpha_{1j} \alpha_{1j} \rangle_j = \Omega_j (|\alpha_{1j}(1)|^2 - |\alpha_{1j}(0)|^2) = 0.$$

Hence,  $\alpha_{1j}(0) = \overline{\theta_{1j}} \alpha_{1j}(1)$ , where  $|\theta_{11}| = |\theta_{12}| = 1$ , and the conditions in (6.11) become

$$f_j(1) = \theta_{1j} f_j(0), \quad [f_j, \varphi] = 0 \quad \text{for all } f_j \in \mathfrak{D}_0^+.$$

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Arens, *Operational calculus of linear relations*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 9–23.
2. G. Biriuk and E. A. Coddington, *Normal extensions of unbounded formally normal operators*, J. Math. Mech. **13** (1964), 617–638.
3. E. A. Coddington, *Normal extensions of formally normal operators*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 1203–1209.
4. E. A. Coddington, *Formally normal operators having no normal extensions*, Canad. J. Math. **17** (1965), 1030–1040.
5. E. A. Coddington, *Extension theory of formally normal and symmetric subspaces*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., **134**, 1973.
6. T. J. Courant, *Dirac Manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **319** (1990), no. 2, 631–661.
7. M. Dalsmo and A. J. van der Schaft, *On representations and integrability of mathematical structures in energy-conserving physical systems*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **37** (1999), no. 1, 54–91.
8. V. A. Derkach and M. M. Malamud, *Generalized resolvents and the boundary value problems for hermitian operators with gaps*, J. Funct. Anal. **95** (1991), 1–95.
9. V. A. Derkach and M. M. Malamud, *The extension theory of hermitian operators and the moment problem*, J. Math. Sciences **73** (1995), no. 2, 141–242.
10. V. A. Derkach, S. Hassi, M. M. Malamud and H. S. V. de Snoo, *Generalized resolvents of symmetric operators and admissibility*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **6** (2000), no. 3, 24–55.
11. P. R. Halmos, *Normal dilations and extensions of operators*, Summa Bras. Math. **2** (1950), 125–134.
12. P. R. Halmos, *Spectra and spectral manifolds*, Ann. Soc. Polonaise Math. **25** (1952), 43–49.
13. M. M. Malamud and V. I. Mogilevskii, *Krein type formula for canonical resolvents of dual pairs of linear relations*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **8** (2002), no. 4, 72–100.
14. M. M. Malamud and V. I. Mogilevskii, *Generalized resolvents of symmetric operators*, Mathematical Notes **73** (2003), no. 3–4, 429–435.
15. M. Martin, *Joint seminormality and Dirac operators*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory **30** (1998), no. 4, 101–121.
16. A. Parsian and A. Shafei Deh Abad, *Dirac structures on Hilbert spaces*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **22** (1999), no. 1, 97–108.
17. D. I. Ponte,  *$\mathcal{E}^1(M)$ -Dirac structures and Jacobi structures*, Differential Geometry and its applications, Proc. Conf., Opava (Czech Republic), August 27-31, 2001, Silesian University, Opava, 2001, 275–283.
18. A. J. van der Schaft and B. M. Maschke, *Hamilton formulation of distributed-parameter systems with boundary energy flow*, Journal of Geometry and Physics **42** (2002), 166–194.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTING SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF GRONINGEN, P.O. BOX 800, 9700 AV GRONINGEN, THE NETHERLANDS  
*E-mail address:* v.a.sandovici@rug.nl

Received 26/08/2005; Revised 03/10/2005