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#### Abstract

For *-algebras associated with extended Dynkin graphs, we investigate a set of parameters for which there exist representations. We give structure properties of such sets and a complete description for the set related to the graph $\tilde{D}_{4}$.


## 0. Introduction

In $[1,2]$ (also see the bibliography therein) the following problems were studied. Let $M_{i}=\left\{0=\alpha_{0}^{(i)}<\alpha_{1}^{(i)}<\cdots<\alpha_{m_{i}}^{(i)}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, n$, be given finite subsets of $\mathbb{R}_{+}$and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. The problem is to determine whether there exist $n$-tuples of Hermitian operators $A_{i}=A_{i}^{*}, i=1, \ldots, n$, such that $\sigma\left(A_{i}\right) \subset M_{i}$ and

$$
A_{1}+A_{2}+\cdots+A_{n}=\gamma I
$$

and to describe all irreducible (up to a unitary equivalence) $n$-tuples of such operators. This problem could be reformulated in terms of $*$-algebras and their $*$-representations.

Consider the following $*$-algebra:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{A}_{M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{n} ; \gamma}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right| a_{i}=a_{i}^{*},\left(a_{i}-\alpha_{0}^{(i)}\right) \ldots\left(a_{i}-\alpha_{m_{i}}^{(i)}\right)=0, \\
\left.a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots+a_{n}=\gamma e\right\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

It is quite easy to show that such an algebra is isomorphic to the algebra generated by the projections

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{P}_{M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{n} ; \gamma}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle p_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, p_{m_{1}}^{(1)}, \ldots, p_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, p_{m_{n}}^{(n)}\right| p_{i}^{(k)}=p_{i}^{(k) 2}=p_{i}^{(k) *} \\
\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} \alpha_{k}^{(i)} p_{k}^{(i)}=\gamma e, p_{j}^{(i)} p_{k}^{(i)}=0\right\rangle
\end{array}
$$

To each algebra $\mathcal{P}_{M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{n} ; \gamma}$, one can associate a connected non-oriented graph $\Gamma$ that has n branches connected in a common vertex (the root), such that $i$-th branch has $m_{i}$ vertices, $i=1, \ldots, n$. Starting with $\alpha_{j}^{(i)}$, we construct a function $\chi$ (we will call it a character of the algebra) on the set of vertices except for the root in the following way: $\chi_{j}^{(i)}$ (i-th branch, j-th vertex) equals to $\alpha_{j}^{(i)}$, the root of the tree corresponds to $\gamma$. The character $\chi$ could be written as the vector $\chi=\left(\alpha_{1}^{(1)}, \ldots, \alpha_{m_{1}}^{(1)} ; \ldots ; \alpha_{1}^{(n)}, \ldots, \alpha_{m_{n}}^{(n)}\right)$. The algebra $\mathcal{P}_{M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots, M_{n} ; \gamma}$ is uniquely given by the graph $\Gamma$, the character $\chi$, and $\gamma$, hence, we will denote it in the sequel by $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}$.

The additive spectral problem is equivalent to the following:

[^0](1) a) to describe the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma}=\{(\chi ; \gamma) \mid$ there exists a representation of the algebra $\left.\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}\right\}$,
b) for each character $\chi$, to describe the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}=\left\{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \mid\right.$there exists a representation of the algebra $\left.\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}\right\}$;
(2) for every pair $(\chi ; \gamma) \in \Sigma_{\Gamma}$ to describe all irreducible $*$-representation of $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}$.

Depending on the properties of the graph $\Gamma$, the structure of representations of $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}$ is quite different. The result of the recent paper [4] shows that if $\Gamma$ is a Dynkin graph of the type $A_{n}, D_{n}, E_{6}, E_{7}$, or $E_{8}$,

then $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}$ is finite dimensional, if $\Gamma$ is an extended Dynkin graph of the type $\tilde{D}_{4}, \tilde{E}_{6}$, $\tilde{E}_{7}, \tilde{E}_{8}$,

then the algebra $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}$ is infinite dimensional and of polynomial growth, and finally if $\Gamma$ neither a Dynkin graph nor an extended Dynkin graph, then $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}$ contains a free algebra with two generators (in this case, problem (2) could be too complicated).

In this paper, we study the sets $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ in the case where $\Gamma$ is an extended Dynkin graph, give a complete description of the set $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi}$, find conditions for the sets $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ to be infinite and conditions for existence of $*$-representations of $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}$ in a special case where $\gamma=\omega_{\Gamma}$ (see Section 2 for a definition of $\left.\omega_{\Gamma}\right)$.

## 1. A Description of the set $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi}$

As was shown in [11], the set $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi}$ can be reduced to the structure of set $\Sigma_{D_{4}, \chi} . \mathrm{A}$ complete description of the set $\Sigma_{D_{4}, \chi}$ was given in [7],

$$
\Sigma_{D_{4},\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}\right)}=\left\{0,\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right) / 2\right\} \cup\left\{\sum_{i \in J} \alpha_{i}, J \subset\{1,2,3\}\right\}
$$

where we assume that $\alpha_{3}<\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$, otherwise the set $\Sigma_{D_{4}, \chi}$ does not contain the point $\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right) / 2$.

Let $\alpha_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$, denote the $i$-th component of the character $\chi$ and $\alpha=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+$ $\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}$. The set $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi}$ satisfies the following properties (see [11]):
(1) $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi} \subset[0, \alpha]$;
(2) $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi} \ni \sum_{i \in J} \alpha_{i}, J \subset\{0,1,2,3,4\}$;
(3) $\tau \in \Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi} \Longleftrightarrow \alpha-\tau \in \Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi}$.

The third property means that $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi}$ is symmetric with respect to $\frac{\alpha}{2}$, and therefore we will study the set $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi} \cap\left[0, \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$.

Notice that in the case where at least one of the components of the character $\chi_{i} \geqslant \frac{\alpha}{2}$, the corresponding projection in the representation equals 0 or $I$, hence the structure of set $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi}$ is the same as the structure of $\Sigma_{D_{4}, \chi}$. Therefore, it is interesting to study the case where all components of the character $\chi$ are less than $\frac{\alpha}{2}$. In this case, the set $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi}$ is quite different from $\Sigma_{D_{4}, \chi}$, furthermore, it is infinite. The following propositions give a complete description of the set $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi}$ (the exact technique and proofs can be found in [11]).
Lemma 1. The set $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi}$ contains an infinite series $\Sigma_{\infty}$ with the limit point $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and the finite series $\Sigma_{0}$. These two series are described by the following:
(1) if $\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}>\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{\infty} & =\left\{\left.\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2 n} \right\rvert\, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
\Sigma_{0} & =\left\{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{\alpha-2 \alpha_{4}}{2(2 n-1)} \left\lvert\, n<\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{4}}\right., n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) if $\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}<\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{\infty} & =\left\{\left.\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{\alpha-2 \alpha_{4}}{2(2 n-1)} \right\rvert\, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
\Sigma_{0} & =\left\{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2 n} \left\lvert\, n<\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}}\right., n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) if $\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}$, then

$$
\Sigma_{\infty}=\left\{\left.\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{n} \right\rvert\, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, \quad \Sigma_{0}=\varnothing
$$

Theorem 1.

$$
\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi} \cap[0 ; \alpha / 2)=\Sigma_{\infty} \cup \Sigma_{0} \cup \Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}^{i} \cup \Sigma_{3} \cup \Sigma_{4} \cup \Sigma_{5}^{j}, \quad i=2,3,4, \quad j=1,2,3
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{1}=\left\{\left.\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2(4 n-1)} \right\rvert\, n<\frac{\alpha_{4}}{4 \alpha_{4}-\alpha}, n<\frac{\alpha-\alpha_{1}}{\alpha-4 \alpha_{1}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
& \Sigma_{2}^{i}=\left\{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{\alpha_{i}}{2 n} \left\lvert\, n<\frac{\alpha_{i}}{2 \alpha_{i}+2 \alpha_{4}-\alpha}\right., n<\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{1}}, n<\frac{\alpha_{i}}{4 \alpha_{i}-\alpha}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, i=2,3,4, \\
& \Sigma_{3}=\left\{\left.\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{\alpha-2 \alpha_{1}}{2(2 n+1)} \right\rvert\, n<\frac{\alpha-\alpha_{1}}{\alpha-4 \alpha_{1}}, n<\frac{\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}}{2\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{1}\right)}, n\left(4 \alpha_{i}-\alpha\right)<\alpha_{i}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, \\
& \Sigma_{4}=\left\{\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2(4 n+1)} \left\lvert\, n<\frac{\alpha-\alpha_{4}}{4 \alpha_{4}-\alpha}\right., n<\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha-4 \alpha_{1}}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, \\
& \Sigma_{5}^{i}=\left\{\left.\frac{\alpha}{2}-\frac{\alpha-2 \alpha_{i}}{2(2 n+1)} \right\rvert\, n<\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha-2 \alpha_{i}-2 \alpha_{1}}, n<\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha-4 \alpha_{i}}, n<\frac{\alpha-\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{i}}{2\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{i}\right)}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right\} \\
& i=1,2,3
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 1. By using the structure of $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi}$ given by the latter theorem, one can show that the set $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi}$ contains the only limit point $\omega_{\tilde{D}_{4}}(\chi)$.

## 2. Coxeter functors and evolution of Characters

A powerful tool for investigating the algebras $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}$ are the reflection (Coxeter) functors. Namely, there exist two functors [3] linear $S$ and hyperbolic $T$ which establish an equivalence between the categories of $*$-representations of the algebras $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}$. These actions between the categories give rise to an action on the pairs $(\chi ; \gamma)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S:(\chi ; \gamma) \longmapsto\left(\chi^{\prime} ; \gamma^{\prime}\right) \\
\chi^{\prime}=\left(\alpha_{m_{1}}^{(1)}-\alpha_{m_{1}-1}^{(1)}, \ldots, \alpha_{m_{1}}^{(1)} ; \ldots ; \alpha_{m_{n}}^{(n)}-\alpha_{m_{n}-1}^{(n)}, \ldots, \alpha_{m_{n}}^{(n)}\right), \\
\gamma^{\prime}=\alpha_{m_{1}}^{(1)}+\cdots+\alpha_{m_{n}}^{(n)}-\gamma ; \\
T:(\chi ; \gamma) \longmapsto\left(\chi^{\prime \prime} ; \gamma\right), \\
\chi^{\prime \prime}=\left(\gamma-\alpha_{m_{1}}^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma-\alpha_{1}^{(1)} ; \ldots ; \gamma-\alpha_{m_{n}}^{(n)}, \ldots, \gamma-\alpha_{1}^{(n)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The main idea is to take a pair $(\chi ; \gamma)$ such that the structure of representations of $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}$ is known and to apply the functors $S$ and $T$ to construct the whole series of algebras which have the same structure of representations as the algebra we started with.

To make a use of this technique, we first study the evolution of the pair ( $\chi ; \gamma$ ) under the action of the Coxeter functors. In the general case, for an arbitrary graph $\Gamma$ the formulas of the evolution could be complicated, but for the case where $\Gamma$ is an extended Dynkin graph the results of [9] give an explicit formula for powers of the functor $(S T)^{k_{\Gamma}}$.

Let $\omega(\chi)$ be a positive functional on the set of characters. We say that $\omega(\chi)$ is an invariant functional if the following conditions holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S:(\chi ; \omega(\chi)) \longmapsto\left(\chi^{\prime} ; \omega\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right), \\
& T:(\chi ; \omega(\chi)) \longmapsto\left(\chi^{\prime \prime} ; \omega\left(\chi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The results [9] prove that if $\Gamma$ is an extended Dynkin graph, then there is only one invariant functional,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega_{\tilde{D}_{4}}(\chi)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{1}^{(1)}+\alpha_{1}^{(2)}+\alpha_{1}^{(3)}+\alpha_{1}^{(4)}\right), \\
\omega_{\tilde{E}_{6}}(\chi)=\frac{1}{3}\left(\alpha_{1}^{(1)}+\alpha_{2}^{(1)}+\alpha_{1}^{(2)}+\alpha_{2}^{(2)}+\alpha_{1}^{(3)}+\alpha_{2}^{(3)}\right), \\
\omega_{\tilde{E}_{7}}(\chi)=\frac{1}{4}\left(\alpha_{1}^{(1)}+\alpha_{2}^{(1)}+\alpha_{3}^{(1)}+\alpha_{1}^{(2)}+\alpha_{2}^{(2)}+\alpha_{3}^{(2)}+2 \alpha_{1}^{(3)}\right), \\
\omega_{\tilde{E}_{8}}(\chi)=\frac{1}{6}\left(\alpha_{1}^{(1)}+\alpha_{2}^{(1)}+\alpha_{3}^{(1)}+\alpha_{4}^{(1)}+\alpha_{5}^{(1)}+2 \alpha_{1}^{(2)}+2 \alpha_{2}^{(2)}+3 \alpha_{1}^{(3)}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Recall that in the case where $\gamma=\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$, the algebras $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)}$ are $P I$-algebra (see [5]) and their irreducible representations are of dimensions not greater than $2,3,4$, and 6 for the graphs $\tilde{D}_{4}, \tilde{E}_{6}, \tilde{E}_{7}$, and $\tilde{E}_{8}$, respectively.

Put $p_{\Gamma}=2,3,4,6$ for $\Gamma=\tilde{D}_{4}, \tilde{E}_{6}, \tilde{E}_{7}$, and $\tilde{E}_{8}$, respectively. The evolution of the pair $(\chi ; \gamma)$ under the action of powers of $(S T)^{p_{\Gamma}\left(p_{\Gamma}-1\right)}$ functor could be written as follows.
Theorem 2. (see [9]). Let $\Gamma$ be an extended Dynkin graph. Then the following formula holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(S T)^{p_{\Gamma}\left(p_{\Gamma}-1\right) k}(\chi ; \gamma)=\left(\chi-k p_{\Gamma}\left(\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)-\gamma\right) \chi_{\Gamma} ; \gamma-k p_{\Gamma}^{2}\left(\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)-\gamma\right)\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{\Gamma}$ is a special character on $\Gamma$ (see [10]).
Applying the functor $(S T)$ to (1) we can obtain the evolution of the pair $(\chi ; \gamma)$ under the action of an arbitrary power $k \in \mathbb{N}$ of $(S T)^{k}$ (in what follows we denote by $(\chi(k) ; \gamma(k))$ the image of the pair $(\chi ; \gamma)$ under the action of the functor $\left.(S T)^{k}\right)$.

Proposition 1. The action of the functor $(S T)^{k}$ on the pair $(\chi ; \gamma)$ could be written in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(S T)^{k}(\chi ; \gamma)=\left(f_{1, k}(\chi)-\left(\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)-\gamma\right) f_{2, k}\left(\chi_{\Gamma}\right) ; \psi_{1, k}-\left(\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)-\gamma\right) \psi_{2, k}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the characters $f_{1, k}(\chi)$ and $f_{2, k}\left(\chi_{\Gamma}\right)$, and the numbers $\psi_{1, k}$ and $\psi_{2, k}$ satisfy the following properties:
(i) if $k_{1} \equiv k_{2}\left(\bmod p_{\Gamma}\left(p_{\Gamma}-1\right)\right)$, then $f_{1, k_{1}}(\chi)=f_{1, k_{2}}(\chi)$ and $\psi_{1, k_{1}}=\psi_{1, k_{2}}$;
(ii) the components of $f_{2, k}\left(\chi_{\Gamma}\right)$ and the numbers $\psi_{2, k}$ are defined in the following way:

$$
f_{2, k}\left(\chi_{\Gamma}\right)_{i}^{(j)}=\left[\frac{\left(\chi_{\Gamma}\right)_{i}^{(j)}}{p_{\Gamma}-1} k\right], \quad \psi_{2, k}=\left[\frac{p_{\Gamma}}{p_{\Gamma}-1} k\right]
$$

(iii) $f_{1, p_{\Gamma}\left(p_{\Gamma}-1\right) k}=\chi, f_{2, p_{\Gamma}\left(p_{\Gamma}-1\right) k}=k p_{\Gamma} \chi_{\Gamma}, \psi_{1, k}=\gamma$, and $\psi_{2, k}=k p_{\Gamma}^{2}$.

Proof. Make a direct calculation using formula (1).
Remark 1. Property (i) means that the orbits of $f_{1,0}(\chi)$ and $\psi_{1,0}$ under the action of the functor $(S T)$ is finite and its length equals $p_{\Gamma}\left(p_{\Gamma}-1\right)$. The formulas for the characters $f_{1, k}$ and the numbers $\psi_{1, k}$ are complicated (unlike for the characters $f_{2, k}\left(\chi_{\Gamma}\right)$ and the numbers $\psi_{2, k}$ ) and we do not give a list of their evolutions (this list contains 30 items in the case where $\Gamma=\tilde{E}_{8}$ ). Nevertheless, using (1) one can compute their values.

## 3. Structure properties of the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$

Definition 1. Let $\pi: \mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{H})$ be a finite dimensional $*$-representation on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We call a vector $d$ a generalized dimension of $\pi$, which is defined as follows:

$$
d_{0}=\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{H}), \quad d_{i}^{(j)}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\pi\left(p_{i}^{(j)}\right)\right)\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, n, \quad i=1, \ldots, m_{j} .
$$

One can extend the action of the functors $S$ and $T$ to the set of generalized dimensions (see for example [3]).

Lemma 2. The sets $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ satisfy the following properties:
(i) $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi} \subset\left[0 ; \sum_{j=1}^{n} \chi_{m_{j}}^{(j)}\right]$,
(ii) $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi} \ni \sum_{j \in J} \chi_{m_{j}}^{(j)}, \quad J \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Proof. Let us, for example, prove property (ii). It is clear that in this case, $P_{m_{j}}^{(j)}=I$, if $j \in J$, and $P_{i}^{(j)}=0$, if $j \in J, i \neq m_{j}$ or $j \notin J, i \in 1, \ldots, m_{j}$, form a representation of $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}$.

If knowing the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi} \cap\left[0, \omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)\right]$, one can restore the whole set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$, since the functor $S$ establishes a bijective correspondence between the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi} \backslash\left[0, \omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)\right]$ and the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi^{\prime}} \cap\left[0, \omega_{\Gamma}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

According to Lemma 1, the set $\Sigma_{\tilde{D}_{4}, \chi}$ is infinite if and only if all components of the character $\chi$ satisfy the condition $\chi_{i}<\frac{\alpha}{2}$ (in other words, this means that $\chi_{i}<\omega_{\tilde{D}_{4}}(\chi)$ ). Let us study a similar question for all extended Dynkin graphs.

Let $\chi_{i}$ be the $i$-th component of the character $\chi$ and $\chi_{i}^{\prime}$ the corresponding component of the character $\chi^{\prime}$ obtained by applying the functor $S$ to the pair $(\chi, \gamma)$.

Theorem 3. Let $\Gamma$ be an extended Dynkin graph. The set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ is infinite if and only if all components of the character satisfy the two conditions $\chi_{i}<\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$ and $\chi_{i}^{\prime}<\omega_{\Gamma}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. We prove this theorem in several steps. At first we show that the conditions $\chi_{i}<\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$ and $\chi_{i}^{\prime}<\omega_{\Gamma}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$ are both necessary for the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ to be infinite.

Lemma 3. If at least one component of the character $\chi$ or the character $\chi^{\prime}$ satisfies the condition $\chi_{i} \geq \omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$ or $\chi_{i}^{\prime} \geq \omega_{\Gamma}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$, then the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ is finite.

Proof. It is not hard to check that the projection corresponding to a component of $\chi$ that satisfies the condition is equal to 0 or to $I$ in a representation. Hence, the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ has the same structure as the set $\Sigma_{\tilde{\Gamma}, \chi}$, where $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a proper subgraph of $\Gamma$. Since the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ is always finite if $\Gamma$ is a Dynkin graph (see [7]), the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ is also finite.

Remark 2. Conditions $\chi_{i}<\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$ and $\chi_{i}^{\prime}<\omega_{\Gamma}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent if $\Gamma=\tilde{D}_{4}$, but are not, generally speaking, if $\Gamma \neq \tilde{D}_{4}$. For example, consider the character $\chi=(5,6 ; 7,8 ; 8,9)$ on the graph $\Gamma=\tilde{E}_{6}$. All components of $\chi$ satisfy $\chi_{i}<\omega_{\tilde{E}_{6}}(\chi)$ but, for the corresponding character $\chi^{\prime}=(1,6 ; 1,8 ; 1,9)$, they do not.

To prove that the conditions $\chi_{i}<\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$ and $\chi_{i}^{\prime}<\omega_{\Gamma}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right)$ are sufficient, we will consider a special procedure (e.g., for $\Gamma=\tilde{E}_{6}$ ) which allows to build infinite series in $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ with the limit point $\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$.

Let $\Gamma=\tilde{E}_{6}$ and the latter inequalities hold. Consider the sets

$$
A_{\chi_{1}^{(j)}}=\left\{\left(f_{1, k}(\chi)\right)_{1}^{(j)} \mid k=1, \ldots, p_{\tilde{E}_{6}}\left(p_{\tilde{E}_{6}}-1\right)=6\right\}
$$

which are the sets of orbits of the components $f_{1,0}(\chi)_{1}^{(j)}$ under the action of the functor $(S T)$, and consider the set

$$
A=\bigcup_{j=1}^{3} A_{\chi_{1}^{(j)}}
$$

Put $a=\min A$ and let $l$ and $m$ be such that $\left(f_{1, m}(\chi)\right)_{l}=a$. Consider the sequence $\gamma_{n}=\omega_{\tilde{E}_{6}}(\chi)-\frac{a}{\varphi_{n}}$, where $\left.\varphi_{n}=\left(f_{2, p_{\tilde{E}_{6}}\left(p_{\tilde{E}_{6}}-1\right) n+m}\left(\chi_{\tilde{E}_{6}}\right)\right)_{l}\right)=\left[\frac{6 n+m}{2}\right]$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Lemma 4. The algebra $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{E}_{6}, \chi, \gamma_{n}}$ has a representation for every natural $n$.
Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and apply the functor $(S T)^{6 n+m-2}$ to the pair $\left(\chi ; \omega_{\tilde{E}_{6}}(\chi)-\frac{a}{\varphi_{n}}\right)$. To check that this action is correct, we have to show that, at each step $k \leqslant 6 n+m-2$, we will get the pair $(\chi(k) ; \gamma(k))$, where $\gamma(k)$ and all components of the $\chi(k)$ are positive. Indeed, consider, for example, the component $\chi(k)_{i}^{(j)}$ at the step $k \leqslant 6 n+m-2$. Using formula (2) we get

$$
\chi(k)_{i}^{(j)}=\left(f_{1, k \bmod 6}(\chi)\right)_{i}^{(j)}-\left(f_{2, k}\left(\chi_{\tilde{E}_{6}}\right)\right)_{i}^{(j)} \frac{a}{\varphi_{n}} \geqslant a\left(1-\left[\frac{k}{2}\right]\left[\frac{6 n+m}{2}\right]^{-1}\right) \geqslant 0
$$

To complete the proof, it remains to note that $\chi(6 n+m)_{l}=0$, hence the corresponding component $\chi(6 n+m-2)_{l}=\gamma(6 n+m-2)$. According to Lemma 2, the algebra $\mathcal{P}_{\tilde{E}_{6}, \chi(6 n+m-2), \gamma(6 n+m-2)}$ has a representation.

Notice that the same procedure was used to build infinite series in the case where $\Gamma=\tilde{D}_{4}$ (see Lemma 1). This procedure could be slightly modified for the cases of $\Gamma=\tilde{E}_{7}$ and $\Gamma=\tilde{E}_{8}$, hence the theorem holds.

An interesting question is when there exists a $*$-representation of the algebras $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \gamma}$ in the case where $\gamma=\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$. If $\Gamma=\tilde{D}_{4}$, this question was studied in [6] and the answer is as follows: a representation exists if all components of the character $\chi$ satisfy the condition $\chi_{i}<\omega_{\tilde{D}_{4}}(\chi)$. A similar answer appears to be correct for all extended Dynkin graphs.

Corollary 2. (A representation in the case where $\gamma=\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$ ) Let $\Gamma$ be an extended Dynkin graph, and $\chi$ be a character on $\Gamma$ such that the conditions of Th. 3 are satisfied. Then there is a representation of the algebra $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)}$
Proof. Since the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied, there exists a series in $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ with the limit point $\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$. By Shulman's theorem (see [8]) the sets $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ are closed, therefore, the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ contains the point $\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$.
Remark 3. Using the previous corollary one can determine if there is a $*$-representation of $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi, \omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)}$ for a fixed character $\chi$. Indeed, if the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied, then there exists a representation, if not we construct a proper graph by deleting vertices where the components of $\chi$ do not satisfy the desired conditions. Since we know an exact answer for all proper subgraphs of extended Dynkin graphs, we can determine whether there is a representation.

Theorem 4. Let $\Gamma$ be an extended Dynkin graph. If the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$ is infinite, then it contains the only limit point.
Proof. Let us fix $\gamma<\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$ and apply the functor $(S T)^{k}$ to the pair $(\chi ; \gamma)$. Using formulas (2) we see that there exists $k<\infty$ such that one of three following situations can occur (and all components of the character $\chi(\tilde{k})$ and $\gamma(\tilde{k}), \tilde{k}<k$ are positive):
(a) $\gamma(k)=0$. In this case there exists a $*$-representation of the algebra $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi(k), \gamma(k)}$ with the generalized dimension $d(k)$ defined by

$$
d(k)_{0}=0, \quad d(k)_{i}^{(j)}=0
$$

(b) $\chi(k)_{1}^{(j)}=\gamma(k)$, for $j \in J \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$. In this case, there exists a $*$-representation of the algebra $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma, \chi(k), \gamma(k)}$ with the generalized dimension $d(k)$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(k)_{0}=1, \quad d(k)_{1}^{(j)}=1, \quad \text { if } j=m, \\
& d(k)_{i}^{(j)}=0, \quad \text { if } j \in J \text { and } j \neq m, \\
& d(k)_{i}^{(j)}=0, \quad \text { if } i=1, j \notin J \quad \text { or } \quad i \neq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m=\min J$.
(c) $\chi(k)_{i}^{(j)}>\gamma(k)$ for $j \in J^{\prime} \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $i \in J^{\prime \prime} \subset\left\{1, \ldots, m_{j}\right\}$. In this case, we construct a new graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$ by deleting vertices from $G$ that correspond to the sets $J^{\prime}$ and $J^{\prime \prime}$ (the branch from $J^{\prime}$ and vertex form $J^{\prime \prime}$ ). Since we know the exact structure of the set $\Sigma_{\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\chi}}($ see $[7])$, where $\tilde{\chi}$ is the restriction of $\chi(k)$ to $\tilde{\Gamma}$, we can determine whether $\gamma(k)$ lies in $\Sigma_{\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\chi}}$, and if the latter is true, to build a corresponding vector of the generalized dimension $d(k)$.
Applying the above procedure rule to each pair $(\chi ; \gamma) \in \Sigma_{\Gamma}, \gamma<\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$ we get a pair $(k ; d(k))$, which means that we decompose the set

$$
\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi} \cap\left[0, \omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)\right]=\bigcup_{k, d} \Sigma_{k, d}
$$

where the index $d$ ranges over all possible generalized dimensions and $k=0, \ldots, p_{\Gamma}\left(p_{\Gamma}-\right.$ 1) - 1 (indeed according to (2) the functor $(S T)$ acts cyclically, hence, in order to describe the sets $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$, we can take into consideration all possible vectors of the generalized dimensions along one cycle).

Lemma 5. The index d ranges over a finite number of possible generalized dimensions.
Proof. Each $d_{i}$ corresponds to the case (a), (b) or (c). It is clear that in the cases (a), (b), the number of different generalized dimension is finite. In the case where $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a proper subgraph of the extended Dynkin graph (see [7]), the number of different generalized
dimensions is also finite, hence this proves the case where $d_{i}$ corresponds to (c) and the lemma holds.

Lemma 6. The sets $\Sigma_{k, d}, k \in\left\{0, \ldots, p_{\Gamma}\left(p_{\Gamma}-1\right)-1\right\}$ are either finite or have the only limit point $\omega_{\Gamma}(\chi)$.

Proof. Direct calculation using (2).
Now the theorem is an obvious corollary of Lemma 2 and Lemma 3.
Remark 4. The previous theorem gives an exact algorithm for describing the set $\Sigma_{\Gamma, \chi}$, but it turns out to be combinatorially hard to get explicit formulas for the extended Dynkin graph $\Gamma \neq \tilde{D}_{4}$ (the case $\Gamma=\tilde{D}_{4}$ is simpler, and a complete description was done in [11] and is given in Section 1).
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