# PG-FRAMES IN BANACH SPACES

#### M. R. ABDOLLAHPOUR, M. H. FAROUGHI, AND A. RAHIMI

ABSTRACT. For extending the concepts of *p*-frame, frame for Banach spaces and atomic decomposition, we will define the concept of *pg*-frame and *g*-frame for Banach spaces, by which each  $f \in X$  (X is a Banach space) can be represented by an unconditionally convergent series  $f = \sum g_i \Lambda_i$ , where  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a *pg*-frame,  $\{g_i\} \in (\sum \oplus Y_i^*)_{l_q}$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . In fact, a *pg*-frame  $\{\Lambda_i\}$  is a kind of an overcomplete basis for  $X^*$ . We also show that every separable Banach space X has a *g*-Banach frame with bounds equal to 1.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Various generalization of frames for Hilbert spaces have been proposed recently. For example, frame of subspaces [3], pseudo-frames [14], bounded quasi-projectors [10], oblique frames [7], [8] and so on. The most recent of these belongs to Wenchang Sun. In this generalization, W. Sun chose a family of bounded operators on a sequence of Hilbert spaces and called this system a generalized frame or a g-frame. By his extension, if  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  is a g-frame then every element  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  can be represented as  $f = \sum_{i\in J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i S^{-1} f$ .

The concept of frames in Banach spaces have been introduced by Christensen and Stoeva [5], Casazza, Han and Larson [4] and Grochenig [11]. In the present paper, by using Sun's extension and some techniques in a frame for Banach spaces, we shall introduce pg-frames and g-frames for Banach spaces that allows every element  $f \in X$  to be represented by an unconditionally convergent series  $f = \sum_{i \in J} g_i \Lambda_i f$ , where  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ is a pg-frame,  $\{g_i\}_{i \in J} \in (\sum \oplus Y_i^*)_{l_q}$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Throughout this paper, J is a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  is a separable Hilbert space,  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$ 

Throughout this paper, J is a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}$  is a separable Hilbert space,  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a sequence of separable Hilbert spaces, X is a Banach space with dual  $X^*$  and also  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a sequence of Banach spaces.

**Definition 1.1.** We call a sequence  $\{\Lambda_i \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$  a *g*-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$  if there exist two positive constants A and B such that

$$A\|f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in J} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 \le B\|f\|^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}$$

We call A and B the lower and upper g-frame bounds, respectively.

We call  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  a tight g-frame if A = B and Parseval g-frame if A = B = 1. The following proposition was proved in [18] and gives a representation for each  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in J\}$  be a g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ . The operator

$$S: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H},$$
$$Sf = \sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f$$

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 41A58; Secondary 42C15.

Key words and phrases. Atomic decomposition, Bessel sequence, frame, Banach frame, g-frame, g-Banach frame, pg-frame, qg-Riesz basis, perturbation.

is a positive invertible operator and every  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  has an expansion

$$f = \sum_{i \in J} S^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f = \sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i S^{-1} f.$$

The operator S is called the g-frame operator of  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $1 . A countable family <math>\{g_i\}_{i \in J} \subseteq X^*$  is a *p*-frame for X, if there exist constants A, B > 0 such that

$$A||f||_X \le \left(\sum |g_i(f)|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le B||f||_X, \quad f \in X.$$

We will use the following lemma; its proof can be found in [13].

**Lemma 1.4.** If  $U: X \to Y$  is a bounded operator from a Banach space X into a Banach space Y then its adjoint  $U^*: Y^* \to X^*$  is surjective, if and only if, U has a bounded inverse on  $\mathcal{R}_U$ .

### 2. Duals of g-frames

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  and  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i\in J}$  be two *g*-frames for  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$f = \sum_{i \in J} \Theta_i^* \Lambda_i f, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}$$

then  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i\in J}$  is called an alternate dual of  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$ .

We have the following situation which shows that if  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i\in J}$  is an alternate dual of  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  then  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  is an alternate dual of  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i\in J}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  and  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i \in J}$  be g-frames for a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$  such that

$$f = \sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* \Theta_i f, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}$$

then for each  $f \in \mathcal{H}, f = \sum_{i \in J} \Theta_i^* \Lambda_i f$ .

*Proof.* Let us define  $T : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  by  $Tf = \sum_{i \in J} \Theta_i^* \Lambda_i f$ . If the upper *g*-frame bounds of  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  and  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i \in J}$  are *B* and *B'*, respectively, then

$$T\| = \sup_{\|f\|=1} |\langle Tf, f \rangle|$$
  
$$\leq \sup_{\|f\|=1} \left( \sum_{i \in J} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{i \in J} \|\Theta_i f\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{BB'}.$$

Hence  $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ . For  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\langle Tf,g\rangle = \langle \sum_{i\in J} \Theta_i^* \Lambda_i f,g\rangle = \sum_{i\in J} \langle \Lambda_i f,\Theta_i f\rangle.$$

Also,

$$\langle f,g \rangle = \langle f, \sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* \Theta_i g \rangle = \sum_{i \in J} \langle \Lambda_i f, \Theta_i g \rangle.$$

So  $\langle Tf,g \rangle = \langle f,g \rangle$  for all  $f,g \in \mathcal{H}$ , which implies that T = I.

Let  $\{f_i\}$  be a frame for a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $V : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$  be an invertible operator. Then  $\{Vf_i\}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  and the same result holds for g-frames.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  be a g-frame for a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i\in J}$ and  $V \in B(\mathcal{H})$  be an invertible operator. Then  $\{\Lambda_i V\}_{i\in J}$  is a g-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i\in J}$  and its g-frame operator is  $S' = V^*SV$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  be a *g*-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ . We have

$$A\|Vf\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in J} \|\Lambda_i Vf\|^2 \le B\|Vf\|^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

202

Since V is invertible,

$$A\|V^{-1}\|^{-2}\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i \in J} \|\Lambda_{i}Vf\|^{2} \leq B\|V\|^{2}\|f\|^{2}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H},$$

so  $\{\Lambda_i V\}_{i \in J}$  is a *g*-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

For each  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$SVf = \sum_{i \in J} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i Vf,$$

therefore

$$V^*SVf = \sum_{i \in J} V^*\Lambda_i^*\Lambda_iVf.$$

Let S' be the g-frame operator of  $\{\Lambda_i V\}_{i \in J}$ , then for each  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$S'f = \sum_{i \in J} V^* \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i V f,$$

hence  $S' = V^* S V$ .

Note that when  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  is a g-frame for a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with respect to  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i\in J}$ and  $\{\Theta_i \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i)\}_{i\in J}$  is a family of bounded operators such that  $f = \sum_{i\in J} \Theta_i^* \Lambda_i f$ for each  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i\in J}$  is not necessarily a g-frame. For instance, let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$  and  $K_i = \mathbb{C}$ , choose sequences  $\{c_i\}$  and  $\{d_i\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\sum_{i\in J} |d_i|^2 = \infty$ ,  $\sum_{i\in J} |c_i|^2 = 1$ and  $\sum_{i\in J} c_i \bar{d}_i = 1$ . If  $\Lambda_i f = c_i f$  and  $\Theta_i f = d_i f$  then  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  is a normalized tight g-frame for  $\mathbb{C}$  and

$$\sum_{i\in J} \Theta_i^* \Lambda_i f = \sum_{i\in J} \Theta_i^*(c_i f) = \sum_{i\in J} c_i \bar{d}_i f = f, \quad f \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Also we have

$$\sum_{i \in J} \|\Theta_i f\|^2 = \sum_{i \in J} \|d_i f\|^2 = \sum_{i \in J} |d_i|^2 \|f\|^2 = \infty.$$

Therefore  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i \in J}$  is not a *g*-frame for  $\{c_i\}$ .

Let  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$  be a sequence of Hilbert spaces. Then, the orthogonal sum of  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$  is the Hilbert space

$$\bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{H}_i = \left\{ \{f_i\} : f_i \in \mathcal{H}_i, \sum_{i \in J} \|f_i\|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

with the inner product defined by

$$\langle \{f_i\}, \{g_i\} \rangle = \sum_i \langle f_i, g_i \rangle.$$

Let for all  $i \in J$ ,  $\Lambda_i \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i)$ . Then, we define the operator  $\bigoplus_{i \in J} \Lambda_i$  on  $\bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{H}_i$  by  $\bigoplus_{i \in J} \Lambda_i(\{f_i\}) = \{\Lambda_i f_i\}_{i \in J}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  and  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i\in J}$  be two g-frames for Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  and K with respect to  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i\in J}$  and  $\{K_i\}_{i\in J}$ , respectively. Then  $\{\Lambda_i \oplus \Theta_i\}_{i\in J}$  is a g-frame for  $\mathcal{H} \oplus K$  with respect to  $\{\mathcal{H}_i \oplus K_i\}_{i\in J}$  and

$$S_{\Lambda\oplus\Theta} = S_{\Lambda} \oplus S_{\Theta},$$

where  $S_{\Lambda\oplus\Theta}$ ,  $S_{\Lambda}$  and  $S_{\Theta}$  are the g-frame operators of  $\{\Lambda_i\oplus\Theta_i\}_{i\in J}$ ,  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  and  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i\in J}$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  be a *g*-frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with bounds  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  with respect to  $\{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in J}$ , then

(2.1) 
$$A_1 \|f\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in J} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 \le B_1 \|f\|^2$$

203

for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . Suppose that  $\{\Theta_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a g-frame for K with bounds  $A_2$  and  $B_2$  with respect to  $\{K_i\}_{i \in J}$ , we have

(2.2) 
$$A_2 \|g\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in J} \|\Theta_i g\|^2 \le B_2 \|g\|^2$$

for each  $g \in \mathcal{H}$ . From (2.1) and (2.2) we conclude that for each  $f \in H$  and  $g \in K$ ,

$$A_1 ||f||^2 + A_2 ||g||^2 \le \sum_{i \in J} ||\Lambda_i f||^2 + ||\Theta_i g||^2 \le B_1 ||f||^2 + B_2 ||g||^2.$$

Let  $A = \min\{A_1, A_2\}$ ,  $B = \max\{B_1, B_2\}$  and  $f \oplus g \in H \oplus K$ . We have

$$A\|f \oplus g\|^2 \le \sum_{i \in J} \|(\Lambda_i \oplus \Theta_i)(f \oplus g)\|^2 \le B\|f \oplus g\|^2.$$

So,

$$S_{\Lambda\oplus\Theta}(f\oplus g) = \sum_{i\in J} (\Lambda_i\oplus\Theta_i)^*(\Lambda_i\oplus\Theta_i)(f\oplus g) = \sum_{i\in J} (\Lambda_i^*\oplus\Theta_i^*)(\Lambda_if\oplus\Theta_ig)$$
$$= \sum_{i\in J} (\Lambda_i^*\oplus\Theta_i^*)(\Lambda_if\oplus\Theta_ig) = \sum_{i\in J} (\Lambda_i^*\Lambda_if\oplus\Theta_i^*\Theta_ig)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{i\in J} (\Lambda_i^*\Lambda_if\right) \oplus \left(\sum_{i\in J} \Theta_i^*\Theta_ig\right) = (S_{\Lambda}\oplus S_{\Theta})(f\oplus g).$$

Hence,  $S_{\Lambda \oplus \Theta} = S_{\Lambda} \oplus S_{\Theta}$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** If  $\Lambda_i = {\Lambda_{ij}}_{j \in J}$  is a g-frame for a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_i$  with respect to  ${\mathcal{H}_{ij}}_{j \in J}$ , with bounds  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  such that  $\inf_{i \in J} A_i = A > 0$  and  $\sup_{i \in J} B_i = B < \infty$ . Then  $\Lambda = {\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_i}$  is a g-frame for the Hilbert space  $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_i$  with respect to  ${\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{ij}}_{i \in J}$  with bounds A and B.

# 3. PG-frame

As mentioned earlier, a *p*-frame for Banach spaces was introduced by Christensen and Stoeva [5] and a *p*-frame of subspaces by Faroughi and Najati [15]. The following definition is a generalization of *g*-frames that helps for every  $f \in X^*$  to be represented as an unconditionally convergent series.

**Definition 3.1.** We call a sequence  $\{\Lambda_i \in B(X, Y_i) : i \in J\}$  a *pg*-frame for X with respect to  $\{Y_i : i \in J\}$  if there exist A, B > 0 such that

(3.1) 
$$A\|x\|_X \le \left(\sum_{i \in J} \|\Lambda_i x\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le B\|x\|_X, \quad x \in X.$$

A, B is called the pg-frame bounds of  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ .

If only the second inequality in (3.1) is satisfied,  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  is called a *pg*-Bessel sequence for X with respect to  $\{Y_i : i \in J\}$  with bound B.

Similar to frames and g-frames [16], the following propositions show that the image of a pg-frame under a bounded operator is also a pg-frame.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  be a pg-frame for X with respect to  $\{Y_i\}_{i\in J}$ . Let S be a bounded invertible operator on X and  $\Gamma_i = \Lambda_i S$ . Then  $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i\in J}$  is a pg-frame for X with pg-frame bounds  $A\|S^{-1}\|^{-1}$  and  $B\|S\|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  be a *pg*-frame for X. Then

$$A\|Sx\|_X \le \left(\sum_{i\in J} \|\Lambda_i Sx\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le B\|Sx\|_X, \quad x \in X.$$

Since S is invertible,

$$A\|S^{-1}\|^{-1}\|x\|_X \le \left(\sum_{i\in J} \|\Gamma_i x\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le B\|S\|\|x\|_X, \quad x \in X,$$

so  $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a *pg*-frame for X.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  be a pg-frame for X with respect to  $\{Y_i\}_{i\in J}$  and  $S: X \to X$  be an isometry. If  $\Gamma_i = \Lambda_i S$  then  $\{\Gamma_i\}_{i\in J}$  is a pg-frame for X with the same bounds.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  be a pg-frame for X with respect to  $\{Y_i\}_{i\in J}$  and  $S: X \to X$  be a bounded operator. Then  $\{\Lambda_i S\}_{i\in J}$  is a pg-frame for X if and only if S is bounded below.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\Lambda_i S\}_{i \in J}$  be a pg-frame for X with bounds m, n. We have

$$m\|x\|_X \le \left(\sum_{i\in J} \|\Lambda_i Sx\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le n\|x\|_X, \quad x\in X.$$

Let A, B be pg-frame bounds of  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ . Since

$$A\|Sx\|_X \le \left(\sum_{i\in J} \|\Lambda_i Sx\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le B\|Sx\|_X, \quad x \in X,$$

 $m\|x\|_X \leq B\|Sx\|_X$ . Thus, for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\|Sx\|_X \geq \frac{\delta}{m}\|x\|_X$ . Now, suppose there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\|Sx\|_X > \delta\|x\|_X$ . Since

$$A\delta \|x\|_{X} \le A \|Sx\|_{X} \le \left(\sum_{i \in J} \|\Lambda_{i}Sx\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le B \|Sx\|_{X} \le B \|S\| \|x\|_{X},$$

 $\{\Lambda_i S\}$  is a *pg*-frame for X with bounds  $A\delta$  and B||S||.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in J}$  be a sequence of Banach spaces. We define

$$\left(\sum_{i\in J}\oplus Y_i\right)_{l_p} = \left\{ \{x_i\}_{i\in J} | x_i\in Y_i, \left(\sum \|x_i\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty \right\}.$$

Then  $\left(\sum_{i\in J} \oplus Y_i\right)_{l_p}$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|\{x_i\}_{i\in J}\|_p = \left(\sum_{i\in J} \|x_i\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Let  $1 < p, q < \infty$  be conjugate exponents, i.e.  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . If  $x^* = \{x_i^*\}_{i \in J} \in (\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i^*)_{l_q}$  then an easy computation shows that the formula

$$\langle x, x^* \rangle = \sum_{i \in J} \langle x_i, x_i^* \rangle, \quad x = \{x_i\} \in \left(\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i\right)_{l_p}$$

defines a continuous functional on  $(\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i)_{l_p}$  whose norm is equal to  $||x^*||_q$  and its dual can be characterized with the following lemma whose proof can be found in [1].

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $1 < p, q < \infty$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then

$$\left(\sum_{i\in J} \oplus Y_i\right)_{l_p}^* = \left(\sum_{i\in J} \oplus Y_i^*\right)_{l_q},$$

where the equality holds under the duality

(3.2)

$$\langle x, x^* \rangle = \sum_{i \in J} \langle x_i, x_i^* \rangle$$

**Definition 3.7.** If  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a *pg*-frame, we define the operators T and U, by

$$U: X \to \left(\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i\right)_{l_p}$$
$$U: T = \{\Lambda: T\}: \in J$$

205

M. R. ABDOLLAHPOUR, M. H. FAROUGHI, AND A. RAHIMI

(3.3) 
$$T: \left(\sum_{i\in J} \oplus Y_i^*\right)_{l_q} \to X^*,$$
$$T\{g_i\}_{i\in J} = \sum_{i\in J} g_i\Lambda_i.$$

The operators U, T are called the analysis and synthesis operators of  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ .

Now, we characterize pg-Bessel sequence and pg-frames by the operator T defined by (3.3).

**Proposition 3.8.**  $\{\Lambda_i \in B(X, Y_i) : i \in J\}$  is a pg-Bessel sequence for X with respect to  $\{Y_i\}$  if and only if the operator T defined by (3.3) is a well defined and bounded operator.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a *pg*-Bessel sequence with bound *B*, then we show that for each  $\{f_i\}_{i \in J} \in (\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i^*)_{l_q}$  the series  $\sum_{i \in J} f_i \Lambda_i$  is convergent unconditionally. For finite subsets  $J_1, J_2 \subset J$  and  $J_2 \subsetneq J_1$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \|\sum_{i\in J_1} f_i\Lambda_i - \sum_{i\in J_2} f_i\Lambda_i\| &= \|\sum_{i\in J_1\setminus J_2}^k f_i\Lambda_i\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|\sum_{i\in J_1\setminus J_2} f_i\Lambda_ix\| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \sum_{i\in J_1\setminus J_2} \|f_i\| \|\Lambda_ix\| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i\in J_1\setminus J_2} \|f_i\|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \left(\sum_{i\in J_1\setminus J_2} \|\Lambda_ix\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq B\left(\sum_{i\in J_1\setminus J_2} \|f_i\|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{split}$$

so,  $\sum_{i \in J} f_i \Lambda_i$  is unconditionally convergent. By the same argument,

$$\left\|\sum_{i\in J}f_i\Lambda_i\right\| \le B\left(\sum_{i\in J}\|f_i\|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Hence,

$$||T\{f_i\}_{i\in J}|| \le B\left(\sum_{i\in J} ||f_i||^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = B||\{f_i\}||_q,$$

so, T is bounded and  $||T|| \leq B$ .

For the converse, assume that T is well define and bounded. For  $x \in X$ , consider

$$F_x : \left(\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i^*\right)_{l_q} \to \mathbb{C},$$
  
$$F_x(\{g_i\}) = (T\{g_i\})(x) = \sum_{i \in J} g_i \Lambda_i x,$$

then  $F_x$  is in  $(\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i^*)_{l_q}^*$ , so

$$\{\Lambda_i x\} \in \left(\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i\right)_{l_p}$$

and

# $||F_x(\{g_i\})|| \le ||T|| ||\{g_i\}||_q ||x||.$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there is  $\{g_i\} \in (\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i^*)_{l_q}$  with  $\|\{g_i\}\|_q \leq 1$  such that

$$\|\{\Lambda_i x\}\|_p = \big|\sum_{i\in J} g_i \Lambda_i x\big|$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\sum_{i\in J} \|\Lambda_i x\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|\{\Lambda_i x\}\|_p \le \sup_{\|\{g_i\}\|_q \le 1} \left|\sum_{i\in J} g_i \Lambda_i x\right| = \|F_x\| \le \|T\| \|x\|. \qquad \Box$$

**Lemma 3.9.** If  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a pg-frame, then the operator U has closed range.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  be a pg-frame. Then there exist A, B > 0 such that

$$A||x||_X \le \left(\sum_{i\in J} \|\Lambda_i x\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le B||x||_X, \quad x \in X.$$

So,

 $A||x|| \le ||Ux|| \le B||x||.$ 

If Ux = 0 then x = 0, hence U is one-to-one and so  $X \simeq \mathcal{R}_U$ , therefore U has closed range.

**Lemma 3.10.** If all of  $Y_i$ 's are reflexive and  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a pg-frame for X with respect to  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in J}$  then X is reflexive.

*Proof.* By lemma (3.9),  $\mathcal{R}_U$  is a closed subspace of  $\left(\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i\right)_{l_p}$  and  $X \simeq \mathcal{R}_U$  so X is reflexive.

**Lemma 3.11.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  be a pg-Bessel sequence for X with respect to  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in J}$ . Then (i)  $U^* = T$ .

(ii) If  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  has the lower pg-frame condition and all of  $Y_i$ 's are reflexive, then  $T^* = U$ .

*Proof.* (i) For any  $x \in X$  and  $\{g_i\}_{i \in J} \in \left(\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i^*\right)_{l_a}$ , we have

$$\langle Ux, \{g_i\}_{i \in J} \rangle = \langle \{\Lambda_i x\}_{i \in J}, \{g_i\}_{i \in J} \rangle = \sum_{i \in J} \langle \Lambda_i x, g_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in J} g_i \Lambda_i x$$

and

$$\langle x, T\{g_i\}_{i \in J} \rangle = \langle x, \sum_{i \in J} g_i \Lambda_i \rangle = \sum_{i \in J} g_i \Lambda_i x,$$

so  $T^* = U$ .

(ii) By Lemma (3.9)  $\mathcal{R}_U$  is a closed subspace of  $(\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i)_{l_p}$  and so is reflexive, so  $U^{**} = T^*$  hence  $U = T^*$ .

**Theorem 3.12.**  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a pg-frame for X with respect to  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in J}$  if and only if the operator T defined by (3.3) is a surjective bounded operator.

*Proof.* If  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a *pg*-frame, by Proposition (3.8), *T* is well-defined and bounded. The proof of Lemma (3.9) shows that *U* is injective, so by Lemma (1.4) and (3.11)(i)  $U^* = T$  is onto.

Conversely, assume that T is bounded and onto. Then Proposition (3.8) implies that  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  is a pg-Bessel sequence. Since  $T = U^*$  is onto, by Lemma (1.4), U has a bounded inverse. So there exists A > 0 such that for all  $x \in X$ ,  $||Ux|| \ge A||x||$ . In other words,  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  satisfies the lower pg-frame condition.

**Corollary 3.13.** If  $\{\Lambda_i \in B(X, Y_i) : i \in J\}$  is a pg-frame for X with respect to  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in J}$ then for any  $x^* \in X^*$  there exists a  $\{g_i\}_{i \in J} \in (\sum \oplus Y_i^*)_{l_q}$  such that

$$x^* = \sum_{i \in J} g_i \Lambda_i.$$

**Definition 3.14.** Let  $1 < q < \infty$ . A family  $\{\Lambda_i \in B(X, Y_i) : i \in J\}$  is called a *qg*-Riesz basis for  $X^*$  with respect to  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in J}$ , if

- (i)  $\{f : \Lambda_i f = 0, i \in J\} = \{0\}$  (i.e.  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  is *g*-complete);
- (ii) there are positive constants A, B such that for any finite subset  $J_1 \subseteq J$  and  $g_i \in Y_i^*, i \in J_1$ ,

$$A\bigg(\sum_{i\in J_1} \|g_i\|^q\bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le \Big\|\sum_{i\in J_1} g_i\Lambda_i\Big\| \le B\bigg(\sum_{i\in J_1} \|g_i\|^q\bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

The assumptions of definition (3.14) imply that  $\sum_{i \in J} g_i \Lambda_i$  converges unconditionally for all  $\{g_i\} \in (\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i^*)_{l_q}$ , and

$$A\bigg(\sum_{i\in J} \|g_i\|^q\bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le \Big\|\sum_{i\in J} g_i\Lambda_i\Big\| \le B\bigg(\sum_{i\in J} \|g_i\|^q\bigg)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

Therefore  $\{\Lambda_i \in B(X, Y_i) : i \in J\}$  is a qg-Riesz basis for X, if and only if, the operator T defined by (3.3) is an invertible operator from  $\left(\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i^*\right)_{l_a}$  onto  $X^*$ .

The following Proposition shows that a qq-Riesz basis for  $X^*$  is a special case of pq-frames for X.

**Proposition 3.15.** Let  $\{\Lambda_i \in B(X, Y_i) : i \in J\}$  be a qg-Riesz basis for  $X^*$  with respect to  $\{Y_i\}_{i\in J}$  with the optimal upper qg-Riesz basis bound B. Then  $\{\Lambda_i \in B(X,Y_i) : i \in J\}$ is a pg-frame for X with respect to  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in J}$  with optimal upper pg-frame bound B.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\{\Lambda_i \in B(X, Y_i) : i \in J\}$  is a qq-Riesz basis for  $X^*$ , the operator T defined by (3.3) is a bounded and invertible operator. Theorem (3.12) implies that  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$ is a pg-frame for X. By Proposition (3.8) the upper qg-Riesz basis bound coincides with the upper pg-frame bound.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.16.** Let  $\{Y_i\}_{i\in J}$  be a sequence of reflexive Banach spaces. Let  $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{N}_i\}_{i\in J}$  $B(X,Y_i)$ :  $i \in J$  be a pg-frame for X with respect to  $\{Y_i\}_{i\in J}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  is a qg-Riesz basis for  $X^*$ .
- (ii) If  $\{g_i\}_{i\in J} \in \left(\sum_{i\in J} \oplus Y_i^*\right)_{l_q}$  and  $\sum_{i\in J} g_i\Lambda_i = 0$  then  $g_i = 0, i \in J$ . (iii)  $\mathcal{R}_U = \left(\sum_{i\in J} \oplus Y_i\right)_{l_p}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that (i)  $\Rightarrow$ (ii).

Suppose that (ii) holds. By Theorem (3.12), the operator T is bounded and onto, by (ii), T is also injective, therefore, T has a bounded inverse  $T^{-1}: X^* \to (\sum_{i \in I} \oplus Y_i^*)_I$ and so  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in J}$  is a qg-Riesz basis for X.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Since  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in J}$  is a *qg*-Riesz basis for  $X^*$ , *T* has a bounded inverse on  $\mathcal{R}_T$ . By Lemma (1.4) the adjoint  $T^* : X^{**} \to \left(\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i\right)_{l_p}$  is surjective on  $\mathcal{R}_T$ . By Lemma (3.10) X is reflexive, and so Theorem (3.12) and Lemma (3.11) imply that  $\mathcal{R}_U = (\sum_{i \in J} \oplus Y_i)_{l_p}$ . (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Since the operator U is bijective, by Theorem 4.12 in [17],  $T = U^*$ :  $\left(\sum_{i\in J} \oplus Y_i^*\right)_{l_q} \to X^*$  is invertible. 

## 4. G-BANACH FRAMES

A Banach space of vector-valued sequences (or BV-space) is a linear space of sequences with a norm which makes it a Banach space. Let X be a Banach space and 1then

$$Y = \left\{ \{x_i\}_{i \in J} \, | \, x_i \in X, \, \left(\sum_{i \in J} \|x_i\|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty \right\}$$

and

$$l^{\infty} = \{\{x_i\} | \sup_{i \in J} ||x_i|| < \infty, \, x_i \in X\}$$

are BV-space for X.

In [11] Grochenig and in [4] Casazza, Han and Larson generalized frames to Banach spaces and defined Banach frames for Banach space X with respect to a BV-space, and in this paper we shall extend its definition to g-Banach frames for a Banach space Xwith respect to a BV-space.

**Definition 4.1.** Let X be a Banach space and  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert space. Let  $X_d$  be an associated Banach space of vector-valued sequences indexed by  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset B(X,\mathcal{H})$  and  $S: X_d \to X$  are given. If

- (i)  $\{\Lambda_i x\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_d$  for each  $x \in X$ ,
- (ii) the norms  $||x||_X$  and  $||\{\Lambda_i x\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}||_{X_d}$  are equivalent, and
- (iii) S is bounded and linear and  $S{\Lambda_i x}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = x$  for each  $x \in X$ ,

then  $({\Lambda_i}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, S)$  is a g-Banach frame for X with respect to  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $X_d$ . The mapping S is the reconstruction operator. If the norm equivalence is given by

$$A \|x\|_{X} \le \|\{\Lambda_{i}x\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{X_{d}} \le B \|x\|_{X}$$

for all  $x \in X$ , then A, B are called the frame bounds for  $(\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, S)$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable Hilbert Space. Then every separable Banach space has a g-Banach frame with respect to  $\mathcal{H}$  with frame bounds A = B = 1.

*Proof.* If X is a separable Banach space, there exists  $E \subset X$  such that  $\overline{E} = X$  and E is a countable set. Let  $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . We define the operators  $\Lambda_i$  from E into  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$\Lambda_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij} \|x_j\| e_j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$\sup_{i} \|\Lambda_i(x_j)\| = \|x_j\|$$

Since  $\overline{E} = X$ ,  $\Lambda_i$  can be extended to a bounded operator  $\tilde{\Lambda}_i$  on X such that

(4.1) 
$$\sup \|\tilde{\Lambda}_i(x)\| = \|x\|, \quad x \in X.$$

Let  $X_d$  be the subspace of  $l^{\infty}(X)$  given by

$$X_d = \{\{\tilde{\Lambda}_i x\} : x \in X\}.$$

Let  $S: X_d \to X$  be given by  $S({\tilde{\Lambda}_i x}) = x$ . Now, by equality (4.1), S is an isometry of X onto  $X_d$  and  $({\tilde{\Lambda}_i}, S)$  is a g-Banach frame for X with respect to  $X_d$ .  $\Box$ 

Perturbation of frames as a type of Paley-Winer theorem was proved by Casazza and Christensen [2], for Banach frames by Christensen and Heil [9] and for g-frames in Hilbert spaces by Faroughi, Najati and Rahimi [16]. In this section we present the perturbation of g-Banach frames.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $({\Lambda_i}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, S)$  be a g-Banach frame for X with respect to  $X_d$ . Let  ${\Gamma_i}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq B(X, \mathcal{H})$ . If there exist  $\lambda, \mu \ge 0$  such that

(i)  $\lambda \|U\| + \mu < \|S\|^{-1}$ ,

(ii) 
$$\|\{\Lambda_i(x) - \Gamma_i(x)\}\|_{x_d} \le \lambda \|\{\Lambda_i(x)\}\|_{x_d} + \mu \|x\|_X, x \in X,$$

then there exists an operator T such that  $({\Gamma_i}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, T)$  is a g-Banach frame for X with respect to  $X_d$  with frame bounds  $||S|| - (\lambda ||U|| + \mu)$  and  $||U|| + (\lambda ||U|| + \mu)$ , where U is the operator  $Ux = {\Lambda_i(x)}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}, x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let us define the operator  $V : X \to X_d$  by  $Vx = \{\Gamma_i(x)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Since  $(\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, S)$  is a g-Banach frame for X hence there exist A, B > 0 such that

$$A||x||_X \le ||\{\Lambda_i(x)\}||_{X_d} \le B||x||_X, \quad x \in X.$$

So U is bounded and by (ii) for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$||Ux - Vx||_{X_d} \le \lambda ||Ux||_{X_d} + \mu ||x||_X.$$

Therefore,

$$||Vx||_{X_d} \le (||U|| + \lambda ||U|| + \mu) ||x||_{X_d}$$

so the upper g-frame bound is  $(||U|| + \lambda ||U|| + \mu)$ . For the lower bound, we have SU = I so

$$||I - SV|| \le ||S|| ||U - V|| \le ||S|| (\lambda ||U|| + \mu) < 1,$$

therefore, SV is invertible, and  $||(SV)^{-1}|| \le (1 - ||U|| + \mu) < 1$ . If we consider  $T = (SV)^{-1}S$  then TV = I,

$$||x||_X \le ||T|| ||Vx||_{X_d} \le \frac{||S||}{1 - (\lambda ||U|| + \mu) ||S||} ||Vx||_{X_d},$$

and so

$$(\|S\|^{-1} - (\lambda \|U\| + \mu)) \|x\|_X \le \|Vx\|_{X_d},$$

and this concludes the proof.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to Ole Christensen and the referee for the useful comments.

#### References

- C. D. Aliprantis and K. C. Border, Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhikers Guide, Springer-Verlag, New York—Berlin, 1999.
- P. G. Cazassa and O. Christensen, Perturbation of operators and applications to frame theory, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 3 (1997), no. 5, 543–557.
- P. G. Cazassa and Gitta Kutyniok, Frames of subspaces. Wavelets, frames and operator theory, Contemp. Math., Vol. 345, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 2004, 87–113.
- P. G. Cazassa, D. Han, and D. R. Larson, Frames for Banach spaces, Contemp. Math. Vol. 247, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1999, 149–182.
- O. Christensen and D. Stoeva, p-frames in separable Banach spaces, Adv. Comput. Math. 18 (2003), no. 2–4, 117–126.
- 6. O. Christensen, An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases, Birkhauser, Boston, 2002.
- O. Christensen and Y. C. Eldar, Oblique dual frames and shift-invariant spaces, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 17 (2004), 48–68.
- Y. Eldar, Sampling with arbitrary sampling and reconstruction spaces and oblique dual frame vectors, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 9 (2003), 77–96.
- O. Christensen and C. Heil, Perturbations of Banach frames and atomic decompositions, Math. Nachr. 185 (1997), 33–47.
- M. Fornasier, Decompositions of Hilbert space: local construction of global frames, Proc. Int. Conf., Constructive Theory of Functions (ed. B. Bojanov), Varna 2002. DARBA, Sofia, 2003, pp. 275–281.
- K. Grochenig, Describing functions: atomic decomposition versus frames, Monatsh. Math. 112 (1991), 1–41.
- D. Han, D. R. Larson, Frames, bases and group representations, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 147 (2000), no. 697, 1–91.
- 13. H. Heuser, Functional Analysis, John Wiley, New York, 1982.
- S. Li and H. Ogawa, Pseudoframes for subspaces with applications, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 10 (2004), 409–431.
- 15. A. Najati and M. H. Faroughi, *p-frames of subspaces in separable Hilbert spaces*, Southest Asian Bull. Math. (to appear).
- A. Najati, A. Rahimi, and M. H. Faroughi, *G-frames in Hilbert spaces* (submitted for publication).
- 17. W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, MacGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
- 18. W. Sun, G-frames and G-Riesz bases, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 322 (2006), 437-452.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TABRIZ UNIVERSITY, TABRIZ, IRAN *E-mail address*: mr\_abdollahpour@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TABRIZ UNIVERSITY, TABRIZ, IRAN *E-mail address*: mhfaroughi@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TABRIZ UNIVERSITY, TABRIZ, IRAN *E-mail address*: asgharrahimi@yahoo.com

Received 25/01/2006; Revised 28/07/2006

210