

OPERATOR-VALUED INTEGRAL OF A VECTOR-FUNCTION AND BASES

M. H. FAROUGHI

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we are going to introduce an operator-valued integral of a square modulus weakly integrable mappings the ranges of which are Hilbert spaces, as bounded operators. Then, we shall show that each operator-valued integrable mapping of the index set of an orthonormal basis of a Hilbert space H into H can be written as a multiple of a sum of three orthonormal bases.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper (X, μ) will be a measure space and H will be a Hilbert space over \mathbb{C} , where H , in general, is not assumed to be separable. We shall denote the closed unit ball of H by H_1 .

Definition 1.1. Let $L^2(X, H)$ be the class of all measurable mappings $f : X \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \int_X \|f(x)\|^2 d\mu < \infty.$$

By the polar identity we conclude that for each $f, g \in L^2(X, H)$, the mapping $x \mapsto \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle$ of X to \mathbb{C} is measurable, and it can be proved that $L^2(X, H)$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2} = \int_X \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle d\mu.$$

We shall write $L^2(X)$ when $H = \mathbb{C}$.

The following lemmas can be found in operator theory textbooks.

Lemma 1.2. Let $u : K \rightarrow H$ be a bounded operator with closed range \mathcal{R}_u . Then there exists a bounded operator $u^\dagger : H \rightarrow K$ for which

$$uu^\dagger f = f, \quad f \in \mathcal{R}_u.$$

Also, $u^* : H \rightarrow K$ has closed range and $(u^*)^\dagger = (u^\dagger)^*$.

Lemma 1.3. Let $u : K \rightarrow H$ be a bounded surjective operator. Given $y \in H$, the equation $ux = y$ has a unique solution of minimal norm, namely, $x = u^\dagger y$.

The operator u^\dagger is called the pseudo-inverse of u .

Lemma 1.4. Let $u : H \rightarrow K$ be a bounded operator. Then

- (i) $\|u\| = \|u^*\|$ and $\|uu^*\| = \|u\|^2$.
- (ii) \mathcal{R}_u is closed, if and only if, \mathcal{R}_{u^*} is closed.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46G12; Secondary 46C05.

Key words and phrases. Lebesgue integral, Hilbert space, Banach space, C^* -algebra.

This work was supported by the University of Tabriz.

(iii) u is surjective, if and only if, there exists $c > 0$ such that for each $h \in H$

$$c\|h\| \leq \|u^*h\|.$$

Lemma 1.5. *Let H be a Hilbert space. Then*

- (i) *Every bounded and invertible operator $u : H \rightarrow H$ has a unique representation $u = wp$, where w is unitary and p is positive.*
- (ii) *Every positive operator p on H with $\|p\| < 1$ can be written $p = 2^{-1}(w + w^*)$, where w is an unitary operator.*

Lemma 1.6. *Let u be a self-adjoint bounded operator on H . Let*

$$m_u = \inf_{\|h\|=1} \langle uh, h \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad M_u = \sup_{\|h\|=1} \langle uh, h \rangle.$$

Then, $m_u, M_u \in \sigma(u)$.

2. A SURVEY OF THE OPERATOR-VALUED INTEGRAL OF VECTOR-FUNCTION

In this section we shall introduce the concept of operator-valued integrability of vector-functions of X to H . Then, we shall define their operator-valued integrals as bounded operators of the Hilbert space $L^2(X)$ to H .

Definition 2.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow H$ be a mapping. We say that f is weakly measurable if for each $h \in H$ the mapping $x \mapsto \langle h, f(x) \rangle$ of X to \mathbb{C} is measurable.

Definition 2.2. Let $f : X \rightarrow H$ be weakly measurable. We say that f is operator-valued integrable over X if

$$\sup_{h \in H_1} \int_X |\langle h, f(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu < \infty.$$

The class of all operator-valued integrable mappings of X to H will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}(X, H)$. It is clear that $L^2(X, H) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X, H)$. Also, $\mathcal{L}(X, H)$ is a normed space with the norm defined by

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}}^2 = \sup_{h \in H_1} \int_X |\langle h, f(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu.$$

In the normed space $\mathcal{L}(X, H)$, f is a null function if for each $h \in H$

$$\langle h, f \rangle = 0 \quad a.e.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$ and let the mapping $F_f : L^2(X) \rightarrow H$ be defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \langle F_f(g), h \rangle = \int_X g(x) \langle f(x), h \rangle d\mu, \quad h \in H, \quad g \in L^2(X).$$

It is evident that F_f is well defined and linear. For each $g \in L^2(X)$ and $h \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_f(g)\| &= \sup_{h \in H_1} |\langle F_f(g), h \rangle| \\ &\leq \left(\int_X |g(x)|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} \sup_{h \in H_1} \left(\int_X |\langle f(x), h \rangle|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} \leq \|g\|_2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, F_f is bounded.

For each $g \in L^2(X)$ and $h \in H$ we have

$$\langle F_f^*(h), g \rangle = \langle h, F_f(g) \rangle = \overline{\langle F_f(g), h \rangle} = \int_X \bar{g}(x) \langle h, f(x) \rangle d\mu = \langle \langle h, f \rangle, g \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Thus

$$(2.2) \quad F_f^*(h) = \langle h, f \rangle.$$

Also, for each $h \in H$

$$(2.3) \quad \|F_f^*(h)\|^2 = \langle F_f^*(h), F_f^*(h) \rangle = \int_X |\langle f(x), h \rangle|^2 d\mu.$$

Therefore

$$(2.4) \quad \|F_f\| = \|F_f^*\| = \left(\sup_{h \in H_1} \int_X |\langle f(x), h \rangle|^2 d\mu \right)^{1/2} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Definition 2.3. Let (X, μ) be a measure space and $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$. The unique bounded linear operator $F_f : L^2(X) \rightarrow H$ defined by (2.1), will be denoted by

$$\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu,$$

and we shall say the operator-valued integral of f over X . Therefore, for each $g \in L^2(X)$, $\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu$ is defined by

$$\left\langle \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu(g), h \right\rangle = \int_X g(x) \langle f(x), h \rangle d\mu, \quad h \in H.$$

We shall denote the adjoint of $\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu$ by $\int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu$, which by (2.2) for each $h \in H$

$$\int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) = \langle h, f \rangle.$$

Remark 2.4. By (2.3), (2.4), for each $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$ we have

(i) $\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \| = \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}}.$

(ii) Since, for each $h \in H$

$$\int_X |\langle f(x), h \rangle|^2 d\mu = \left\langle \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h), h \right\rangle = \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2,$$

so

$$\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \leq \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu \leq \sup_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2.$$

(iii) Let $H = \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{C}) = L^2(X)$. Then, the operator-valued integral of f over X is the bounded linear mapping $\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu : L^2(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by

$$\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu(g) = \int_X f(x)g(x) d\mu = \langle f, \bar{g} \rangle_{L^2}, \quad g \in L^2(X),$$

with $\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \| = \|f\|_2$. Also, $\int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow L^2(X)$ is defined by

$$\int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(c) = c\bar{f}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C},$$

where

$$\left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(c) \right\| = |c| \|f\|_2, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Thus, for each $f \in L^2(X)$, the mapping $\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu : L^2(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is surjective.

Definition 2.5. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$. We say that f, g are weakly equal, if

$$\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu = \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu,$$

which is equivalent with

$$\langle h, f \rangle = \langle h, g \rangle \quad a.e.$$

for each $h \in H$.

According to the definition of the normed space $\mathcal{L}(X, H)$, two members of $\mathcal{L}(X, H)$ are equal, if and only if, they are weakly equal.

Definition 2.6. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$. We say that f, g are strongly equal, if

$$\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu = \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu.$$

It is clear that each weakly equal mapping is also strongly equal, but its converse may be false.

Definition 2.7. Let H be a closed subspace of $L^2(X)$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$. We say that f is positive, if

$$\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu : L^2(X) \rightarrow L^2(X)$$

is a positive operator.

Lemma 2.8. Let H be a Hilbert space. Then

- (i) If $\dim H < \infty$ then $L^2(X, H) = \mathcal{L}(X, H)$.
- (ii) If there exists $f \in L^2(X, H)$ with $\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\| > 0$ then

$$\dim H < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be an orthonormal basis for H and $\dim H < \infty$. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$. We have

$$\int_X \|f(x)\|^2 d\mu = \int_X \sum_\alpha |\langle f(x), e_\alpha \rangle|^2 d\mu = \sum_\alpha \int_X |\langle f(x), e_\alpha \rangle|^2 d\mu.$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \sum_\alpha \|e_\alpha\|^2 &\leq \int_X \|f(x)\|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq \sup_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \sum_\alpha \|e_\alpha\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(2.5) \quad \int_X \|f(x)\|^2 d\mu \leq \sup_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \dim H,$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \dim H \leq \int_X \|f(x)\|^2 d\mu.$$

Hence, by (2.5), $f \in L^2(X, H)$.

(ii) is clear by (2.6). □

Lemma 2.9. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) The operator $\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu$ is invertible.
- (ii)

$$\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\| > 0.$$

- (iii) The operator $\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu$ is surjective.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu$ be invertible. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \\ &= \inf_{h \in H_1} \left\langle \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h), h \right\rangle \in \sigma \left(\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu \right). \end{aligned}$$

So, $\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\| > 0$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let $\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\| > 0$. We have

$$\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\| \|h\| \leq \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|, \quad h \in H.$$

Therefore, $\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu$ is surjective.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu$ be surjective. Then, there exists $A > 0$ such that

$$A \|h\| \leq \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|, \quad h \in H.$$

Hence

$$\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\| \geq A > 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.10. *Let H be a Hilbert space. Then*

(i) *Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$. Then $\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu = 0$, if and only if, $f = 0$ (weakly).*

(ii) *Let $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(X, H)$ and let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

$$\int_{HL(X)} (\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2) d\mu = \lambda_1 \int_{HL(X)} f_1 d\mu + \lambda_2 \int_{HL(X)} f_2 d\mu.$$

Proof. It is evident. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Let K be a Hilbert space, $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$ and $u : H \rightarrow K$ be a bounded linear mapping. Then*

(i) *$uf \in \mathcal{L}(X, K)$ and*

$$u \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu = \int_{HL(X)} uf d\mu.$$

(ii) *Let $\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\| > 0$. Then, $\inf_{h \in K_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* uf d\mu(h) \right\| > 0$, if and only if, u is surjective.*

Proof. (i) Since

$$\sup_{h \in H_1} \int_X |\langle h, u(f(x)) \rangle|^2 d\mu \leq \|u\|^2 \sup_{h \in H_1} \int_X |\langle h, f(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu,$$

so $uf \in \mathcal{L}(X, K)$. For each $g \in L^2(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \int_{HL(X)} uf d\mu(g), k \right\rangle &= \int_X g(x) \langle u(f(x)), k \rangle d\mu \\ &= \int_X g(x) \langle f(x), u^*(k) \rangle d\mu = \left\langle u \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu(g), k \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\int_{HL(X)} uf d\mu = u \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu$.

(ii) If u is surjective then by Lemma 2.11 (iii), $u \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu$ is surjective. So

$$\inf_{h \in K_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* uf d\mu(h) \right\| > 0.$$

Now, if $\inf_{h \in K_1} \|\int_{HL(X)}^* u f d\mu(h)\| > 0$ then $\int_{HL(X)} u f d\mu$ is surjective, so u is surjective. □

Corollary 2.12. *Let for each $\alpha \in I, H_\alpha$ be a Hilbert space and $\oplus_{\alpha \in I} H_\alpha$ be the orthogonal sum of $\{H_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, \oplus_{\alpha \in I} H_\alpha)$ and for each $\alpha \in I, f_\alpha = \pi_\alpha \circ f$. Then*

- (i) *For each $\alpha \in I, f_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(X, H_\alpha)$.*
- (ii) $(\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu)_\alpha = \int_{HL(X)} f_\alpha d\mu$.

Proof. It is evident □

3. DECOMPOSITION

In this section, we shall show more properties of operator-valued integrals of vector-functions.

Definition 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$ and $\mathcal{R} \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu$ be closed. We shall denote the pseudo-inverse of $\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu$ by $\int_{HL(X)}^\dagger f d\mu$. So for each $h \in \mathcal{R} \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu$

$$\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^\dagger f d\mu(h) = h.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$ and $f \neq 0$ (weakly). We have*

- (i) *If $g \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$ then the mapping $U : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by*

$$U(x, y) = \langle f(x), g \rangle(y) = \langle f(x), g(y) \rangle,$$

defines a bounded operator on $L^2(X)$.

- (ii) *Let $U : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defines a bounded operator $W : L^2(X) \rightarrow L^2(X)$ as (i). Let $g : X \rightarrow H$ be defined by*

$$g(x) = \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu(U(x, \cdot)).$$

Then g is defined for almost all $x \in X$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$. Let

$$\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\| > 0,$$

then $\inf_{h \in H_1} \|\int_{HL(X)}^ g d\mu(h)\| > 0$, if and only if, there exists $c > 0$ such that*

$$\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\| \leq c \inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu(h) \right\|.$$

Proof. (i) Let $l \in L^2(X)$ and $x \in X$. We define

$$W_l(x) = \int_X U(x, y)l(y) d\mu_y = \int_X \langle f(x), g \rangle l d\mu_y.$$

Since, $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$ and $\overline{W}_l(x) = \langle \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu(\bar{l}), f(x) \rangle$, W_l is measurable. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |W_l(x)|^2 d\mu_x &= \int_X \left| \left\langle \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu(\bar{l}), f(x) \right\rangle \right|^2 d\mu_x \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \right\|^2 \left\| \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu(\bar{l}) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \right\|^2 \left\| \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu \right\|^2 \|l\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $W : L^2(X) \rightarrow L^2(X)$ defined by $W(l) = W_l$ is a bounded operator.

(ii) Since

$$\|W_l\| = \int_X |W_l(x)|^2 d\mu_x = \int_X \left| \int_X U(x, y) l(y) d\mu_y \right|^2 d\mu_x \leq \|W\| \|l\|,$$

for almost all $x \in X$, $U(x, \cdot) l \in L^1(X)$. So, for almost all $x \in X$, $U(x, \cdot) \in L^2(X)$. Hence, g is defined for almost all $x \in X$. Since

$$\langle h, g(x) \bar{\cdot} \rangle = \int_X U(x, y) \langle f(y), h \rangle d\mu_y = W_{\langle h, f \bar{\cdot} \rangle}(x),$$

g is weakly measurable. But

$$\int_X |\langle h, g(x) \bar{\cdot} \rangle|^2 d\mu_x = \int_X |W_{\langle h, f \bar{\cdot} \rangle}(x)|^2 d\mu_x \leq \|W\| \|\langle h, f \bar{\cdot} \rangle\|.$$

So, $g \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$. If $\inf_{h \in H_1} \|\int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu(h)\| > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu(h) \right\|^2 / \sup_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \right) \inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \|h\|^4 \\ & \leq \left(\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu(h) \right\|^2 / \sup_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \right) \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu \right\|^2 \|h\|^2 \\ & = \inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \|h\|^2 \leq \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu(h) \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\| \leq c \inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu(h) \right\|,$$

where

$$c = \left(\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu(h) \right\|^2 / \sup_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \right)^{-1/2} > 0.$$

The converse is clear. □

Lemma 3.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$ and $\inf_{h \in H_1} \|\int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h)\| > 0$. Let

$$u = \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu.$$

Then

(i) Let $l \in L^2(X)$. If $h = \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu(l)$ then

$$\|l\|^2 = \int_X |\langle h, u^{-1} f(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu + \int_X |l(x) - \langle h, u^{-1} f(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu.$$

(ii) For each $h \in H$, $\int_{HL(X)}^\dagger f d\mu(h) = \langle h, u^{-1} f \rangle$.

(iii) $\|\int_{HL(X)}^\dagger f d\mu\|^{-2} = \inf_{h \in H_1} \|\int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h)\|^2$.

Proof. (i) By the Lemma 2.11, $\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu(l - \langle h, u^{-1} f \rangle) = 0$. So

$$l - \langle h, u^{-1} f \rangle \in \ker \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu = \left(\mathcal{R} \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu \right)^\perp.$$

Since $\langle h, u^{-1} f \rangle \in \mathcal{R} \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu$,

$$\|l\|^2 = \|l - \langle h, u^{-1} f \rangle\|_2^2 + \|\langle h, u^{-1} f \rangle\|_2^2.$$

(ii) Since, $\int_{HL(X)}^\dagger f d\mu(h)$ is the unique solution of minimal norm of

$$\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu(l) = h,$$

so

$$\int_X |\langle l(x) - \langle h, u^{-1}f(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu = 0.$$

Hence $l = \langle h, u^{-1}f \rangle = \int_{HL(X)}^\dagger f d\mu(h)$.

(iii) Since, $\inf_{h \in H_1} \|\int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h)\| > 0$, by the Lemma 2.11

$$\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* u^{-1}f d\mu(h) \right\| > 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^\dagger f d\mu \right\|^2 &= \sup_{h \in H_1} \int_X |\langle h, u^{-1}f(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu = \left\| \int_{HL(X)} u^{-1}f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* u^{-1}f d\mu \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left(\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu \right)^{-1} \right\| = \left(\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 3.4. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$. We define $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} : X \rightarrow L^2(X)$ by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \langle f(x), g \rangle.$$

Theorem 3.5. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$. Then

- (i) $\int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu f = \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$.
- (ii) $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{L}(X, L^2(X))$.
- (iii) Let $\inf_{h \in H_1} \|\int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h)\| > 0$ and $K = \mathcal{R} \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu$ be closed. Then

$$\inf_{h \in K_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} d\mu(h) \right\| > 0$$

and there exists a surjective bounded operator $u : L^2(X) \rightarrow H$ such that $g = u\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Proof. (i) Let $l \in L^2(X)$. For each $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle l, \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu f(x) \right\rangle &= \left\langle \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu(l), f(x) \right\rangle = \int_X l(y) \langle g(y), f(x) \rangle d\mu_y \\ &= \int_X l(y) \langle f(x), g(y) \rangle d\mu_y = \langle l, \langle f(x), g \rangle \rangle_{L^2} = \langle l, \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \rangle_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu f = \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$.

(ii) Let $l \in L^2(X)$. Since, the mapping

$$X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad x \mapsto \langle l, \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \rangle = \left\langle l, \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu f(x) \right\rangle = \left\langle \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu(l), f(x) \right\rangle$$

is measurable, $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$ is weakly measurable. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |\langle l, \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu &= \int_X \left| \left\langle l, \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu(f(x)) \right\rangle \right|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int_X \left| \left\langle \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu(l), f(x) \right\rangle \right|^2 d\mu \leq \sup_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \left\| \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu(l) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \right\|^2 \left\| \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu \right\|^2 \|l\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So, $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{L}(X, L^2(X))$.

(iii) For each $l \in \mathcal{R} \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|l\| &= \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu \left(\int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu \right)^\dagger(l) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left(\left(\int_{HL(X)}^* g d\mu \right)^\dagger \right)^* \left(\int_{HL(X)} g d\mu(l) \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^\dagger g d\mu \right\| \left\| \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu(l) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left\| \int_{HL(X)}^\dagger g d\mu \right\|^{-1} \|l\| \leq \left\| \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu(l) \right\|.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^\dagger g d\mu \right\|^{-2} \|l\|^2 \\ &\leq \inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\|^2 \left\| \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu(l) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \int_X \left| \left\langle \int_{HL(X)} g d\mu(l), f(x) \right\rangle \right|^2 d\mu = \int_X |\langle l, \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \rangle|^2 d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\inf_{l \in K_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} d\mu(l) \right\| > 0.$$

We have the following retrieval formula

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \left(\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu \right)^{-1} \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu g \\ &= \left(\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu \right)^{-1} \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \langle g, f \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, $g = u \langle g, f \rangle_{\mathcal{L}}$, where, $u = \left(\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu \right)^{-1} \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu$ is a bounded surjective operator of $L^2(X)$ to H . \square

Since, $\langle f, f \rangle_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{L}(X, L^2(X))$ is positive, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$ with $\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\| > 0$, and*

$$K = \mathcal{R} \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu.$$

Then f can be written as $f = ug$, where $u : K \rightarrow H$ is a bounded operator, $g \in \mathcal{L}(X, K)$ is positive with $\inf_{h \in K_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^ g d\mu(h) \right\| > 0$.*

Theorem 3.7. *Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$ with $\inf_{h \in H_1} \left\| \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h) \right\| > 0$, and $g \in L^2(X)$. Let $u = \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu$. Then, $h = \int_{HL(X)} u^{-1} f d\mu(g)$ is the unique vector in H which minimizes the mapping*

$$H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad h \mapsto \int_X |g - \langle h, f \rangle|^2 d\mu.$$

Proof. Since, $\mathcal{R} \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu$ is closed and

$$\int_X |g - \langle h, f \rangle|^2 d\mu = \|g - \langle h, f \rangle\|_2^2,$$

it is enough to prove that the mapping

$$L^2(X) \rightarrow L^2(X), \quad g \mapsto \left\langle \int_{HL(X)} u^{-1} f d\mu(g), f \right\rangle$$

is the orthonormal projection of $L^2(X)$ onto $\mathcal{R} \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu$.

Let $g \in \mathcal{R} \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu^\perp$. Then

$$\left\langle \int_{HL(X)} u^{-1} f d\mu(g), f \right\rangle = \left\langle u^{-1} \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu(g), f \right\rangle = \left\langle \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu(g), u^{-1} f \right\rangle = 0.$$

Because, for each $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu(g), u^{-1} f \right\rangle(x) &= \int_X g(y) \langle f(y), u^{-1} f(x) \rangle d\mu \\ &= \langle g, \langle u^{-1} f(x), f \rangle \rangle_{L^2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $g \in \mathcal{R} \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu$. So, there exists $h \in H$ with $g = \langle h, f \rangle$. We have,

$$\left\langle \int_{HL(X)} u^{-1} f d\mu(g), f \right\rangle = \left\langle u^{-1} \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \int_{HL(X)}^* f d\mu(h), f \right\rangle = \langle h, f \rangle = g,$$

and the theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 3.8. Let $e = \{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in X}$ be an orthonormal basis for H . Let $\{\delta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in X}$ be the canonical orthonormal basis for $l^2(X)$. Let $u : H \rightarrow l^2(X)$ be the isomorphism which maps e_α to δ_α . Then

- (i) Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$ and $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then, there exist orthonormal bases $e^i = \{e_\alpha^i\}_{\alpha \in X}, i = 1, 2, 3$ for H such that

$$(3.1) \quad f = \frac{\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \|}{1 - \epsilon} (e^1 + e^2 + e^3).$$

- (ii) Let $f \in \mathcal{L}(X, H)$ be positive (i.e. $uf \in \mathcal{L}(X, l^2(X))$ is positive) and $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Then there exist orthonormal bases $e^i = \{e_\alpha^i\}_{\alpha \in X}, i = 1, 2$ for H such that

$$(3.2) \quad f = \frac{\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \|}{2\epsilon} (e^1 + e^2).$$

Proof. (i) If $\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \| = 0$ then $f = 0$ and (3.2) is satisfied. Now, let

$$\left\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \right\| > 0.$$

Let $w : H \rightarrow H$ be defined by

$$w = \frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1 - \epsilon}{2} \frac{\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu u}{\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \|}.$$

Since $\|I - w\| < 1$, w is invertible. So, by using the polar decomposition we can write $w = vp$, where v is a unitary and p is a positive operator. But, $\|p\| < 1$, so we can write $p = \frac{1}{2}(z + z^*)$, where z, z^* are unitary operators. Thus

$$\int_{HL(X)} f d\mu u = \frac{\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \|}{1 - \epsilon} (vz + vz^* - I).$$

For each $h \in H$ we have

$$\left\langle \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu u(e_\alpha), h \right\rangle = \int_X \delta_\alpha(\beta) \langle f(\beta), h \rangle d\mu_\beta = \langle f(\alpha), h \rangle, \quad \alpha \in X.$$

Therefore

$$f = \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu u e = \frac{\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \|}{1 - \epsilon} (vze + vz^*e - e).$$

Since, vz and vz^* are unitary operators, vze and vz^*e are orthonormal bases for H . Thus

$$f = \frac{\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \|}{1 - \epsilon} (e^1 + e^2 + e^3),$$

where $e^i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are orthonormal bases for H .

(ii) Since $u \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu : l^2(X) \rightarrow l^2(X)$ is positive and u is a unitary,

$$\begin{aligned} u \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu &= \frac{\| \int_{HL(X)} u f d\mu \|}{2\epsilon} (w + w^*) \\ &= \frac{\| u \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \|}{2\epsilon} (w + w^*) = \frac{\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \|}{2\epsilon} (w + w^*), \end{aligned}$$

where w is an unitary operator. We have

$$f(\alpha) = \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu(\delta_\alpha) = \frac{\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \|}{2\epsilon} (u^{-1}w(\delta_\alpha) + u^{-1}w^*(\delta_\alpha)), \quad \alpha \in X.$$

Thus

$$f = \frac{\| \int_{HL(X)} f d\mu \|}{2\epsilon} (e^1 + e^2).$$

where $e^i, i = 1, 2$ are orthonormal bases for H . □

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the referee for his useful recommendations.

REFERENCES

1. Sterling K. Berberian, *Lectures in Functional Analysis and Operator Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 15, Springer-Verlag, New York—Heidelberg, 1974.
2. Ole Christensen, *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, 2003.
3. Harro G. Heuser, *Functional Analysis*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1982.
4. Gert K. Pedersen, *Analysis Now*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 118, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
5. W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1987.
6. W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Series in Higher Mathematics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York—Dusseldorf—Johannesburg, 1973.
7. S. Sakai, *C*-algebras and W*-algebras*, Reprint of the 1971 edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TABRIZ, TABRIZ, IRAN
E-mail address: mhfaroughi@yahoo.com

Received 27/12/2006; Revised 28/03/2007