Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology
Vol. 13 (2007), no. 4, pp. 380–385

ANOTHER FORM OF SEPARATION AXIOMS

S. ATHISAYA PONMANI AND M. LELLIS THIVAGAR

Abstract. It is the object of this paper to introduce the $(1,2)^*$pre-D_k axioms for $k = 0, 1, 2$.

1. Introduction

In 1982, Mashhour et al [9] introduced the notion of pre-open sets and the pre-closed sets were defined in [4]. Ashish Kar and Bhattacharya [2], in 1990, continued their work on pre-open sets and offered another set of separation axioms analogous to the semi separation axioms defined by Maheshwari and Prasad [8]. Caldas [10] defined a new class of sets called semi-Difference (briefly sD) sets by using the semi-open sets [5], and introduced the semi-D_i spaces for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce some pre-separation axioms in bitopological spaces. To define and investigate the axioms we use the $(1,2)^*$pre-open sets [6] introduced by Lellis Thivagar and Ravi. We call these axioms as $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0, $(1,2)^*$pre-T_1 and $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2. We also define $(1,2)^*$pre-Difference sets and utilize them to define the $(1,2)^*$pre-D_i, $i = 0, 1, 2$, axioms. We prove a bitopological space is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_1 if and only if it is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_2.

We recall some definitions and concepts which are useful in the following sections.

2. Preliminaries

In this section unless it is explicitly stated X is a topological space (X, τ). For a $A \subset X$, the interior and closure of A in X are denoted by $int(A)$ and $cl(A)$ respectively.

Definition 2.1. A space X is called

(i). Pre-T_0 [2]] iff to each pair of distinct points x, y in X, there exists a pre-open set containing one of the points but not the other.

(ii). Pre-T_1 [2] iff to each pair of distinct points x, y of X, there exists a pair of pre-open sets one containing x but not y and other containing y but not x.

(iii). Pre-T_2 [2] iff to each pair of distinct points x, y of X, there exists a pair of disjoint pre-open sets one containing x and the other containing y.

Definition 2.2. A subset A of X is called a semi-Difference set (in short sD-set) if there are two semi-open sets O_1, O_2 in X such that $O_1 \neq X$ and $A = O_1 \setminus O_2$.

Definition 2.3. A space X is called

(i). Semi-D_0 if for x, $y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there exists a sD-set of X containing one of x and y but not the other.

(ii). Semi-D_1 if for x, $y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there exists a pair of sD-sets one containing x but not y and the other containing y but not x.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54C55.

Key words and phrases. $(1,2)^*$pre-T_k, $(1,2)^*$pre-D_k, $k = 0, 1, 2$.

The first author wishes to acknowledge the support of University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India, FIP-X Plan.

(iii). Semi-D_2 if for $x,\, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there exist disjoint sD-sets S_1 and S_2 such that $x \in S_1$ and $y \in S_2$.

A subset A of a bitopological space $(X,\, \tau_1,\, \tau_2)$ is called $\tau_{1,2}$-open [6] if $A = S_1 \cup S_2$ where $S_1 \in \tau_1$ and $S_2 \in \tau_2$, and $\tau_{1,2}$-closed if A^c is $\tau_{1,2}$-open in X. We write $\tau_1\tau_2$-interior of A and $\tau_1\tau_2$-closure of A, in short form, $\tau_1\tau_2$-$int(A)$ and $\tau_1\tau_2$-$cl(A)$ respectively. $\tau_1\tau_2$-$int(A)$ is the union of all $\tau_{1,2}$-open sets contained in A and $\tau_1\tau_2$-$cl(A)$ is the intersection of all $\tau_{1,2}$-closed sets containing A.

Definition 2.4. A subset A of X is called $(1,2)^*$pre-open [6] if $A \subset \tau_1\tau_2$-$int(\tau_1\tau_2$-$cl(A))$ and $(1,2)^*$pre-closed if its complement in X is $(1,2)^*$pre-open. Or equivalently, $\tau_1\tau_2$-$cl(\tau_1\tau_2$-$int(A)) \subset A$.

The family of all $(1,2)^*$pre-open sets of X is denoted by $(1,2)^*PO(X)$. $(1,2)^*$pre-closure of A denoted by $(1,2)^*pcl(A)$ is the intersection of all $(1,2)^*$pre-closed sets containing A.

A subset A of X is $(1,2)^*$pre-closed if and only if $(1,2)^*pcl(A) = A$. Note that every $\tau_{1,2}$-open set is $(1,2)^*$pre-open.

Definition 2.5. A map f **:** $(X,\, \tau_1,\, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y,\, \sigma_1,\, \sigma_2)$is called

(i). $(1,2)^*$pre-irresolute if the inverse image of every $(1,2)^*$pre-open set in Y is $(1,2)^*$pre-open in X.
(ii). Strongly $(1,2)^*$pre-open [6] if the image of every $(1,2)^*$pre-open if the image of every $(1,2)^*$pre-open set in X is $(1,2)^*$pre-open in Y.

In the next section we introduce the $(1,2)^*$pre-T_k-spaces for $k = 0,\, 1,\, 2$. In the following sections by X and Y we mean bitopological spaces $(X,\, \tau_1,\, \tau_2)$ and $(Y,\, \sigma_1,\, \sigma_2)$ respectively.

3. $(1,2)^*$PRE-T_k-SPACES

Definition 3.1. A bitopological space X is said to be $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0 iff for $x,\, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there exists an $(1,2)^*$pre-open set containing only one of x and y but not the other.

Now we proceed to prove that every bitopological space is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0.

Lemma 3.2. *If for some $x \in X$, $\{x\}$ is $(1,2)^*$pre-open then $x \notin (1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$ for all $y \neq x$.*

Proof. If $\{x\}$ is $(1,2)^*$pre-open for some $x \in X$, then $X \setminus \{x\}$ is $(1,2)^*$pre-closed and $x \notin X \setminus \{x\}$. If $x \in (1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$ for some $y \neq x$, then x, y both are in all the $(1,2)^*$pre-closed sets containing y which implies that $x \in X \setminus \{x\}$ which is not true. Therefore, $x \notin (1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$. □

Theorem 3.3. *In a space X, distinct points have distinct $(1,2)^*$pre-closures.*

Proof. Let $x,\, y \in X$, $x \neq y$. Take $A = \{x\}^c$. Then $\tau_1\tau_2$-$cl(A) = A$ or X.

Case (a). If $\tau_1\tau_2$-$cl(A) = A$, then A is $\tau_{1,2}$-closed and hence $(1,2)^*$pre-closed. Then $X \setminus A = \{x\}$ is $(1,2)^*$pre-open, not containing y. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, $x \notin (1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$ and $y \in (1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$ which implies that

$$(1,2)^*pcl(\{x\}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$$

are distinct.

Case (b). If $\tau_1\tau_2$-$cl(A) = X$, then A is $(1,2)^*$pre-open and hence $\{x\}$ is $(1,2)^*$pre-closed which shows that $(1,2)^*pcl(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ which is not equal to $(1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$. □

Theorem 3.4. *In a space X, if distinct points have distinct $(1,2)^*$pre-closures then X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0.*

Proof. Let $x,\ y \in X,\ x \neq y$. Then $(1,2)^*pcl(\{x\})$ is not equal to $(1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$. Then there exists $z \in X$ such that $z \in (1,2)^*pcl(\{x\})$ but $z \notin (1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$ or $z \in (1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$ but $z \notin (1,2)^*pcl(\{x\})$. Without loss of generality, let $z \in (1,2)^*pcl(\{x\})$ but $z \notin (1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$. If $x \in (1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$, then $(1,2)^*pcl(\{x\})$ is contained in $(1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$ and therefore, $z \in (1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$, which is a contradiction. Thus we get $x \notin (1,2)^*pcl(\{y\})$. This implies that $x \in (1,2)^*pcl((\{y\})^c)$. Therefore, X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0. □

Theorem 3.5. *Every bitopological space is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0.*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4. □

Remark 3.6. It is observed that every T_0-space is pre-T_0 but not the converse [2]. Here we note that if a space X is T_0 with respect to τ_1 or τ_2 then X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0. But if X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0, it is not necessary that $(X,\ \tau_1)$ is T_0 or $(X,\ \tau_2)$ is T_0, as shown in the following example.

Example 3.7. Let $X = \{a,\ b,\ c\}$. $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset,\ \{a,b\},\ X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset,\ \{b,c\},\ X\}$. Then X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0 but both *$(X,\ \tau_1)$* and *$(X,\ \tau_2)$* are T_0.

Definition 3.8. A space X is called $(1,2)^*$pre-T_1 iff for $x,\ y \in X,\ x \neq y$, there exist U, $V \in (1,2)^*PO(X)$ such that $x \in U$, $y \notin U$ and $y \in V$, $x \notin V$.

Remark 3.9. It is obvious that every $(1,2)^*$pre- T_1 space is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0 but the converse is not true in general as illustrated in the next example.

Example 3.10. Let $X = \{a,\ b,\ c\}$. $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset,\ \{a\},\ X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset,\ \{b\},\ X\}$. Then X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0 but not $(1,2)^*$pre-T_1.

Theorem 3.11. *In a space X, the following statements are equivalent.*

(i). *X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_1.*
(ii). *For each $x \in X$, $\{x\}$ is $(1,2)^*$pre-closed in X.*
(iii). *Each subset of X is the intersection of all $(1,2)^*$pre-open sets containing it.*
(iv). *The intersection of all $(1,2)^*$pre-open sets containing the point $x \in X$ is $\{x\}$.*

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$.

Let $x \in X$. If $y \in X$ and $x \neq y$ then there exists an $(1,2)^*$pre-open set U_y such that $y \in U_y$. Hence $y \in U_y \subset \{x\}^c$. Therefore, $\{x\}^c = \bigcup \{U_y : y \in \{x\}^c\}$ which is $(1,2)^*$pre-open and so $\{x\}$ is $(1,2)^*$pre-closed in X.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$.

Let $A \subset X$ and $y \notin A$. Then $A \subset \{y\}^c$ and $\{y\}^c$ is $(1,2)^*$pre-open in X and $A = \bigcap \{\{y\}^c : y \in A^c\}$ which is the intersection of all $(1,2)^*$pre-open sets containing A.

$(iii) \Rightarrow (iv)$.

Obvious.

$(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$.

Let $x,\ y \in X,\ x \neq y$. By our assumption, there exist atleast an $(1,2)^*$pre-open set containing x but not y and also an $(1,2)^*$pre-open set containing y but not x. Therefore, X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_1. □

Definition 3.12. A space X is called $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2 iff for $x,\ y \in X,\ x \neq y$, there exist disjoint $(1,2)^*$pre-open sets U, V in X such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$.

Remark 3.13. $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2ness implies $(1,2)^*$pre-T_1ness but the converse is not true in general. In Example 3.7, X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_1 but not $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2.

Definition 3.14. A subset O of X is said to be $(1,2)^*$pre-neighbourhood of a point $x \in X$ iff there exists an $(1,2)^*$pre-open set U such that $x \in U \subset O$.

Theorem 3.15. *For a space X the following statements are equivalent.*

(i). *X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2*

(ii). *If $x \in X$, then for each $y \neq x$, there is an $(1,2)^*$pre-neighbourhood $N(x)$ of x such that $y \notin (1,2)^*pcl(N(x))$.*

(iii). *For each $x \in \{(1,2)^*pcl(N)$: N is an $(1,2)^*$pre-neighbourhood of $x\}$ $= \{x\}$.*

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$.

Let $x \in X$. If $y \in X$ is such that $y \neq x$, there exist disjoint $(1,2)^*$pre-open sets U, V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$. Then $x \in U \subset X \setminus V$ which implies that $X \setminus V$ is an $(1,2)^*$pre-neighbourhood of x. Also $X \setminus V$ is $(1,2)^*$pre-closed and $y \notin X \setminus V$. Let $N(x)$ $= X \setminus V$. Then $y \notin (1,2)^*pcl(N(x))$.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$.

Obvious.

$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$.

Let $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$. By hypothesis, there is atleast an $(1,2)^*$pre-neighbourhood N of x such that $y \notin (1,2)^*pcl(N)$. We have $x \notin X \setminus (1,2)^*pcl(N)$ is $(1,2)^*$pre-open. Since N is an $(1,2)^*$pre-neighbourhood of x, there exists $U \in (1,2)^*PO(X)$ such that $x \in U \subset N$ and $U \cap (X \setminus (1,2)^*pcl(N)) = \emptyset$. Hence X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2. □

Definition 3.16. A space X is said to be $(1,2)^*$pre-regular if for each $(1,2)^*$pre-closed set F and each point $x \notin F$ there exist disjoint $(1,2)^*$pre-open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $F \subset V$.

Theorem 3.17. *An $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0 space is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2 if it is $(1,2)^*$pre-regular.*

Proof. Let X be $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0 and $(1,2)^*$pre-regular. If $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there exists $U \in (1,2)^*PO(X)$ such that U contains one of x and y, say x but not y. Then $X \setminus U$ is $(1,2)^*$pre-closed and $x \notin X \setminus U$. Since X is $(1,2)^*$pre-regular, there exist disjoint $(1,2)^*$pre-open sets V_1 and V_2 such that $x \in V_1$ and $X \setminus U \subset V_2$. Thus $x \in V_1$ and $y \in V_2$, $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$. Hence X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2. □

Theorem 3.18. *If f :$(X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is an injective, $(1,2)^*$pre-irresolute map and Y is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2 then X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$. Since f is injective, $f(x) \neq f(y)$ in Y and there exist disjoint $(1,2)^*$pre-open sets U, V such that $f(x) \in U$ and $f(y) \in V$. Let $G = f^{-1}(U)$ and $H = f^{-1}(V)$. Then $x \in G$, $y \in H$ and $G, H \in (1,2)^*PO(X)$. Also $G \cap H = f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V)$ $= f^{-1}(U \cap V) = \emptyset$. Thus X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2. □

4. Pre-difference axioms

Definition 4.1. A subset A of X is called $(1,2)^*$pre-difference set (briefly $(1,2)^*pD$-set) if there are two $(1,2)^*$pre-open sets P_1 and P_2 in X, $P_1 \neq X$ such that $A = P_1 \setminus P_2$.

Remark 4.2. It is evident that each $(1,2)^*$pre-open set is an $(1,2)^*pD$-set.

Now we define another set of separation axioms called $(1,2)^*$pre-D_i, $i = 0, 1, 2$ by using the $(1,2)^*pD$-sets.

Definition 4.3. A space X is said to be

(i). $(1,2)^*$pre-D_0 if for $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there exists an $(1,2)^*pD$-set containing one of x and y but not the other.

(ii). $(1,2)^*$pre-D_1 if for $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there exist $(1,2)^*pD$-sets U, V in X such that $x \in U$, $y \notin U$ and $y \in V$, $x \notin V$.

(iii). $(1,2)^*$pre-D_2 if for $x,\ y \in X,\ x \neq y$, there exist disjoint $(1,2)^*pD$-sets $U,\ V$ in X such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$.

Remark 4.4. (i). Every $(1,2)^*$pre-T_i space is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_i, $i = 0,\ 1,\ 2$ respectively.
(ii). If X is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_i then it is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_{i-1}, $i = 1,\ 2$.

Remark 4.5. $(1,2)^*$pre-D_i ness does not imply $(1,2)^*$pre-T_i ness for $i = 1,\ 2$ respectively. In example 3.10, X is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_1 but not $(1,2)^*$pre-T_1 and in Example 3.7, X is not $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2 but $(1,2)^*$pre-D_2.

The next example shows that $(1,2)^*$pre-D_0 does not imply $(1,2)^*$pre-D_1.

Example 4.6. Let $X = \{a,\ b\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset,\ X\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset,\ \{a\},\ X\}$. Then X is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_0 but not $(1,2)^*$pre-D_1.

Theorem 4.7. *A space X is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_0 if and only if it is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0.*

Proof. Suppose that X is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_0. Let $x,\ y \in X,\ x \neq y$. Then there exists an $(1,2)^*pD$-set U such that U contains x but not y, say. As U is an $(1,2)^*pD$-set, it is possible to write $U = P_1 \setminus P_2$ where $P_1 \neq X$ and $P_1,\ P_2 \in (1,2)PO(X)$. Now there arises two cases. (i)$y \notin P_1$ (ii) $y \in P_1$ and $y \in P_2$.

Case (i). $y \notin P_1$ and $x \in P_1 \setminus P_2$ implies that $x \in P_1$ and $y \notin P_1$.

Case (ii). $y \in P_1$ and $y \in P_2$. $x \in P_1 \setminus P_2$ implies that $x \notin P_2$. Thus $y \in P_2$ and $x \notin P_2$.

Thus in both the cases, we obtain that X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0. Conversely, if X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0, by Remark 4.4, X is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_0. □

It has been showed in section 3, that an $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2-space is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_1 but not the converse. But in the case of pre-Difference axioms, we prove that an $(1,2)^*$pre-D_1-space is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_2 and so the $(1,2)^*$pre-D_1-space coincides with $(1,2)^*$pre-D_2-space.

Theorem 4.8. *A space X is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_1 if and only if X is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_2.*

Proof. **Necessity.** Let $x,\ y \in X,\ x \neq y$. Then there exist $(1,2)^*pD$-sets $U,\ V$ in X such that $x \in U,\ y \notin U$ and $y \in V,\ x \notin V$. Let $U = P_1 \setminus P_2$ and $V = P_3 \setminus P_4$ where $P_i \in (1,2)^*PO(X)$, $i = 1,\ 2,\ 3,\ 4$ and $P_1 \neq X,\ P_3 \neq X$. It is evident that $x \notin V$ implies the two possibilities, (i) $x \in P_3 \cap P_4$ (ii) $x \notin P_3$.

Case (i). $x \in P_3 \cap P_4$. We have $x \in P_4$ and $y \in P_3 \setminus P_4$ and $P_4 \cap (P_3 \setminus P_4) = \emptyset$ are disjoint.

Case (ii). $x \notin P_3$. $y \notin U$ implies that either $y \in P_1$ and $y \in P_2$ or $y \notin P_1$.

Sub Case (a). $y \in P_1$ and $y \in P_2$ and $x \in P_1 \setminus P_2$. We get $P_1 \setminus P_2$ and P_2 are disjoint $(1,2)^*pD$-sets containing x and y respectively.

Sub Case (b). $y \notin P_1$ and $x \in P_1 \setminus P_2$ and $x \notin P_3$ implies that $x \in P_1 \setminus (P_2 \cup P_3)$ and $y \in P_3 \setminus P_4$ and $y \notin P_1$ implies that $y \in P_3 \setminus (P_1 \cup P_4)$ and $P_1 \setminus (P_2 \cup P_3)$ and $P_3 \setminus (P_1 \cup P_4)$ are disjoint. Therefore, X is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_2.

Sufficiency. Follows from Remark 4.4. □

Theorem 4.9. *If X is $(1,2)^*$pre-D_1 then it is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0.*

Proof. Follows from (ii) Remark 4.4 and theorem 4.8. □

Remark 4.10. An $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0-space is not $(1,2)^*$pre-D_1, in general. In Example 3.10, X is $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0 but not $(1,2)^*$pre-D_1.

Remark 4.11. From the discussions in Sections three and four, the following implication diagram is drawn. In the diagram,

1. $(1,2)^*$pre-T_2
2. $(1,2)^*$pre-T_1
3. $(1,2)^*$pre-T_0
4. $(1,2)^*$pre-D_1

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(1) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & (2) \arrow[d] \arrow[dl] \\
(4) \arrow[r] & (3)
\end{tikzcd}$$

$A \to B$ (resp. $A \nrightarrow B$) represents that A implies B (resp. A does not imply B).

References

1. Abhijit Chattopadhyay, *Pre-T0 and Pre-T1 topological spaces*, J. Indian Acad. Math. **17** (1995), no. 2, 156–159.
2. Ashish Kar and Paritosh Bhattacharyya, *Some weak separation axioms*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. **82** (1990), 415–422.
3. A. S. Davis, *Indexed system of neighbourhoods for general topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly **68** (1961), 886–893.
4. S. N. E1-Deeb, I. A. Hasanein, A. S. Mashhour, and T. Noiri, *On p-regular spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie (N. S.) **27(75)** (1983), 311–315.
5. N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly **70** (1963), 36–41.
6. M. Lellis Thivagar and O. Ravi, *A bitopological (1,2)* semi generalized continuous mappings*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. **29** (2005), no. 1, 1–9.
7. M. Lellis Thivagar, *Generalization of Pairwise α-continuous functions*, Pure and Applied Mathematika Sciences **33** (1991), 55–63.
8. S. N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, *Some new separation axioms*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles **89** (1975), 395–402.
9. A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, and S.N. El-Deeb, *On pre-continuous and weak pre-continuous mappings*, Proc. Math. and Phys. Soc. Egypt (1982), 47–53.
10. Miguel Caldas, *A separation axiom between semi-T_0 and semi-T_1*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi. Univ. (Math.) **18** (1997), 37-42.
11. G. B. Navalagi, *P-normal, almost P-normal and mildly p-normal spaces*, Topology Atlas Preprint 427.
12. G. B. Navalagi, *Pre-neighbourhoods*, Topology Atlas Preprint 422.

Department of Mathematics, Jayaraj Annapackiam College for Women, Periyakulam, Theni (Dt.)-625601, Tamilnadu, India
E-mail address: athisayaponmani@yahoo.co.in

Department of Mathematics, Arul Anandar College, Karumathur, Madurai (Dt.)-625514, Tamilnadu, India
E-mail address: mlthivagar@yahoo.co.in

Received 01/02/2006