

## FUNCTOR OF SEMIADDITIVE FUNCTIONALS

D. E. DAVLETOV AND G. F. DJABBAROV

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we describe semiadditive functionals and establish that the construction generated by semiadditive functionals forms a covariant functor. We show that the functor of semiadditive functionals is a normal functor acting in category of compact sets.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The general theory of covariant functors on the category  $\text{Comp}$  of compact Hausdorff topological spaces and their continuous mappings originates in the fundamental work by E. Shchepin [1], where he distinguished some elementary properties of covariant functors in the category of compacts and defined the concept of normal functor.

The following functors are well investigated among normal functors: the functor  $P$  of probability measures; the functor  $\text{exp}$  of a hyperspace (see. [2]–[8]).

In [9], T. Radul introduced the functor  $O$  of weakly additive order-preserving normed functionals in the category of compacts. He proved that the functor  $O$  is not normal. The functor  $O$  has the following important property: the functors  $P$ ,  $\text{exp}$  and  $\lambda$  can be realized as subfunctors of the functor  $O$ . In [10], A. Zaitov extended the functor  $O$  up to the functor  $O \circ \beta$  and investigated categorical properties of  $O \circ \beta$ . In [11], R. Beshimov extended the functor  $O$  up to the functor  $O_\beta$  in the category of Tychonoff spaces and their continuous mappings. Categorical properties of positively-homogeneous functionals were studied in [12], [13].

In this paper we will investigate the functor of semiadditive functionals.

A general form of semiadditive functionals will be given in Section 3 (Theorem 3.3).

In Section 4 we investigate categorical properties of the functor of semiadditive functionals  $OS$ . We will prove that  $OS$  is a normal functor (Theorem 4.10).

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $X$  be a compactum. By  $C(X)$  we denote the set of all continuous functions  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the usual (pointwise) operations and sup-norm, i.e., with the norm  $\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$ . For each  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , by  $c_X$  we denote the constant function defined by the formula  $c_X(x) = c$ ,  $x \in X$ . Let  $\varphi, \psi \in C(X)$ . The inequality  $\varphi \leq \psi$  means  $\varphi(x) \leq \psi(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [8]. A functional  $\nu : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called:

- 1) *weakly additive* if the equality  $\nu(\varphi + c_X) = \nu(\varphi) + c \cdot \nu(1_X)$  holds for each  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi \in C(X)$ ;
- 2) *order-preserving* if for all  $\varphi, \psi \in C(X)$  with  $\varphi \leq \psi$  we have  $\nu(\varphi) \leq \nu(\psi)$ ;
- 3) *normed* if  $\nu(1_X) = 1$ ;
- 4) *positively-homogeneous* if  $\nu(t\varphi) = t\nu(\varphi)$  for all  $\varphi \in C(X)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , where  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$ ;

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 54B30, 54C35, 18C15.

*Key words and phrases.* Normal functor, semiadditive functionals, probability measures.

5) *semiadditive* if  $\nu(f + g) \leq \nu(f) + \nu(g)$  for all  $f, g \in C(X)$ .

For a compactum  $X$  by  $O(X)$  we denote the set of all weakly additive, order-preserving, normed functionals. By  $OH(X)$  we denote the set of all positively-homogeneous functionals from  $O(X)$ , and by  $OS(X)$  we denote the set of semiadditive functionals from  $OH(X)$ . For brevity, we'll call elements of the set  $OS(X)$  *semiadditive functionals*. This set is endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence. The base of neighborhoods for the functional  $\nu \in OS(X)$  is formed by the sets

$$\langle \nu; \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k; \varepsilon \rangle = \{ \nu' \in OS(X) : |\nu'(\varphi_i) - \nu(\varphi_i)| < \varepsilon, i = \overline{1, k} \},$$

where  $\varphi_i \in C(X)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, k}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

By  $\mathbf{2} = \{0, 1\}$  we denote the two-point set with discrete topology.

Preliminaries.

Let  $\delta_i$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) be the Dirac functionals on  $C(\mathbf{2})$ . We define the functionals  $\delta_0 \vee \delta_1$  and  $\delta_0 \wedge \delta_1$  by the following rules

$$(\delta_0 \vee \delta_1)(f) = \max\{f(0), f(1)\}, \quad f \in C(\mathbf{2})$$

and

$$(\delta_0 \wedge \delta_1)(f) = \min\{f(0), f(1)\}, \quad f \in C(\mathbf{2}).$$

It is known [12] that  $OH(\mathbf{2})$  is affine homeomorphic to the square with the vertices in points  $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_0 \vee \delta_1, \delta_0 \wedge \delta_1$ . Moreover, any element of  $OH(\mathbf{2})$  has one of the following forms

$$(1) \quad \nu = t_0\delta_0 + t_1\delta_1 + t_2(\delta_0 \vee \delta_1)$$

or

$$(2) \quad \nu' = t_0\delta_0 + t_1\delta_1 + t_2(\delta_0 \wedge \delta_1),$$

where  $t_i \geq 0$ ,  $i = \overline{0, 2}$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^2 t_i = 1$ .

It is clear that a functional  $\nu$  in the form (1) belongs to  $OS(\mathbf{2})$ . Let's show that at  $t_2 \neq 0$  the functional  $\nu'$  in the form (2) doesn't belong to  $OS(\mathbf{2})$ .

Indeed, let  $\nu' = t_0\delta_0 + t_1\delta_1 + t_2(\delta_0 \wedge \delta_1)$ ,  $t_2 \neq 0$ . Take  $f, g \in C(\mathbf{2})$  such that  $f(0) = 1$ ,  $f(1) = 0$ ,  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $g(1) = 1$ . Then  $\nu'(f + g) = t_0 + t_1 + t_2$  and  $\nu'(f) = t_0$ ,  $\nu(g) = t_1$ , which implies  $\nu'(f + g) > \nu'(f) + \nu'(g)$ .

Thus, each element of  $OS(\mathbf{2})$  has the form (1). Hence,  $OS(\mathbf{2})$  is affine homeomorphic to the triangle with the vertices  $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_0 \vee \delta_1$ .

### 3. DESCRIPTION OF SEMIADDITIVE FUNCTIONALS

In this paragraph we obtain a general form of semiadditive functionals on  $C(X)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *For any compactum  $X$ , the space  $OS(X)$  is a convex compactum.*

*Proof.* Since  $OS(X) \subset OH(X)$  and  $OH(X)$  is a convex compact set [12, Theorem 1], it is sufficient to show that  $OS(X)$  is a convex closed set of  $OH(X)$ . Let  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in OS(X)$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (t\nu_1 + (1-t)\nu_2)(\varphi + \psi) &= t\nu_1(\varphi + \psi) + (1-t)\nu_2(\varphi + \psi) \\ &\leq t\nu_1(\varphi) + t\nu_1(\psi) + (1-t)\nu_2(\varphi) + (1-t)\nu_2(\psi) \\ &= (t\nu_1 + (1-t)\nu_2)(\varphi) + (t\nu_1 + (1-t)\nu_2)(\psi), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the functional  $t\nu_1 + (1-t)\nu_2$  is semiadditive. This implies that  $t\nu_1 + (1-t)\nu_2 \in OS(X)$ .

Let now  $(\nu_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I} \subset OS(X)$  be an arbitrary convergent net and  $\nu_0 \in OH(X)$  be the limit of this net. We show that  $\nu_0 \in OS(X)$ . Since  $\nu_\alpha(\varphi + \psi) \leq \nu_\alpha(\varphi) + \nu_\alpha(\psi)$  for each  $\varphi, \psi \in C(X)$ ,  $\alpha \in I$ , we have

$$\lim \nu_\alpha(\varphi + \psi) \leq \lim(\nu_\alpha(\varphi) + \nu_\alpha(\psi)) = \lim \nu_\alpha(\varphi) + \lim \nu_\alpha(\psi) = \nu_0(\varphi) + \nu_0(\psi).$$

On the other hand,

$$\lim \nu_\alpha(\varphi + \psi) = \nu_0(\varphi + \psi).$$

The last equation shows that  $\nu_0(\varphi + \psi) \leq \nu_0(\varphi) + \nu_0(\psi)$ . So,  $\nu_0 \in OS(X)$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$

Let  $P(X)$  be a space of all positive normed linear functionals on  $C(X)$ ,  $A$  be a non-empty subset of  $P(X)$ , and  $f \in C(X)$ . Then  $|\mu(f)| \leq \|f\|$  for each  $\mu \in A$ , and therefore the set  $\{\mu(f) : \mu \in A\}$  is bounded above. Hence, for each  $f \in C(X)$  there exists

$$(3) \quad \nu_A(f) = \sup\{\mu(f) : \mu \in A\}, \quad f \in C(X).$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a non-empty set of  $P(X)$ . Then*

- a) *the functional  $\nu_A : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  belongs to  $OS(X)$ ;*
- b)  *$\nu_A = \nu_{\text{co}(A)}$  where  $\text{co}(A)$  is a convex envelope of  $A$ ;*
- c)  *$\nu_A = \nu_{\text{cl}(A)}$  where  $\text{cl}(A)$  is the closure of  $A$ ;*
- d)  *$\nu_A = \nu_{\text{cl}(\text{co}(A))}$ .*

*Proof.* a)

- 1) Let  $f \in C(X)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have  $\nu_A(f + c_X) = \sup\{\mu(f + c_X) : \mu \in A\} = \sup\{\mu(f) + c : \mu \in A\} = \sup\{\mu(f) : \mu \in A\} + c = \nu_A(f) + c$ .
- 2) Take  $f, g \in C(X)$  such that  $f \leq g$ . Then  $\nu_A(f) = \sup\{\mu(f) : \mu \in A\} \leq \sup\{\mu(g) : \mu \in A\} = \nu_A(g)$ .
- 3)  $\nu_A(1_X) = \sup\{\mu(1_X) : \mu \in A\} = \sup\{1 : \mu \in A\} = 1$ .
- 4) Let  $f \in C(X)$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then  $\nu_A(tf) = \sup\{\mu(tf) : \mu \in A\} = \sup\{t\mu(f) : \mu \in A\} = t \sup\{\mu(f) : \mu \in A\} = t\nu_A(f)$ .
- 5) Let  $f, g \in C(X)$ . Then  $\nu_A(f + g) = \sup\{\mu(f + g) : \mu \in A\} = \sup\{\mu(f) + \mu(g) : \mu \in A\} \leq \sup\{\mu(f) : \mu \in A\} + \sup\{\mu(g) : \mu \in A\} = \nu_A(f) + \nu_A(g)$ .

b) Since  $A \subset \text{co}(A)$ ,  $\nu_A(f) \leq \nu_{\text{co}(A)}(f)$  for each  $f \in C(X)$ . We show that  $\nu_A(f) \geq \nu_{\text{co}(A)}(f)$  for each  $f \in C(X)$ .

Let  $f \in C(X)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is  $\mu_\varepsilon \in \text{co}(A)$  such that  $\mu_\varepsilon(f) \geq \nu_{\text{co}(A)}(f) - \varepsilon$ . Since  $\mu_\varepsilon \in \text{co}(A)$ ,  $\mu_\varepsilon$  has the form  $\sum_{k=1}^n t_k \mu_k$  where  $\mu_k \in A$ ,  $t_k \geq 0$ ,  $k = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^n t_k = 1$ . Since  $\mu_k(f) \leq \nu_A(f)$ ,

$$\nu_{\text{co}(A)}(f) - \varepsilon \leq \mu_\varepsilon(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_k \mu_k(f) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n t_k \nu_A(f) = \nu_A(f),$$

i.e.,  $\nu_{\text{co}(A)}(f) - \varepsilon \leq \nu_A(f)$ . By virtue of arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $\nu_{\text{co}(A)}(f) \leq \nu_A(f)$ .

c) Take  $f \in C(X)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $\mu_\varepsilon \in \text{cl}(A)$  such that  $\mu_\varepsilon(f) \geq \nu_{\text{cl}(A)}(f) - \varepsilon$ . Since  $\mu_\varepsilon \in \text{cl}(A)$ , there exists  $\mu_0 \in A$  such that

$$|\mu_\varepsilon(f) - \mu_0(f)| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence,

$$\nu_{\text{cl}(A)}(f) - \varepsilon \leq \mu_\varepsilon(f) < \mu_0(f) + \varepsilon \leq \nu_A(f) + \varepsilon,$$

i.e.,  $\nu_{\text{cl}(A)}(f) - 2\varepsilon < \nu_A(f)$ . By virtue of arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $\nu_{\text{cl}(A)}(f) \leq \nu_A(f)$ .

d) immediately follows from b) and c).

Proposition is proved.  $\square$

The following result shows that formula (3) gives a general form of functionals from  $OS(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *For each  $\nu \in OS(X)$  there exists a non-empty convex compactum  $A$  in  $P(X)$  such that  $\nu = \nu_A$  where  $\nu_A$  is a functional in form (3), in addition, for each  $f \in C(X)$  there exists  $\mu \in A$  such that  $\nu(f) = \mu(f)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\nu$  be an arbitrary element of  $OS(X)$ . Put  $A = \{\mu \in P(X) : \mu \leq \nu\}$  where  $\mu \leq \nu$  means  $\mu(f) \leq \nu(f)$  for all  $f \in C(X)$ . Obviously, the set  $A$  is a convex closed subset of  $P(X)$ . Hence,  $A$  is a convex compactum in  $P(X)$ .

Let us show that  $A$  is the set sought for.

It is clear that  $\nu_A \leq \nu$ . Hence, to prove the equality  $\nu_A = \nu$  it is sufficient to show that for any  $h \in C(X)$  there is  $\mu \in A$  such that  $\mu(h) = \nu(h)$ .

Let  $h$  be a fixed element of  $C(X)$ . By  $C = \{th + c_X : t, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$  we denote the subspace of  $C(X)$  generated by  $h$  and constants.

We show that the following formula

$$(4) \quad \mu(th + c_X) = t\nu(h) + c, \quad t, c \in \mathbb{R},$$

determines on  $C$  a positive linear functional such that

- i)  $\mu(h) = \nu(h)$ ;
- ii)  $\mu(g) \leq \nu(g)$ ,  $g \in C$ ;
- iii)  $\mu(1_X) = 1$ .

At first, let us check the correctness of definition of  $\mu$ .

*Case 1.*  $h = c' = \text{const}$ . Let  $g \in C$  and  $g = t_1c' + c_1 = t_2c' + c_2$ . We have  $t_1\nu(h) + c_1 = t_1\nu(c'_X) + c_1 = t_1c' + c_1 = t_2c' + c_2 = t_2\nu(c'_X) + c_2 = t_2\nu(h) + c_2$ . Hence, the value of  $\mu$  on  $g$  doesn't depend on the decomposition of  $g$ .

*Case 2.*  $h \neq \text{const}$ . In this case an arbitrary  $g \in C$  is uniquely decomposed in the following sum  $g = th + c_X$ . Indeed, if  $t_1h + c_1 \equiv t_2h + c_2$  ( $t_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ), then  $(t_2 - t_1)h \equiv c_2 - c_1$ . As  $h \neq \text{const}$ ,  $t_1 = t_2$ ,  $c_1 = c_2$ .

It is obvious that  $\mu$  is linear on  $C$ .

It is clear that  $\mu$  satisfies i), iii).

Let's show that ii) holds. Let  $g = th + c$  be an element of  $C$ .

The case of  $t = 0$  is trivial.

Consider the case of  $t > 0$ . In this case  $\mu(g) = t\nu(h) + c = t(\nu(h) + \frac{c}{t}) = t\nu(h + \frac{c}{t}) = \nu(th + c) = \nu(g)$ .

In the case of  $t < 0$  we have  $\mu(g) = t\nu(h) + c = t\left(\nu(h) + \frac{c}{t}\right) = t\nu\left(h + \frac{c}{t}\right) = -|t|\nu\left(h + \frac{c}{t}\right) = -\nu\left(|t|h + |t|\frac{c}{t}\right) = -\nu(-th - c) = -\nu(-g) = -(\nu(-g) + \nu(g)) + \nu(g) \leq -\nu(-g + g) + \nu(g) = -\nu(0_X) + \nu(g) = \nu(g)$ .

Thus, we showed that on  $C$  there exists a functional  $\mu$  satisfying the relations i), ii), iii). By the Hahn-Banach theorem the linear functional  $\mu$  has a continuation on  $C(X)$  (we denote it by  $\mu$ , too) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(h) &= \nu(h); \\ \mu(g) &\leq \nu(g), \quad g \in C(X); \\ \mu(1_X) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality  $\mu(g) \leq \nu(g)$  guarantees that  $|\mu(f)| \leq 1$  for each  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $|f| \leq 1_X$ . From here and taking into account that  $\mu(1_X) = 1$  we obtain  $\mu \in P(X)$ . Again, from the inequality  $\mu(g) \leq \nu(g)$  ( $g \in C(X)$ ), we obtain  $\mu \in A$ .

The theorem is proved. □

The following result shows that the correspondence  $A \leftrightarrow \nu_A$ , where  $A$  is a non-empty convex compactum in  $P(X)$ , is a bijective mapping between the set  $OS(X)$  and convex compact subsets of  $P(X)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty convex compacta in  $P(X)$ , then  $\nu_A = \nu_B$  if and only if  $A = B$ .*

*Proof.* First, let  $A \neq B$ . We can take that there will be found  $\mu_0 \in B \setminus A$ . As  $A$  is a non-empty convex compactum and  $\mu_0 \notin A$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $W$  of the functional  $\mu_0$  such that  $W \cap A = \emptyset$ . Moreover, we can take  $W = \{\mu \in P(X) : |\mu(\varphi_i) - \mu_0(\varphi_i)| < \varepsilon, i = \overline{1, n}\}$  where  $\varphi_i \in C(X)$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

We define the mapping  $\Phi : P(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  by the rule

$$\Phi(\mu) = (\mu(\varphi_1), \dots, \mu(\varphi_n)) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \mu \in P(X).$$

It is clear that  $\Phi$  is a continuous affine mapping. Therefore  $\Phi(A)$  is a non-empty convex compactum in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $W \cap A = \emptyset$  implies  $\Phi(\mu_0) \notin \Phi(A)$ . Hence, by the Hahn-Banach theorem there is  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  separating the point  $\Phi(\mu_0)$  and the convex compactum  $\Phi(A)$ , i.e.,

$$(5) \quad \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu(\varphi_i) : \mu \in A \right\} < \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mu_0(\varphi_i).$$

Put  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i$ . We obtain from (5) that  $\sup\{\mu(f) : \mu \in A\} < \mu_0(f)$ . This means  $\nu_A(f) < \mu_0(f)$ . But  $\mu_0 \in B$ . Hence  $\mu_0(f) \leq \nu_B(f)$ . Therefore  $\nu_A(f) < \nu_B(f)$ . The last inequality shows that  $\nu_A \neq \nu_B$ .

Let now  $\nu_A \neq \nu_B$ . We can take that there exists  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $\nu_A(f) < \nu_B(f)$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \nu_B(f) - \nu_A(f)$ . Take  $\mu_0 \in B$  such that  $\mu_0(f) > \nu_B(f) - \varepsilon/2$ . Then  $\mu_0(f) > \nu_B(f) - (\nu_B(f) - \nu_A(f))/2 = (\nu_B(f) + \nu_A(f))/2 > \nu_A(f)$ , i.e.,  $\mu_0(f) > \nu_A(f)$ . Hence,  $\mu_0(f) > \lambda(f)$  for each  $\lambda \in A$ , which means  $\mu_0 \notin A$ , and therefore  $A \neq B$ .

The theorem is proved.  $\square$

Later, considering an element  $\nu_A \in OS(X)$ , we assume that  $A$  is a non-empty convex compactum in  $P(X)$ .

**Corollary 3.5.** *A functional  $\nu_A \in OS(X)$  belongs to  $P(X)$  if and only if  $A$  is a single-point set.*

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be convex compacta in  $P(X)$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ , and  $tA + (1-t)B = \{t\lambda + (1-t)\mu : \lambda \in A, \mu \in B\}$ . Then*

$$t\nu_A + (1-t)\nu_B = \nu_{tA+(1-t)B}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in C(X)$ . Take  $\lambda \in A$  and  $\mu \in B$ . We have  $(t\lambda + (1-t)\mu)(\varphi) = t\lambda(\varphi) + (1-t)\mu(\varphi) \leq t\nu_A(\varphi) + (1-t)\nu_B(\varphi)$ . Hence,

$$(6) \quad \nu_{tA+(1-t)B}(\varphi) \leq (t\nu_A + (1-t)\nu_B)(\varphi).$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 3.3 there is  $\lambda_0 \in A$  and  $\mu_0 \in B$  such that  $\lambda_0(\varphi) = \nu_A(\varphi)$ ,  $\mu_0(\varphi) = \nu_B(\varphi)$ . So,  $\nu_{tA+(1-t)B}(\varphi) \geq t\lambda_0(\varphi) + (1-t)\mu_0(\varphi) = (t\nu_A + (1-t)\nu_B)(\varphi)$ , i.e.

$$(7) \quad \nu_{tA+(1-t)B}(\varphi) \geq (t\nu_A + (1-t)\nu_B)(\varphi).$$

Relations (6) and (7) imply  $t\nu_A + (1-t)\nu_B = \nu_{tA+(1-t)B}$ .

The proposition is proved.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *The set  $P(X)$  is a face in  $OS(X)$ , i.e.,  $t\nu_1 + (1 - t)\nu_2 \in P(X)$ ,  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in OS(X)$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ , imply  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in P(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\nu_A, \nu_B \in OS(X)$ ,  $t \in (0, 1)$ , be such that  $t\nu_A + (1 - t)\nu_B \in P(X)$ . The latter is possible only when  $tA + (1 - t)B$  is a single-point set.

Let  $\lambda \in A$ ,  $\mu_i \in B$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $tA + (1 - t)B$  is a single-point set,  $t\lambda + (1 - t)\mu_1 = t\lambda + (1 - t)\mu_2$ . Hence,  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ , i.e.,  $B$  consists of one point. Analogously,  $A$  also consists of one point. But then  $\nu_A = \lambda$ ,  $\nu_B = \mu$  belong to  $P(X)$ . This means that  $P(X)$  is a face in  $OS(X)$ .

The proposition is proved. □

The convex set  $P(X)$  is not a face in  $OH(X)$  if  $|X| \geq 2$ . Indeed, take different  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Since

$$\frac{\delta_{x_1} \wedge \delta_{x_2} + \delta_{x_1} \vee \delta_{x_2}}{2} = \frac{\delta_{x_1} + \delta_{x_2}}{2},$$

we have that  $\frac{\delta_{x_1} \wedge \delta_{x_2} + \delta_{x_1} \vee \delta_{x_2}}{2}$  belongs to  $P(X)$ . But  $\delta_{x_1} \wedge \delta_{x_2}$  and  $\delta_{x_1} \vee \delta_{x_2}$  don't belong to  $P(X)$ .

#### 4. CATEGORICAL PROPERTIES OF THE FUNCTOR OF SEMIADDITIVE FUNCTIONALS

In this part we investigate categorical properties of the functor of semiadditive functionals and show that  $OS : \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$  is a normal functor.

Let  $\mathcal{F} : \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$  be a covariant functor.

Recall [1] that

- 1)  $\mathcal{F}$  preserves the weight of compacta if  $w(\mathcal{F}(X)) = w(X)$  for each infinite compactum  $X$ ;
- 2)  $\mathcal{F}$  is *monomorphic* if for any embedding  $i$  of a compactum  $X$  into a compactum  $Y$ , the mapping  $\mathcal{F}(i) : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(Y)$  is also an embedding;
- 3)  $\mathcal{F}$  is *epimorphic* if it preserves surjectivity of compacta;
- 4)  $\mathcal{F}$  is *continuous* if for each inverse spectrum  $\mathcal{P} = \{X_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, I\}$ , there is defined an inverse spectrum  $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}) = \{\mathcal{F}(X_\alpha), \mathcal{F}(\pi_\beta^\alpha), I\}$ , and the limit

$$\pi : \mathcal{F}(\lim \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \lim \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P})$$

of the mappings  $\mathcal{F}(\pi_\alpha) : \mathcal{F}(\lim \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X_\alpha)$ , where  $\pi_\alpha : \lim \mathcal{P} \rightarrow X_\alpha$  are through projections, is a homeomorphism;

- 5)  $\mathcal{F}$  preserves *intersections* if for each family  $\{B_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$  of closed subsets of an arbitrary compactum we have  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \mathcal{F}(B_\alpha) = \mathcal{F}(\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} B_\alpha)$ ;
- 6)  $\mathcal{F}$  preserves *preimages* if for each continuous mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of a compactum  $X$  into a compactum  $Y$  and each closed subset  $B \subset Y$ , we have  $\mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(B)) = \mathcal{F}(f)^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(B))$ .

**Definition 4.1.** [2]. A functor  $\mathcal{F} : \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$  is called *normal* if it is continuous, preserves intersections and preimages, is monomorphic and epimorphic, transfers the empty set into the empty set and a single-point set into a single-point one.

Recall that for any compact  $X$  there is defined a space  $OH(X)$  consisting of all functionals  $\mu : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that satisfy the conditions 1), 2), 3) and 4) of Definition 2.1.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compacta,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping. Then the mapping  $OH(f) : OH(X) \rightarrow OH(Y)$  defined by

$$OH(f)(\mu)(\varphi) = \mu(\varphi \circ f)$$

is also continuous [13]. It is known [13] that the construction  $OH$  is a covariant functor in the category  $\text{Comp}$  of compacta and their continuous mappings.

Let now  $X$  and  $Y$  be compact spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping between them. We define a mapping  $OS(f) : OS(X) \rightarrow OS(Y)$  by the formula

$$OS(f)(\nu)(\varphi) = \nu(\varphi \circ f)$$

where  $\nu \in OS(X)$  and  $\varphi \in C(Y)$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *The operation  $OS$  gives a subfunctor of the functor  $OH : \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that for each  $X$  we have  $OS(X) \subset OH(X)$ .

We show that  $OH(f)(OS(X)) \subset OS(Y)$  where  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous mapping. Let  $\mu \in OS(X)$  and  $\nu = OH(f)(\mu)$ . We have, for  $\varphi, \psi \in C(Y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\varphi + \psi) &= OH(f)(\mu)(\varphi + \psi) = \mu((\varphi + \psi) \circ f) \leq \mu(\varphi \circ f) + \mu(\psi \circ f) \\ &= OH(f)(\mu)(\varphi) + OH(f)(\mu)(\psi) = \nu(\varphi) + \nu(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $\nu \in OS(Y)$ . Therefore  $OS$  is a subfunctor of the functor  $OH$ .

The proposition is proved.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be an infinite compactum. Then*

$$w(X) = w(OS(X)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be an infinite compactum. Consider the functional  $\delta_x : C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by the formula  $\delta_x = \varphi(x)$ ,  $\varphi \in C(X)$ . It is clear that the mapping  $\delta : X \rightarrow OS(X)$ , defined by the formula  $\delta(x) = \delta_x$ ,  $x \in X$ , is an embedding of the compactum  $X$  into  $OS(X)$ . That's why  $w(X) \leq w(OS(X))$ . We obtain from  $OS(X) \subset OH(X)$  that  $w(OS(X)) \leq w(OH(X))$ , and we have from [9, Proposition 1]  $w(X) = w(OH(X))$ . Thus,  $w(X) \leq w(OS(X)) \leq w(OH(X)) = w(X)$ , i.e.,  $w(X) = w(OS(X))$ .

The proposition is proved.  $\square$

Recall that  $P$  is the functor on probability measures. If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous mapping, then the mapping  $P(f) : P(X) \rightarrow P(Y)$  is defined by the rule

$$P(f)(\mu)(\varphi) = \mu(\varphi \circ f),$$

where  $\mu \in P(X)$  and  $\varphi \in C(Y)$ .

The following result establishes a connection between  $OS(f)$  and  $P(f)$ . This connection will play a key role in the investigation of categorical properties of the functor  $OS$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping,  $\nu_A \in OS(X)$ . Then the following formula*

$$(8) \quad OS(f)(\nu_A) = \nu_{P(f)(A)}$$

*is valid.*

*Proof.* For  $\varphi \in C(Y)$  we have  $OS(f)(\nu_A)(\varphi) = \nu_A(\varphi \circ f) = \sup\{\lambda(\varphi \circ f) : \lambda \in A\} = \sup\{\mu(\varphi) : \mu \in P(f)(A)\} = \nu_{P(f)(A)}(\varphi)$ . It shows that  $OS(f)(\nu_A) = \nu_{P(f)(A)}$ .

The proposition is proved.  $\square$

Further, we show using relation (8) that the functor  $OS$  is monomorphic, epimorphic, preserves preimages and intersections.

**Proposition 4.5.** *The functor  $OS : \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$  is a monomorphic functor.*

*Proof.* Let  $j : X \rightarrow Y$  be an embedding of a compactum  $X$  into a compactum  $Y$ . We show that  $OS(j) : OS(X) \rightarrow OS(Y)$  is also an embedding. Let  $\nu_A, \nu_B \in OS(X)$  be such that  $\nu_A \neq \nu_B$ . By Theorem 3.4 we have  $A \neq B$ . Since  $P$  is monomorphic,  $P(j)$  is also an embedding and therefore  $P(j)(A) \neq P(j)(B)$ . We have from here and by virtue of (8) that  $OS(j)(\nu_A) = \nu_{P(j)(A)} \neq \nu_{P(j)(B)} = OS(j)(\nu_B)$ . The last inequality means that  $OS(j)$  is an embedding as well, i.e.,  $OS$  is monomorphic.

The proposition is proved. □

In works [9], [13], to prove epimorphity of the functors  $O$  and  $OH$  one has to prove, first, variants of the Hahn-Banach theorem for weakly additive functionals in the case of the functor  $O$ , and for positively-homogeneous functionals in the case of  $OH$ .

In our case we need not any propositions similar to the Hahn-Banach theorem. Formula (8) allows to prove epimorphity of the functor  $OS$  using epimorphity of the functor  $P$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** *The functor  $OS : \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$  is epimorphic.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an epimorphism between compact spaces and  $\nu_B \in OS(Y)$ . As the functor  $P$  is epimorphic,  $P(f)$  is an affine epimorphism. Therefore  $A = P(f)^{-1}(B)$  is a non-empty convex compactum in  $P(X)$ . We obtain using (8) that  $OS(f)(\nu_A) = \nu_{P(f)(A)} = \nu_B$ , i.e.,  $OS(f)$  is an epimorphism.

The proposition is proved. □

The following result shows that the functor  $OS$  preserves preimages, but at the same time the functors  $O$  and  $OH$  don't possess this property (see [9], [13]).

**Proposition 4.7.** *The functor  $OS : \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$  preserves preimages.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping and  $Z$  be a closed subset in  $Y$ . We show that  $OS(f)^{-1}(OS(Z)) = OS(f^{-1}(Z))$ . Take  $\nu_A \in OS(f^{-1}(Z))$  where  $A$  is a convex compactum in  $P(f^{-1}(Z))$ . As  $P$  is normal,  $P(f)(A) \subset P(f)(P(f^{-1}(Z))) = P(Z)$ . That's why  $OS(f)(\nu_A) = \nu_{P(f)(A)} \in OS(Z)$ . This means that  $\nu_A \in OS(f)^{-1}(OS(Z))$ .

Now take  $\nu_B \in OS(f)^{-1}(OS(Z))$ . Let  $\nu_A \in OS(Z)$  be such that  $\nu_A = OS(f)(\nu_B)$ . Again, we obtain from (8) that  $\nu_A = OS(f)(\nu_B) = \nu_{P(f)(B)}$ . Hence,  $P(f)(B) = A \subset P(Z)$ . Since  $P$  preserves preimages,  $B \subset P(f)^{-1}(A) \subset P(f)^{-1}(P(Z)) = P(f^{-1}(Z))$ , which means that  $\nu_B \in OS(f^{-1}(Z))$ .

The proposition is proved. □

**Proposition 4.8.** *The functor  $OS : \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $X = \lim \mathcal{P}$  where  $\mathcal{P} = \{X_\alpha, \pi_\alpha^\beta, I\}$  is an inverse spectrum of compact spaces  $X_\alpha, \alpha \in I$ . Denote  $Y = \lim OS(\mathcal{P})$  where  $OS(\mathcal{P}) = \{OS(X_\alpha), OS(\pi_\alpha^\beta), I\}$ .

Let  $\pi : OS(X) \rightarrow Y$  be the limit of the mappings  $OS(\pi_\alpha) : OS(X) \rightarrow OS(X_\alpha)$  where  $\pi_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha$  are through projections.

As  $\pi$  is a continuous mapping, it is sufficient to prove that  $\pi$  is a bijection.

First, let  $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in OS(X)$  be two different functionals. Then there exists  $\varphi \in C(X)$  such that  $|\nu_1(\varphi) - \nu_2(\varphi)| = \varepsilon > 0$ . Since the set of functions  $\psi_\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha$ , where  $\psi_\alpha \in C(X_\alpha), \alpha \in I$ , is dense in  $C(X)$  (see, for the example [2]), there is  $\alpha \in I$  and a function  $\psi_\alpha \in C(X_\alpha)$  such that  $|\varphi - \psi_\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha| < \varepsilon/3$ . Since each weakly additive functional is a non-expanding mapping [9, Lemma 1],  $|\nu_i(\varphi) - \nu_i(\psi_\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha)| < \varepsilon/3$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon = |\nu_1(\varphi) - \nu_2(\varphi)| &\leq |\nu_1(\varphi) - \nu_1(\psi_\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha)| + |\nu_1(\psi_\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha) - \nu_2(\psi_\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha)| \\ &\quad + |\nu_2(\psi_\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha) - \nu_2(\varphi)| \leq 2\varepsilon/3 + |\nu_1(\psi_\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha) - \nu_2(\psi_\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\nu_1(\psi_\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha) \neq \nu_2(\psi_\alpha \circ \pi_\alpha)$  and therefore

$$OS(\pi_\alpha)(\nu_1)(\psi_\alpha) \neq OS(\pi_\alpha)(\nu_2)(\psi_\alpha).$$



Thus,  $OS(\pi_\alpha)(\nu_1) \neq OS(\pi_\alpha)(\nu_2)$ . As  $\pi$  is the limit of the mappings  $OS(\pi_\alpha)$ , we have  $\pi(\nu_1) \neq \pi(\nu_2)$ . Since the functor  $OS$  is epimorphic,  $\pi$  is a surjection.

The proposition is proved.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.9.** *The functor  $OS$  preserves intersections of closed subsets of a compactum.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the assertion for the intersection of two closed subsets of the compactum  $X$  since  $OS$  is a continuous functor. It is clear that  $OS(X_1 \cap X_2) \subset OS(X_1) \cap OS(X_2)$ . Let's show the inverse inclusion. Let  $\nu_A \in OS(X_1) \cap OS(X_2)$ . Then  $A$  is a convex compact subset of  $P(X_1) \cap P(X_2)$ . Since  $P$  is normal,  $A \subset P(X_1 \cap X_2)$ . Therefore  $\nu_A \in OS(X_1 \cap X_2)$ .

The proposition is proved.  $\square$

At last, the functor  $OS : \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$  preserves a point and the empty set.

Propositions 4.2–4.9 imply the following

**Theorem 4.10.** *The functor  $OS : \text{Comp} \rightarrow \text{Comp}$  is normal.*

*Acknowledgments.* The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the referee for diligent reading of the manuscript and pointing out errors contained in the first version.

#### REFERENCES

1. E. V. Shchepin, *Functors and uncountable degrees of compacta*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **36** (1981), no. 3, 3–62.
2. V. V. Fedorchuk, V. V. Filippov, *General Topology. Basic Constructions*, Moscow State University, Moscow, 1988.
3. V. V. Fedorchuk, *Probability measures in topology*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **46** (1991), no. 1, 41–80.
4. V. V. Fedorchuk, *Covariant functors in the category of compacta, absolute retracts, and  $Q$ -manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **36** (1981), no. 3, 177–190.
5. V. V. Fedorchuk, *Soft mappings, multivalued retractions, and functors*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **41** (1986), no. 6, 121–159.
6. V. V. Fedorchuk, *Some geometric properties of covariant functors*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **39** (1984), no. 5, 169–208.
7. V. N. Basmanov, *Covariant functors of finite degree on the category of Hausdorff compact spaces*, Fundam. Prikl. Mat. **2** (1996), no. 3, 637–654.
8. L. B. Shapiro, *On operators of the function continuation and normal functors*, Vestnik Moskov. Gos. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. (1992), no. 1, 35–42.
9. T. Radul, *On the functor of order-preserving functionals*, Comm. Math. Univ. Carol. **39** (1998), no. 3, 609–615.
10. A. A. Zaitov, *On categorical properties of order-preserving functionals*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **9** (2003), no. 4, 357–364.
11. R. B. Beshimov, *Some properties of the functor  $O_\beta$* , Zapiski Nauchnykh Seminarov POMI **319** (2004), 131–134.
12. G. F. Djabbarov, *Description of extremal points of the space of weakly additive positively-homogeneous functionals of the two-point set*, Uzb. Math. J. (2005), no. 3, 17–25.
13. G. F. Djabbarov, *Categorical properties of the functor of weakly additive positively-homogeneous functionals*, Uzb. Math. J. (2006), no. 2, 20–28.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UZBEKISTAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCE, 29 F. HODJAEV, TASHKENT, 700143, UZBEKISTAN

*E-mail address:* mathinst@uzsci.net

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UZBEKISTAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCE, 29 F. HODJAEV, TASHKENT, 700143, UZBEKISTAN

*E-mail address:* gayrat\_77@bk.ru

Received 06/02/2007; Revised 20/03/2008