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#### Abstract

In this paper, we define the first topological $(\sigma, \tau)$-cohomology group and examine vanishing of the first $(\sigma, \tau)$-cohomology groups of certain triangular Banach algebras. We apply our results to study the $(\sigma, \tau)$-weak amenability and $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenability of triangular Banach algebras.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are two unital algebras with units $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $1_{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively. Recall that a vector space $\mathcal{M}$ is a unital $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}$-bimodule whenever it is both a left $\mathcal{A}$-module and a right $\mathcal{B}$-module satisfying

$$
a(m b)=(a m) b, \quad 1_{\mathcal{A}} m=m 1_{\mathcal{B}}=m \quad(a, b \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M})
$$

Then $\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right]=\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & m \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right] ; a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}, b \in \mathcal{B}\right\}$ equipped with the usual $2 \times 2$ matrix-like addition and matrix-like multiplication is an algebra.

An algebra $\mathcal{T}$ is called a triangular algebra if there exist algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ and nonzero $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}$-bimodule $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{T}$ is (algebraically) isomorphic to $\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. For example, the algebra $\mathcal{T}_{n}$ of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$, may be viewed as a triangular algebra when $n>1$. In fact, if $n>k$, we have $\mathcal{T}_{n}=$ $\operatorname{Tri}\left(\mathcal{T}_{n-k}, M_{n-k, k}(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{T}_{k}\right)$ in which $M_{n-k, k}(\mathbb{C})$ is the space of $(n-k) \times k$ complex matrices, cf. [1].

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangular algebra. If $1=\left[\begin{array}{cc}u & p \\ 0 & v\end{array}\right]$, and $\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & 0 \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right]$ is denoted by $a \oplus b$, then it can be easily verified that $e=u \oplus 0$ is an idempotent such that $(1-e) \mathcal{T} e=0$ but $e \mathcal{T}(1-e) \neq 0$. Conversely, if there exists an idempotent $e \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $(1-e) \mathcal{T} e=0$ but $e \mathcal{T}(1-e) \neq 0$. Then the mapping $x \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{cc}e x e & e x(1-e) \\ 0 & (1-e) x(1-e)\end{array}\right]$ is an isomorphism between $\mathcal{T}$ and $\operatorname{Tri}(e \mathcal{T} e, e \mathcal{T}(1-e),(1-e) \mathcal{T}(1-e))$; cf. [1].

By a triangular Banach algebra we mean a Banach algebra A which is also a triangular algebra. Many algebras such as upper triangular Banach algebras [4], nest algebras [2], semi-nest algebras [3], and joins [6] are triangular algebras.

Following [1], consider a triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}$ with an idempotent $e$ satisfying $e \mathcal{T}(1-e) \neq 0$ and $(1-e) \mathcal{T} e=0$. Put $\mathcal{A}=e \mathcal{T} e, \mathcal{B}=(1-e) \mathcal{T}(1-e)$ and $\mathcal{M}=e \mathcal{T}(1-e)$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are closed subalgebras of $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{M}$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}$-bimodule, and $\mathcal{T}=$ $\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. Conversely, given Banach algebras $\left(\mathcal{A},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{B},\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ and an $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}$ bimodule $\mathcal{M}$, then the triangular algebra $\mathcal{T}=\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the norm given by $\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & m \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right]\right\|_{\mathcal{T}}=\|a\|_{\mathcal{A}}+\|m\|_{\mathcal{M}}+\|b\|_{\mathcal{B}}$. It is not hard

[^0]to show that each norm $\|$.$\| making \operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ into a triangular Banach algebra is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{T}}$, if the natural restrictions of $\|\cdot\|$ to $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ are equivalent to the given norms on $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{M}$, respectively. See also $[12,16]$

The concept of topological cohomology arose from the problems concerning extensions by H. Kamowitz [11], derivations by R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose [9, 10] and amenability by B. E. Johnson [8] and has been extensively developed by A. Ya. Helemskii and his school [7]. The reader is referred to $[7,19]$ for undefined notation and terminology.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Banach algebra and $\sigma, \tau$ be continuous homomorphisms on $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is a Banach $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule. A linear mapping $d: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is called a $(\sigma, \tau)$-derivation if

$$
d(a b)=d(a) \sigma(b)+\tau(a) d(b) \quad(a, b \in \mathcal{A})
$$

We mean by a $\sigma$-derivation, a $(\sigma, \sigma)$-derivation. For example (i) Every ordinary derivation of an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ into an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule is an $i d_{\mathcal{A}}$-derivation, where $i d_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the identity mapping on the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. (ii) Every point derivation $d: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ at the character $\theta$ on $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\theta$-derivation.

A linear mapping $d: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is called $(\sigma, \tau)$-inner derivation if there exists $x \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $d(a)=\tau(a) x-x \sigma(a) \quad(a \in \mathcal{A})$. See also [13, 14, 17, 18] and references therein.

We denote the set of continuous $(\sigma, \tau)$-derivations from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{E}$ by $Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ and the set of inner $(\sigma, \tau)$-derivations by $B_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$. we define the space $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ as the quotient space $Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}) / B_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$. The space $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E})$ is called the first $(\sigma-\tau)$-cohomology group of $\mathcal{A}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{E}$.

From now on, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ denote unital Banach algebras with units $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $1_{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{M}$ denotes a unital Banach $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}$-bimodule and $\mathcal{T}=\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ is the triangular matrix algebra. In addition, $\mathcal{X}$ is a unital Banach $\mathcal{T}$-bimodule, $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}=1_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{X} 1_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}=1_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X} 1_{\mathcal{B}}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A B}}=$ $1_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{X} 1_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B A}}=1_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X} 1_{\mathcal{A}}$. For instance, with $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{T}$ we have $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}=\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}=$ $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A B}}=\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B A}}=0$.

In this paper, we examine vanishing of the first $(\sigma, \tau)$-cohomology groups of certain triangular Banach algebras. We apply our results to investigate the $(\sigma, \tau)$-weak amenability and $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenability of triangular Banach algebras.

## 2. VAnishing of the first $(\sigma, \tau)$-COHOMOLOGY GROUP

In this section, using some ideas of [5], we investigate the relation between the first $(\sigma, \tau)$-cohomology of $\mathcal{T}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{X}$ and those of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}$, respectively, whenever $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A B}}=0$ in a direct method.

We start our work by investigating the structure of bounded $(\sigma, \tau)$-derivations from a triangular Banach algebra into bimodules.

Let $\sigma$ and $\tau$ be two homomorphisms on $\mathcal{T}$ with the following properties:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tau(1 \oplus 0)=1 \oplus 0, & \tau(0 \oplus 1)=0 \oplus 1 \\
\sigma(1 \oplus 0)=1 \oplus 0, & \sigma(0 \oplus 1)=0 \oplus 1 \tag{2.2}
\end{array}
$$

The above relation implies easily that $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ if we identify $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right]$. So with no ambiguity, we can consider $\sigma$ and $\tau$ as homomorphisms on $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{B}$, when it is necessary.

Now let $m \in \mathcal{M}$. If $\sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & m \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}a^{\prime} & m^{\prime} \\ 0 & b^{\prime}\end{array}\right]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & m^{\prime} \\
0 & b^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] } & =\sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)=\sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& =\sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & m^{\prime} \\
0 & b^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m^{\prime} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\right) \subseteq\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$. Thus one can define $\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by $m \mapsto m^{\prime}$. To simplify the notation we denote $\sigma_{\mathcal{M}}$ by $\sigma$. Thus $\sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & m \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right]\right)$ can be written as $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\sigma(a) & \sigma(m) \\ 0 & \sigma(b)\end{array}\right]$.

If $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are homomorphisms, then $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}: \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ defined by $\left(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}\right)(a, b)=\left(\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a), \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\right)$ is a homomorphism. Conversely every homomorphism on $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ is of the form $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ for some homomorphisms $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, respectively.

Applying our notation, let $\delta: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a bounded $(\sigma, \tau)$-derivation. Then $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow$ $1_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{X} 1_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by

$$
\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a)=1_{\mathcal{A}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}
$$

and $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X} 1_{\mathcal{B}}$ defined by

$$
\delta \mathcal{B}(b)=1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}}
$$

are bounded $(\sigma, \tau)$-derivations.
Moreover, the mapping $\theta: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow 1_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{X} 1_{\mathcal{B}}$ given by

$$
\theta(m)=1_{\mathcal{A}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta(a m) & =1_{\mathcal{A}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & a m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}}=1_{\mathcal{A}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}} \\
& =1_{\mathcal{A}} \tau(a) \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}}+1_{\mathcal{A}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}}  \tag{2.3}\\
& =\tau(a) 1_{\mathcal{A}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}}+1_{\mathcal{A}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& =\tau(a) \theta(m)+\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \sigma(m)
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(m b)=\theta(m) \sigma(b)+\tau(m) \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ are bounded $(\sigma, \tau)$-derivations of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ into $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}$, respectively, and $\theta: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A B}}$ is any continuous linear mapping satisfies (2.3) and (2.4), then the mapping $D\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & m \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right]\right)=\delta_{1}(a)+\delta_{2}(b)+\theta(m)$ defines a bounded
$(\sigma, \tau)$-derivation of $\mathcal{T}$ into $X$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) D\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & m^{\prime} \\
0 & b^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\right)+D\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & m^{\prime} \\
0 & b^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
&=\tau\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right)\left(\delta_{1}\left(a^{\prime}\right)+\delta_{2}\left(b^{\prime}\right)+\theta\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
&+\left(\delta_{1}(a)+\delta_{2}(b)+\theta(m)\right) \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & m^{\prime} \\
0 & b^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& \quad=\tau\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) \tau\left(1_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \delta_{1}\left(a^{\prime}\right)+\delta_{1}(a) \sigma\left(1_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & m^{\prime} \\
0 & b^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
&+\tau\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) \tau\left(1_{\mathcal{B}}\right) \delta_{2}\left(b^{\prime}\right)+\delta_{2}(b) \sigma\left(1_{\mathcal{B}}\right) \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & m^{\prime} \\
0 & b^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
&+\tau\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) \tau\left(1_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \theta\left(m^{\prime}\right)+\theta(m) \sigma\left(1_{\mathcal{B}}\right) \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & m^{\prime} \\
0 & b^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& \quad=\tau(a) \delta_{1}\left(a^{\prime}\right)+\delta_{1}(a) \sigma\left(a^{\prime}\right)+\delta_{1}(a) \sigma\left(m^{\prime}\right)+\tau(b) \delta_{2}\left(b^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad+\delta_{2}(b) \sigma\left(b^{\prime}\right)+\tau(m) \delta_{2}\left(b^{\prime}\right)+\tau(a) \theta\left(m^{\prime}\right)+\theta(m) \sigma\left(b^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=\delta_{1}\left(a a^{\prime}\right)+\delta_{2}\left(b b^{\prime}\right)+\theta\left(a m^{\prime}\right)+\theta\left(m b^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=D\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a a^{\prime} & a m^{\prime}+m b^{\prime} \\
0 & b b^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\right)=D\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & m^{\prime} \\
0 & b^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A B}}=0$, then we may assume that the linear mapping $\theta$ defined above is zero. Notice that, in this case, $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \sigma(m)=\tau(m) \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b)=0$ for every $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}, m \in \mathcal{M}$.

We are now ready to provide our main theorem.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A B}}=1_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{X} 1_{\mathcal{B}}=0$. Then

$$
H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})=H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}\right) \oplus H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A B}}=0$ and consider the linear mapping

$$
\rho: Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}\right) \oplus H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
\delta \mapsto\left(\delta_{\mathcal{A}}+N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}\right), \delta_{\mathcal{B}}+N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}\right)\right)
$$

If $\delta_{1} \in Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}\right)$ and $\delta_{2} \in Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}\right)$, then $D\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & m \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right]\right)=\delta_{1}(a)+\delta_{2}(b)$ is a $(\sigma, \tau)$-derivation from $\mathcal{T}$ into $\mathcal{X}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(D) & =\left(D_{A}+N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}\right), D_{\mathcal{B}}+N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\delta_{1}+N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}\right), \delta_{2}+N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equation is deduced from the fact that

$$
D_{\mathcal{A}}(a)=1_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\delta_{1}(a)+\delta_{2}(0)\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}=\delta_{1}(a)
$$

and

$$
\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b)=1_{\mathcal{B}}\left(\delta_{1}(0)+\delta_{2}(b)\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}}=\delta_{2}(b)
$$

Thus $\rho$ is surjective.

If $\delta \in \operatorname{ker} \rho$, then $\delta_{\mathcal{A}} \in N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}\right)$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{B}} \in N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}\right)$. Then $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a)=$ $\tau(a) x-x \sigma(a)$ for some $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b)=\tau(b) y-y \sigma(b)$ for some $y \in \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) & =\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a)+\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b) \\
& =(\tau(a) x-x \sigma(a))+(\tau(b) y-y \sigma(b)) \\
& =(\tau(a)+\tau(m)+\tau(b))(x+y)-(x+y)(\sigma(a)+\sigma(m)+\sigma(b)) \\
& =\tau\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right)(x+y)-(x+y) \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $D \in N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$.
It is straightforward to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & =1_{\mathcal{A}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}+1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}+1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}} \\
& =1_{\mathcal{A}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}+1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right)=1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}}-\tau(b) 1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) & =\delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& =1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& -\tau\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}
\end{aligned}
$$

These follow that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\delta-D)\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
&=\delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right)-1_{\mathcal{A}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}-1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}} \\
&=\left(\delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)-1_{\mathcal{A}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right)+\delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
&+\left(\delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right)-1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}}\right) \\
&=1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)+1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
&-\tau\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}-\tau\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
&=-\delta \\
& 1_{\mathcal{B}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1_{\mathcal{A}} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We therefore have $\delta-D \in N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$, and so $\delta \in N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$.

Conversely, let $\delta \in N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})$. Then there exists $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$
\delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right)=\tau\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right) x-x \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a) & =1_{\mathcal{A}} \delta\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}}=1_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\tau\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) x-x \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}} \\
& =\tau\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right) 1_{\mathcal{A}} x 1_{\mathcal{A}}-1_{\mathcal{A}} x 1_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right)=\delta_{1_{\mathcal{A}} x 1_{\mathcal{A}}}(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b)=\delta_{1_{\mathcal{B}} x 1_{\mathcal{B}}}(b)$. Hence $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}$ are inner and so $\delta \in \operatorname{ker} \rho$.
Thus $N_{(\sigma-\tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})=\operatorname{ker} \rho$.
We conclude that

$$
H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})=\frac{Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})}{N_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})}=\frac{Z_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{X})}{\operatorname{ker} \rho}=H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}\right) \oplus H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}\right)
$$

Corollary 2.2. $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{M})=0$.
Proof. With $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{M}$ we have

$$
H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{M})=H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{A}, 0) \oplus H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{B}, 0)=0
$$

Example 2.3. $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}), \mathcal{A})=0$.
Example 2.4. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a left Banach $\mathcal{A}$-module. Then $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}, \mathbb{C}), \mathcal{L})=0$.
Corollary 2.5. $H_{(\sigma-\tau)}^{1}(\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{A})=H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$.
Proof. With $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{A}$, we have $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A B}}=0, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}=\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}=0$. It then follows from Theorem 2.1, $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{A})=H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \oplus H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{B}, 0)=H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$.

Example 2.6. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra and $\mathcal{B}$ is an arbitrary unital Banach module, then $H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}), \mathcal{A})=H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})=0$, if $\sigma$ and $\tau$ are ultraweak automorphisms (see Corollary 3.4.6 of [20]).

## 3. $(\sigma, \tau)$-WEAK AMENABILITY OF TRIANGULAR BANACH ALGEBRAS

With simple calculation we can observe that if $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{T}^{*}$ considered as $\mathcal{T}$-bimodule, then $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}=\mathcal{A}^{*}, \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}=\mathcal{B}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A B}}=0$. Therefore by Theorem 2.1 we can conclude the following

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be unital Banach algebras, $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital Banach $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathcal{B}$-bimodule and $\mathcal{T}=\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. Then

$$
H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{*}\right)=H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{*}\right) \oplus H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^{*}\right)
$$

Corollary 3.2. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be unital Banach algebras and $\mathcal{M}$ be an unital Banach $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}$ bimodule. The triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}=\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$ is $(\sigma, \tau)$-weak amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are both $(\sigma, \tau)$-weak amenable.

By induction one can easily prove the following proposition

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are unital Banach algebras and $\mathcal{M}$ is a unital Banach $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}$-bimodule. If $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{T}^{(2 n)}$ then

$$
\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}=\mathcal{A}^{(2 n)}, \quad \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}=\mathcal{B}^{(2 n)}, \quad \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A B}}=\mathcal{M}^{(2 n)}, \quad \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B A}}=0
$$

Also if $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{T}^{(2 n-1)}$ then

$$
\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A A}}=\mathcal{A}^{(2 n-1)}, \quad \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B B}}=\mathcal{B}^{(2 n-1)}, \quad \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{A B}}=0, \quad \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B A}}=\mathcal{M}^{(2 n-1)}
$$

Now by Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 2.1, we immediately obtain the next result.
Proposition 3.4. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be unital Banach algebras and $\mathcal{M}$ be a unital Banach $\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{B}$ bimodule. Then for all positive integers $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{(2 n-1)}\right)=H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(2 n-1)}\right) \oplus H_{(\sigma, \tau)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^{(2 n-1)}\right)
$$

## 4. $(\sigma, \tau)$-AmEnability of triangular Banach algebras

In this section, by using some ideas of [12] we investigate ( $\sigma, \tau$ )-amenability of triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}=\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. We shall assume that the homomorphisms $\sigma, \tau$ on $\mathcal{T}$ have properties asserted in (2.1) and (2.2). We need some general observation concerning $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenability of Banach algebras. The first is an easy consequence of the definition of $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenability.
Proposition 4.1. [15, Proposition 3.3] Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be Banach algebras and $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ be continuous endomorphisms of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\tau, \tau^{\prime}$ be continuous homomorphisms of $\mathcal{B}$. If there is a continuous homomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ is a dense subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\tau \varphi=\varphi \sigma$ and $\tau^{\prime} \varphi=\varphi \sigma^{\prime}$, then $\left(\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$-amenability of $\mathcal{A}$ implies $\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)$-amenability of $\mathcal{B}$.

Now, suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a Banach algebra, $\tau, \sigma: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ are two continuous endomorphisms, and $\mathcal{I}$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\sigma(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}, \tau(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. Then the map $\widehat{\tau}, \widehat{\sigma}: \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$ can be defined by $\widehat{\sigma}(a+\mathcal{I})=\sigma(a)+\mathcal{I}, \widehat{\tau}(a+\mathcal{I})=\tau(a)+\mathcal{I}$. It is not hard to show the following propositions.
Proposition 4.2. [15, Proposition 3.1] Let $\mathcal{I}, \sigma, \tau$ be as above. If $\mathcal{A}$ is $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenable then $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$ is $(\widehat{\sigma}, \widehat{\tau})$-amenable.
Proposition 4.3. [15, Proposition 3.2] Let $\mathcal{I}, \sigma, \tau$ be as above and let $\sigma, \tau$ be idempotent homomorphisms. If $\mathcal{I}$ is $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenable and $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$ is $(\widehat{\sigma}, \widehat{\tau})$-amenable, then $\mathcal{A}$ is $(\sigma, \tau)$ amenable.

We now extend Theorem 4.1 of [12] as follows
Proposition 4.4. Let $\sigma$ and $\tau$ be two continuous idempotent homomorphisms on triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}=\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, 0, \mathcal{B})$. The triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}$ is $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenable.

Proof. At first suppose that $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ are $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenable. It is easy to see that $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ is a closed ideal of $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right]$ and $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right] /\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right] \simeq\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right]$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is $(\sigma, \tau)$ amenable therefore $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ is $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenable.

Let $\varphi:\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right] /\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ be the natural isomorphism. Then $\varphi \tau=$ $\widehat{\tau} \varphi$ and $\varphi \sigma=\widehat{\sigma} \varphi$. By Proposition $4.1(\sigma, \tau)$-amenability of $\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right]$ implies the $(\widehat{\sigma}, \widehat{\tau})$ amenability of $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right] /\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$. Thus by utilizing Proposition 4.3, we deduce the $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenability of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}$.

For the converse, suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ is $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenable. It is obvious that $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{T}$. By Proposition 4.2, $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right] /\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ is $(\widehat{\sigma}, \widehat{\tau})$-amenable. One can easily observe that there exists the natural isomorphism $\varphi:\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right] /\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right] \rightarrow$ $\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right]$ and that $\varphi \widehat{\sigma}=\sigma \varphi$ and $\varphi \widehat{\tau}=\tau \varphi$. Therefore, by Proposition 4.1, $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right]$, that is $\mathcal{B}$, is $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenable. Similarly one can prove the $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenability of $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 4.5. Let $\sigma$ and $\tau$ be two continuous idempotent homomorphisms on triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}=\operatorname{Tri}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B})$. If the triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}$ is $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenable then $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are $(\sigma, \tau)$ - amenable. In particular, $\sigma$-amenability of $\mathcal{T}$ implies $\sigma(\mathcal{M})=\{0\}$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right]$ is $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenable. Clearly, $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ is a closed ideal of $\mathcal{T}$. Therefore, by Proposition 4.2, $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right] /\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$ is $(\widehat{\sigma}, \widehat{\tau})$-amenable Also there exists the natural isomorphism $\varphi:\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right] /\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & \mathcal{M} \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right] \rightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right]$ such that $\varphi \widehat{\sigma}=\sigma \varphi$ and $\varphi \widehat{\tau}=\tau \varphi$. Hence $\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right]$ is $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenable. Similarly one can prove the $(\sigma, \tau)$-amenability of $\mathcal{A}$.

Now suppose that the triangular Banach algebra $\mathcal{T}$ is $\sigma$-amenable.
Set $\mathcal{X}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A}^{*} & \mathcal{M}^{*} \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}^{*}\end{array}\right]$. The vector space $\mathcal{X}$ is a Banach space under the norm $\left\|\left[\begin{array}{cc}f & h \\ 0 & g\end{array}\right]\right\|=\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^{*}}+\|h\|_{\mathcal{M}^{*}}+\|g\|_{\mathcal{B}^{*}}$. The space $\mathcal{X}$ can be regarded as a Banach $\mathcal{T}$-bimodule under the following $\mathcal{T}$-module actions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f & h \\
0 & g
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & b h \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{cc}
f & h \\
0 & g
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & h a \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & m \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right] \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\left[\begin{array}{ll}f & h \\ 0 & g\end{array}\right] \in \mathcal{X}$. Therefore $\mathcal{X}^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A}^{* *} & M^{* *} \\ 0 & B^{* *}\end{array}\right]$ is a dual Banach $\mathcal{T}$-bimodule.

Let $D: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A}^{* *} & M^{* *} \\ 0 & B^{* *}\end{array}\right]$ be defined by $D\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}a & m \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right]\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & \widehat{\sigma(m)} \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right]$.
Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & m_{1} \\
0 & b_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a_{2} & m_{2} \\
0 & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right)=D\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} a_{2} & a_{1} m_{2}+m_{1} b_{2} \\
0 & b_{1} b_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& \quad=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sigma\left(a_{1} \widehat{\left.m_{2}+m_{1} b_{2}\right)}\right. \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sigma\left(a_{1}\right) \widehat{\sigma\left(m_{2}\right)}+\widehat{\sigma\left(m_{1}\right)} \sigma\left(b_{2}\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& \quad=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sigma\left(a_{1}\right) \widehat{\sigma\left(m_{2}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \widehat{\sigma\left(m_{1}\right)} \sigma\left(b_{2}\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \widehat{\sigma\left(m_{1}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma\left(a_{2}\right) & \sigma\left(m_{2}\right) \\
0 & \sigma\left(b_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma\left(a_{1}\right) & \sigma\left(m_{1}\right) \\
0 & \sigma\left(b_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \widehat{\sigma\left(m_{2}\right)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]=D\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & m_{1} \\
0 & b_{1}
\end{array}\right]\right) \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a_{2} & m_{2} \\
0 & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right) \\
& +\sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & m_{1} \\
0 & b_{1}
\end{array}\right]\right) D\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a_{2} & m_{2} \\
0 & b_{2}
\end{array}\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $D$ is a $\sigma$-derivation. Hence there exists $\left[\begin{array}{cc}F & H \\ 0 & G\end{array}\right] \in \mathcal{X}^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \widehat{\sigma(m)} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] } & =D\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right)=\sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right)\left[\begin{array}{cc}
F & H \\
0 & G
\end{array}\right] \\
& -\left[\begin{array}{cc}
F & H \\
0 & G
\end{array}\right] \sigma\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & m \\
0 & b
\end{array}\right]\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sigma(a) H \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & H \sigma(b) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\sigma(m)}=\sigma(a) H-H \sigma(b) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $m \in \mathcal{M}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$. Choosing $a=0, b=0$ in (4.1) we conclude that $\widehat{\sigma(m)}=0$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus $\sigma(\mathcal{M})=\{0\}$.
Corollary 4.6. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be two unital Banach algebra and $\sigma: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}, \tau: \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be two continuous idempotent homomorphisms. The Banach algebra $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ is $\sigma \oplus \tau$-amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-amenable and $\mathcal{B}$ is $\tau$-amenable.
Proof. It is easy to see that $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right] \simeq \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B}$. Define $\varphi:\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right] \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right]$ via $\varphi\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & 0 \\ 0 & b\end{array}\right]\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\sigma(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \tau(b)\end{array}\right]$. Therefore $\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right]$ is $\varphi$-amenable if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are both $\varphi$-amenable, and this holds if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is $\sigma$-amenable and $\mathcal{B}$ is $\tau$-amenable.
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