# ON UNITARY OPERATORS IN WEIGHTED SPACES $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$ OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

#### S. G. RAFAYELYAN AND A. M. JERBASHIAN

Dedicated to the memory of M. G. Krein.

ABSTRACT. The paper gives a complete characterization of all unitary operators acting in some wide Hilbert spaces  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  of entire functions possessing weighted square integrable modulus over the whole finite complex plane, which exhaust the set of all entire functions.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Investigations on the well-known  $A^p_{\alpha}$  spaces of functions holomorphic in the unit disc of the complex plane were initiated by a work of L. Biberbach [1] devoted to approximations in the space of holomorphic functions, the derivatives of which have square integrable modulus over the unit disc of the complex plane. Later, the theory of  $A^p_{\alpha}$ spaces was developed by numerous authors, and other connections with different fields of mathematics were found (a detailed reference list can be found in [5] and [7], see also [6]). The new stage in the development of the theory of  $A^p_{\alpha}$  spaces was provided by the general analytic apparatus of these spaces, which was created in the works of M. M. Djrbashian [3, 4], where the representations of the spaces  $A^p_{\omega}$  were obtained as the initial stage to an improvement of a result of R. Nevanlinna [2] related to the density of zeros and poles of similar classes of meromorphic functions. Besides, the work [4] contains an investigation of some particular  $A^p_{\omega}$  spaces of entire functions.

The general theory of Banach spaces  $A^p_{\omega}$  of functions holomorphic in |z| < 1 is created in [7]. These spaces are arbitrarily wide in the sense that their sum coincides with the set of all functions holomorphic in |z| < 1. The same work describes also arbitrarily wide in the same sense  $A^p_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  ( $2 \le p < +\infty$ ) spaces of entire functions. According to [7], the Hilbert space  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  is the set of those entire functions f(z) for which

(1) 
$$||f||_{\omega}^{2} = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^{2} d\mu_{\omega}(z) < +\infty,$$

where  $d\mu_{\omega}(re^{i\vartheta}) = -(2\pi)^{-1} d\vartheta d\omega(r^2)$  and  $\omega(x)$  is a strictly decreasing function on the whole half-axis  $[0, +\infty)$ , such that  $\omega(0) = 1$  and

$$\Delta_n^{\infty}(\omega) = -\int_0^{+\infty} t^n d\omega(t) < +\infty \quad \text{for any} \quad n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$$

This paper gives a complete description of all unitary operators, which act in arbitrarily wide Hilbert spaces  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  of entire functions, and reveals that these operators are very similar to the projector  $L^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C}) \to A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  given by the representation of  $A^p_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  spaces in [7].

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32A35; Secondary 31A05.

Key words and phrases. Unitary operators, weighted spaces of entire functions.

## 2. Main result

Before coming to our main result, we recall from [7] that the inner product of  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  is defined as

(2) 
$$(f,g)_{\omega} = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} f(z)\overline{g(z)} \, d\mu_{\omega}(z), \quad f,g \in A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C}),$$

and the representation formula is of the form

(3) 
$$f(z) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} f(\zeta) C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}) \, d\mu_{\omega}(\zeta), \quad f, g \in A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where the kernel is the entire function

(4) 
$$C_{\omega}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Delta_k^{\infty}(\omega)}.$$

The following theorem is the main result of the present paper.

**Theorem 1.** Given a unitary operator U in  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$ , there is a function  $K(z, \zeta)$  which is of  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  by the variables z and  $\overline{\zeta}$  and satisfies the conditions

(5) 
$$K(z,\zeta) = K(\overline{\zeta},\overline{z}), \quad z,\zeta \in \mathbb{C},$$

(6) 
$$C_{\omega}(\zeta_1\overline{\zeta}_2) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z,\zeta_2) \overline{K(z,\zeta_1)} \, d\mu_{\omega}(z), \quad \zeta_1,\zeta_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By this kernel, the equalities g = Uf and  $f = U^{-1}g$   $(f, g \in A^2_{\omega})$  are written in the forms

(7) 
$$g(z) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} f(\zeta) \overline{K(\zeta, z)} \, d\mu_{\omega}(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

(8) 
$$f(z) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} g(\zeta) K(z,\zeta) \, d\mu_{\omega}(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Conversely, if a function  $K(z,\zeta)$  belongs to  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  by the variables z and  $\overline{\zeta}$  and the conditions (5) and (6) are fulfilled, then formulas (7) and (8) respectively represent an unitary operator U and its inversion  $U^{-1}$  in  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* Assume that U is a unitary operator in  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  and observe that  $C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta})$  is of  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  by z and  $\overline{\zeta}$ . Namely, one can easily verify that  $\|C_{\omega}(z)\|^2 = C_{\omega}(1) < +\infty$ . Now, for any fixed  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  denote

(9) 
$$UC_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}) = H(z,\zeta) \text{ and } U^{-1}C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}) = K(z,\zeta).$$

Then obviously  $H(z,\zeta)$  and  $K(z,\zeta)$  are of  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  by both variables z and  $\overline{\zeta}$  and, in addition,

(10) 
$$H(z,\zeta) = H(\overline{\zeta},\overline{z}) \text{ and } K(z,\zeta) = K(\overline{\zeta},\overline{z}).$$

Assuming that g = Uf for some functions  $f, g \in A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  and using the equality  $U^{-1} = U^*$ , where  $U^*$  is the conjugate operator of U, for any fixed  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  we get

$$\begin{split} \left(g(z), C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta})\right)_{\omega} &= \left(Uf(z), C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta})\right)_{\omega} = \left(f(z), U^*C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta})\right)_{\omega} \\ &= \left(f(z), U^{-1}C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta})\right) = \left(f(z), K(z,\zeta)\right)_{\omega}, \end{split}$$

i.e.

$$\iint_{\mathbb{C}} g(z) \overline{C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta})} \, d\mu_{\omega}(z) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \overline{K(z,\zeta)} \, d\mu_{\omega}(z)$$

Consequently, by (3)

(11) 
$$g(\zeta) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \overline{K(z,\zeta)} \, d\mu_{\omega}(z), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Thus, formula (7) is true. Further, it is easy to see that for any fixed  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ 

$$(f(z), C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}))_{\omega} = (U^{-1}g(z), C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}))_{\omega} = (g(z), UC_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}))_{\omega}$$
  
=  $(g(z), H(z, \zeta))_{\omega}.$ 

Consequently, by (3)

(12) 
$$f(\zeta) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} g(z) \overline{H(z,\zeta)} \, d\mu_{\omega}(z), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Now, taking in (11)  $f(z) = C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta_1})$ , where  $\zeta_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  is any fixed point, and observing that in this case  $g(\zeta) = Uf(\zeta) = UC_{\omega}(\zeta\overline{\zeta_1}) = H(\zeta,\zeta_1)$ , by (3) we conclude that

$$g(\zeta) = H(\zeta, \zeta_1) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} C_{\omega}(z, \overline{\zeta_1}) \overline{K(z, \zeta)} \, d\mu_{\omega}(z)$$
$$= \iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z, \zeta) C_{\omega}(\zeta_1 \overline{z}) \, d\mu_{\omega}(z) = \overline{K(\zeta_1, \zeta)}.$$

Thus,  $\overline{H(\zeta,\zeta_1)} = K(\zeta_1,\zeta)$ , and hence the equality (12) becomes the inversion formula (8). For proving formula (6), we insert  $f(z) = K(z,\zeta_1)$  in (7). Then using the equalities

$$g(\zeta) = Uf(\zeta) = UK(\zeta, \zeta_1) = C_{\omega}(\zeta\zeta_1)$$

we come to the formula

$$C_{\omega}(\zeta\overline{\zeta}_1) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z,\zeta_1) \overline{K(z,\zeta)} \, d\mu_{\omega}(z),$$

which coincides with (6).

For proving the converse statement of our theorem, we define the operators

(13) 
$$UK(z,\zeta) = C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}) \text{ and } VC_{\omega}(z\zeta) = K(z,\zeta)$$

on the sets of functions

$$\{K(z,\zeta):\zeta\in\mathbb{C}\}\ \text{and}\ \{C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}):\zeta\in\mathbb{C}\}.$$

Aimed at proving that the operators U and V are unitary on the mentioned sets, one can use (3) and (6) to be convinced that

(14)  

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(UK(z,\zeta_1),UK(z,\zeta_2)\right)_{\omega} &= \left(C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}_1),C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}_2)\right)_{\omega} = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}_1)C_{\omega}(\zeta_2\overline{z})\,d\mu_{\omega}(z) \\
&= \omega(\zeta_2\overline{\zeta}_1) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z,\zeta_1)\overline{K(z,\zeta_2)}\,d\mu_{\omega}(z) \\
&= \left(K(z,\zeta_1),K(z,\zeta_2)\right)_{\omega}.
\end{aligned}$$

Besides, one can similarly prove that

ł

(15)  

$$\left(VC_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta_{1}}), VC_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta_{2}})\right)_{\omega} = \left(K(z,\zeta_{1}), K(z,\zeta_{2})\right)_{\omega} = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z,\zeta_{1}) \overline{K(z,\zeta_{2})} d\mu_{\omega}(z)$$

$$= C_{\omega}(\overline{\zeta_{1}}\zeta_{2}) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta_{1}}) C_{\omega}(\zeta_{2}\overline{z}) d\mu_{\omega}(z)$$

$$= \left(C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta_{1}}), C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta_{2}})\right)_{\omega}.$$

382

On the other hand,

(16)  

$$\begin{pmatrix} VC_{\omega}(z\zeta_{1}), K(z,\zeta_{2}) \end{pmatrix}_{\omega} = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z,\zeta_{1}) \overline{K(z,\zeta_{2})} d\mu_{\omega}(z) = C_{\omega}(\zeta_{2}\overline{\zeta}_{1}) \\
= \iint_{\mathbb{C}} C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta_{1}}) C_{\omega}(\overline{z}\zeta_{2}) d\mu_{\omega}(z) = \left( C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}_{1}), C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}_{2}) \right)_{\omega} \\
= \left( C_{\omega}(z\zeta_{1}), UK(z,\zeta_{2}) \right)_{\omega}.$$

Formulas (14), (15) and (16) show that

(17) 
$$(Uf, Ug)_{\omega} = (f, g)_{\omega}, \quad (Vf, Vg)_{\omega} = (f, g)_{\omega} \text{ and } (Vf, g)_{\omega} = (f, Ug)_{\omega}$$

for f and g from the mentioned sets. Further, the following extension of U and V to the linear spans of the mentioned sets generated by the functions  $K(z,\zeta)$  and  $C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta})$  is natural:

$$\text{if} \quad f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i K(z,\zeta_i) \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}_i),$$

then we set

$$Uf(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}_i)$$
 and  $Vg(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i K(z,\zeta_i).$ 

By the previous methods, one can easily show that also the extended operators U and V satisfy (17) on the corresponding linear spans.

Suppose now that  $\{\zeta_k\} \in \mathbb{C}$  is any sequence such that  $\zeta_k \to a \in \mathbb{C}$  as  $k \to \infty$  and  $\ell$  is an arbitrary linear functional over the space  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$ , such that  $\ell\left(C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta_k})\right) = 0$  for any  $k \ge 1$ . Then  $\ell$  has the form (2) and hence, using the identity (3) we conclude that there is a function  $g(z) \in A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\ell\left(C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}_{k})\right) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}_{k})\overline{g(z)} d\mu_{\omega}(z)$$
$$= \iint_{\mathbb{C}} g(z)C_{\omega}(\overline{z}\zeta_{k}) d\mu_{\omega}(z) = \overline{g(\zeta_{k})} = 0$$

i.e.  $g(\zeta_k) = 0$  and hence  $g(z) \equiv 0$  by the uniqueness of analytic function. Thus,  $\ell \equiv 0$  and hence the set  $\{C_{\omega}(z\overline{\zeta}) : \zeta \in \mathbb{C}\}$  is everywhere dense in  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$ . Similarly, one can show that also the set  $\{K(z,\overline{\zeta}) : \zeta \in \mathbb{C}\}$  is everywhere dense in  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$ . Consequently, the operators U and V can be extended from these sets to the whole space  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$ , and the equalities (17) are true for any functions  $f, g \in A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$ . It is obvious that the equalities (17) are equivalent to the conditions

$$U^*V = V^*U = I$$
 and  $V^* = U$ 

which, in their turn, mean that the operator U has left and right inversions. Consequently, U is invertible and  $U^{-1} = V$ . Hence, both U and  $V = U^{-1}$  are unitary operators in  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  and by the already proved statements of our theorem they are of the forms (7) and (8).

# 3. Construction of some special $K(z,\zeta)$ kernels

Now we consider the kernel  $K(z,\zeta)$  for some special weights  $\omega$ , for which  $K(z,\zeta)$  takes somehow more explicit forms. Namely, we assume that, along with satisfying (6),  $K(z,\zeta)$ depends on the product  $z\overline{\zeta}$ , i.e.  $K(z,\zeta) = K(z\overline{\zeta})$ . Thus, in addition to (6) we assume that

(18) 
$$K(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

Then by (6)

$$\iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z\overline{\zeta}_1) \overline{K(z\overline{\zeta}_2)} \, d\mu_{\omega}(z) = \sum_{m,n=0} a_m \overline{a}_n \overline{\zeta}_1 \zeta_2 \iint_{\mathbb{C}} z^m \overline{z}^n d\mu_{\omega}(z)$$

On the other hand, by (4)

$$C_{\omega}(\overline{\zeta}_1\zeta_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\zeta}_1^k \zeta_2^k}{\Delta_k^{\infty}(\omega)}.$$

Hence, requiring that the last two sums are equal we obtain

$$a_n \overline{a}_m \iint_{\mathbb{C}} z^m \overline{z}^n d\mu_{\omega}(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \\ |a_n|^2 \iint_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^{2n} d\mu_{\omega}(z) = \frac{1}{\Delta_n^{\infty}(\omega)} & \text{if } m = n \end{cases}$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{\Delta_n^{\infty}(\omega)} = |a_n|^2 \iint_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^{2n} d\mu_{\omega}(z) = |a_n|^2 \int_0^{+\infty} x^n d\mu_{\omega}(x) = |a_n|^2 \Delta_n \infty(\omega),$$

and  $|a_n| = [\Delta_n^{\infty}(\omega)]^{-1}$  or

(19) 
$$a_n = \frac{e^{it_n}}{\Delta_n^{\infty}(\omega)},$$

where  $\{t_n\}$  can be any sequence of real numbers. Thus, the considered kernels K, which depend on the product  $z\overline{\zeta}$ , are of the form

(20) 
$$K(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{it_n}}{\Delta_n^{\infty}(\omega)} z^n,$$

where  $\{t_n\}$  is any sequence of real numbers. For instance, this is true when  $\omega(t) = e^{-\sigma t^{\alpha}}$  $(\sigma, \alpha > 0)$ , and the class  $A^2_{\omega}(\mathbb{C})$  coincides with that considered in [7] as a particular case, where

$$\Delta_n = \sigma \int_0^{+\infty} t^{k+\alpha-1} e^{-\sigma t^{\alpha}} dt = \alpha^{-1} \sigma^{-n/\alpha} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{\alpha}\right),$$

and

$$K(z) = \alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{it_n} \frac{\sigma^{n/\alpha} z^n}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{\alpha}\right)}.$$

### References

- 1. L. Biberbach, Zur theorie und praxis der konformen abbildung, Palermo Rendiconti **38** (1914), 98–118.
- 2. R. Nevanlinna, Eindeutige Analytische Funktionen, Springer, Berlin, 1937.
- M. M. Djrbashian, On canonical representation of functions meromorphic in the unit disc, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. Armenian SSR 3 (1945), no. 1, 3–9.
- 4. M. M. Djrbashian, On the representability problem of analytic functions, Soobsch. Inst. Matem. i Mekh. Akad. Nauk Armenian SSR 2 (1948), 3–40.
- A. E. Djrbashian and F. A. Shamoian, Topics in the theory of A<sup>p</sup><sub>a</sub> spaces, Teubner-Texte zur Math., vol. 105, Teubner-Verlag, Leipzig, 1988.
- H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- A. M. Jerbashian, On the theory of weighted classes of area integrable regular functions, Complex Variables 50 (2005), 155–183.

YEREVAN STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ERIICTA, 1 ALEX MANOOGIAN, 375049, YEREVAN, ARMENIA

*E-mail address*: rafayelyansg@mail.ru

Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Armenia, 19<br/>b Marshal Baghramian Avenue, 375019, Yerevan, Armenia

 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{armen_jerbashian@yahoo.com}$ 

Received 11/01/2007