

## ON UNITARY OPERATORS IN WEIGHTED SPACES $A_\omega^2(\mathbb{C})$ OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

S. G. RAFAYELYAN AND A. M. JERBASHIAN

*Dedicated to the memory of M. G. Krein.*

ABSTRACT. The paper gives a complete characterization of all unitary operators acting in some wide Hilbert spaces  $A_\omega^2(\mathbb{C})$  of entire functions possessing weighted square integrable modulus over the whole finite complex plane, which exhaust the set of all entire functions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Investigations on the well-known  $A_\alpha^p$  spaces of functions holomorphic in the unit disc of the complex plane were initiated by a work of L. Biberbach [1] devoted to approximations in the space of holomorphic functions, the derivatives of which have square integrable modulus over the unit disc of the complex plane. Later, the theory of  $A_\alpha^p$  spaces was developed by numerous authors, and other connections with different fields of mathematics were found (a detailed reference list can be found in [5] and [7], see also [6]). The new stage in the development of the theory of  $A_\alpha^p$  spaces was provided by the general analytic apparatus of these spaces, which was created in the works of M. M. Djrbashian [3, 4], where the representations of the spaces  $A_\omega^p$  were obtained as the initial stage to an improvement of a result of R. Nevanlinna [2] related to the density of zeros and poles of similar classes of meromorphic functions. Besides, the work [4] contains an investigation of some particular  $A_\omega^p$  spaces of entire functions.

The general theory of Banach spaces  $A_\omega^p$  of functions holomorphic in  $|z| < 1$  is created in [7]. These spaces are arbitrarily wide in the sense that their sum coincides with the set of all functions holomorphic in  $|z| < 1$ . The same work describes also arbitrarily wide in the same sense  $A_\omega^p(\mathbb{C})$  ( $2 \leq p < +\infty$ ) spaces of entire functions. According to [7], the Hilbert space  $A_\omega^2(\mathbb{C})$  is the set of those entire functions  $f(z)$  for which

$$(1) \quad \|f\|_\omega^2 = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^2 d\mu_\omega(z) < +\infty,$$

where  $d\mu_\omega(re^{i\theta}) = -(2\pi)^{-1}d\theta d\omega(r^2)$  and  $\omega(x)$  is a strictly decreasing function on the whole half-axis  $[0, +\infty)$ , such that  $\omega(0) = 1$  and

$$\Delta_n^\infty(\omega) = - \int_0^{+\infty} t^n d\omega(t) < +\infty \quad \text{for any } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This paper gives a complete description of all unitary operators, which act in arbitrarily wide Hilbert spaces  $A_\omega^2(\mathbb{C})$  of entire functions, and reveals that these operators are very similar to the projector  $L_\omega^2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A_\omega^2(\mathbb{C})$  given by the representation of  $A_\omega^p(\mathbb{C})$  spaces in [7].

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32A35; Secondary 31A05.

*Key words and phrases.* Unitary operators, weighted spaces of entire functions.

## 2. MAIN RESULT

Before coming to our main result, we recall from [7] that the inner product of  $A^2_\omega(\mathbb{C})$  is defined as

$$(2) \quad (f, g)_\omega = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} d\mu_\omega(z), \quad f, g \in A^2_\omega(\mathbb{C}),$$

and the representation formula is of the form

$$(3) \quad f(z) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} f(\zeta) C_\omega(z\bar{\zeta}) d\mu_\omega(\zeta), \quad f, g \in A^2_\omega(\mathbb{C}),$$

where the kernel is the entire function

$$(4) \quad C_\omega(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Delta_k^\infty(\omega)}.$$

The following theorem is the main result of the present paper.

**Theorem 1.** *Given a unitary operator  $U$  in  $A^2_\omega(\mathbb{C})$ , there is a function  $K(z, \zeta)$  which is of  $A^2_\omega(\mathbb{C})$  by the variables  $z$  and  $\bar{\zeta}$  and satisfies the conditions*

$$(5) \quad K(z, \zeta) = K(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{z}), \quad z, \zeta \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$(6) \quad C_\omega(\zeta_1 \bar{\zeta}_2) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z, \zeta_2) \overline{K(z, \zeta_1)} d\mu_\omega(z), \quad \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

By this kernel, the equalities  $g = Uf$  and  $f = U^{-1}g$  ( $f, g \in A^2_\omega$ ) are written in the forms

$$(7) \quad g(z) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} f(\zeta) \overline{K(\zeta, z)} d\mu_\omega(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$(8) \quad f(z) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} g(\zeta) K(z, \zeta) d\mu_\omega(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Conversely, if a function  $K(z, \zeta)$  belongs to  $A^2_\omega(\mathbb{C})$  by the variables  $z$  and  $\bar{\zeta}$  and the conditions (5) and (6) are fulfilled, then formulas (7) and (8) respectively represent an unitary operator  $U$  and its inversion  $U^{-1}$  in  $A^2_\omega(\mathbb{C})$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $U$  is a unitary operator in  $A^2_\omega(\mathbb{C})$  and observe that  $C_\omega(z\bar{\zeta})$  is of  $A^2_\omega(\mathbb{C})$  by  $z$  and  $\bar{\zeta}$ . Namely, one can easily verify that  $\|C_\omega(z)\|^2 = C_\omega(1) < +\infty$ . Now, for any fixed  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  denote

$$(9) \quad UC_\omega(z\bar{\zeta}) = H(z, \zeta) \quad \text{and} \quad U^{-1}C_\omega(z\bar{\zeta}) = K(z, \zeta).$$

Then obviously  $H(z, \zeta)$  and  $K(z, \zeta)$  are of  $A^2_\omega(\mathbb{C})$  by both variables  $z$  and  $\bar{\zeta}$  and, in addition,

$$(10) \quad H(z, \zeta) = H(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{z}) \quad \text{and} \quad K(z, \zeta) = K(\bar{\zeta}, \bar{z}).$$

Assuming that  $g = Uf$  for some functions  $f, g \in A^2_\omega(\mathbb{C})$  and using the equality  $U^{-1} = U^*$ , where  $U^*$  is the conjugate operator of  $U$ , for any fixed  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (g(z), C_\omega(z\bar{\zeta}))_\omega &= (Uf(z), C_\omega(z\bar{\zeta}))_\omega = (f(z), U^*C_\omega(z\bar{\zeta}))_\omega \\ &= (f(z), U^{-1}C_\omega(z\bar{\zeta}))_\omega = (f(z), K(z, \zeta))_\omega, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\iint_{\mathbb{C}} g(z) \overline{C_\omega(z\bar{\zeta})} d\mu_\omega(z) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \overline{K(z, \zeta)} d\mu_\omega(z).$$

Consequently, by (3)

$$(11) \quad g(\zeta) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \overline{K(z, \zeta)} d\mu_{\omega}(z), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Thus, formula (7) is true. Further, it is easy to see that for any fixed  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} (f(z), C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}))_{\omega} &= (U^{-1}g(z), C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}))_{\omega} = (g(z), UC_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}))_{\omega} \\ &= (g(z), H(z, \zeta))_{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (3)

$$(12) \quad f(\zeta) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} g(z) \overline{H(z, \zeta)} d\mu_{\omega}(z), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Now, taking in (11)  $f(z) = C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_1)$ , where  $\zeta_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  is any fixed point, and observing that in this case  $g(\zeta) = Uf(\zeta) = UC_{\omega}(\zeta\bar{\zeta}_1) = H(\zeta, \zeta_1)$ , by (3) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\zeta) &= H(\zeta, \zeta_1) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} C_{\omega}(z, \bar{\zeta}_1) \overline{K(z, \zeta)} d\mu_{\omega}(z) \\ &= \overline{\iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z, \zeta) C_{\omega}(\zeta_1 \bar{z}) d\mu_{\omega}(z)} = \overline{K(\zeta_1, \zeta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\overline{H(\zeta, \zeta_1)} = K(\zeta_1, \zeta)$ , and hence the equality (12) becomes the inversion formula (8). For proving formula (6), we insert  $f(z) = K(z, \zeta_1)$  in (7). Then using the equalities

$$g(\zeta) = Uf(\zeta) = UK(\zeta, \zeta_1) = C_{\omega}(\zeta\zeta_1)$$

we come to the formula

$$C_{\omega}(\zeta\bar{\zeta}_1) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z, \zeta_1) \overline{K(z, \zeta)} d\mu_{\omega}(z),$$

which coincides with (6).

For proving the converse statement of our theorem, we define the operators

$$(13) \quad UK(z, \zeta) = C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}) \quad \text{and} \quad VC_{\omega}(z\zeta) = K(z, \zeta)$$

on the sets of functions

$$\{K(z, \zeta) : \zeta \in \mathbb{C}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}) : \zeta \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Aimed at proving that the operators  $U$  and  $V$  are unitary on the mentioned sets, one can use (3) and (6) to be convinced that

$$\begin{aligned} (UK(z, \zeta_1), UK(z, \zeta_2))_{\omega} &= (C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_1), C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_2))_{\omega} = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_1) C_{\omega}(\zeta_2 \bar{z}) d\mu_{\omega}(z) \\ (14) \quad &= \omega(\zeta_2 \bar{\zeta}_1) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z, \zeta_1) \overline{K(z, \zeta_2)} d\mu_{\omega}(z) \\ &= (K(z, \zeta_1), K(z, \zeta_2))_{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Besides, one can similarly prove that

$$\begin{aligned} (VC_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_1), VC_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_2))_{\omega} &= (K(z, \zeta_1), K(z, \zeta_2))_{\omega} = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z, \zeta_1) \overline{K(z, \zeta_2)} d\mu_{\omega}(z) \\ (15) \quad &= C_{\omega}(\bar{\zeta}_1 \zeta_2) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_1) C_{\omega}(\zeta_2 \bar{z}) d\mu_{\omega}(z) \\ &= (C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_1), C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_2))_{\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16) \quad (VC_{\omega}(z\zeta_1), K(z, \zeta_2))_{\omega} &= \iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z, \zeta_1) \overline{K(z, \zeta_2)} d\mu_{\omega}(z) = C_{\omega}(\zeta_2 \bar{\zeta}_1) \\
 &= \iint_{\mathbb{C}} C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_1) C_{\omega}(\bar{z}\zeta_2) d\mu_{\omega}(z) = (C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_1), C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_2))_{\omega} \\
 &= (C_{\omega}(z\zeta_1), UK(z, \zeta_2))_{\omega}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Formulas (14), (15) and (16) show that

$$(17) \quad (Uf, Ug)_{\omega} = (f, g)_{\omega}, \quad (Vf, Vg)_{\omega} = (f, g)_{\omega} \quad \text{and} \quad (Vf, g)_{\omega} = (f, Ug)_{\omega}$$

for  $f$  and  $g$  from the mentioned sets. Further, the following extension of  $U$  and  $V$  to the linear spans of the mentioned sets generated by the functions  $K(z, \zeta)$  and  $C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta})$  is natural:

$$\text{if } f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i K(z, \zeta_i) \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_i),$$

then we set

$$Uf(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_i) \quad \text{and} \quad Vg(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i K(z, \zeta_i).$$

By the previous methods, one can easily show that also the extended operators  $U$  and  $V$  satisfy (17) on the corresponding linear spans.

Suppose now that  $\{\zeta_k\} \in \mathbb{C}$  is any sequence such that  $\zeta_k \rightarrow a \in \mathbb{C}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\ell$  is an arbitrary linear functional over the space  $A_{\omega}^2(\mathbb{C})$ , such that  $\ell(C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_k)) = 0$  for any  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $\ell$  has the form (2) and hence, using the identity (3) we conclude that there is a function  $g(z) \in A_{\omega}^2(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \ell(C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_k)) &= \iint_{\mathbb{C}} C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}_k) \overline{g(z)} d\mu_{\omega}(z) \\
 &= \overline{\iint_{\mathbb{C}} g(z) C_{\omega}(\bar{z}\zeta_k) d\mu_{\omega}(z)} = \overline{g(\zeta_k)} = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $g(\zeta_k) = 0$  and hence  $g(z) \equiv 0$  by the uniqueness of analytic function. Thus,  $\ell \equiv 0$  and hence the set  $\{C_{\omega}(z\bar{\zeta}) : \zeta \in \mathbb{C}\}$  is everywhere dense in  $A_{\omega}^2(\mathbb{C})$ . Similarly, one can show that also the set  $\{K(z, \bar{\zeta}) : \zeta \in \mathbb{C}\}$  is everywhere dense in  $A_{\omega}^2(\mathbb{C})$ . Consequently, the operators  $U$  and  $V$  can be extended from these sets to the whole space  $A_{\omega}^2(\mathbb{C})$ , and the equalities (17) are true for any functions  $f, g \in A_{\omega}^2(\mathbb{C})$ . It is obvious that the equalities (17) are equivalent to the conditions

$$U^*V = V^*U = I \quad \text{and} \quad V^* = U$$

which, in their turn, mean that the operator  $U$  has left and right inversions. Consequently,  $U$  is invertible and  $U^{-1} = V$ . Hence, both  $U$  and  $V = U^{-1}$  are unitary operators in  $A_{\omega}^2(\mathbb{C})$  and by the already proved statements of our theorem they are of the forms (7) and (8).

3. CONSTRUCTION OF SOME SPECIAL  $K(z, \zeta)$  KERNELS

Now we consider the kernel  $K(z, \zeta)$  for some special weights  $\omega$ , for which  $K(z, \zeta)$  takes somehow more explicit forms. Namely, we assume that, along with satisfying (6),  $K(z, \zeta)$  depends on the product  $z\bar{\zeta}$ , i.e.  $K(z, \zeta) = K(z\bar{\zeta})$ . Thus, in addition to (6) we assume that

$$(18) \quad K(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

Then by (6)

$$\iint_{\mathbb{C}} K(z\bar{\zeta}_1) \overline{K(z\bar{\zeta}_2)} d\mu_{\omega}(z) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} a_m \bar{a}_n \bar{\zeta}_1 \zeta_2 \iint_{\mathbb{C}} z^m \bar{z}^n d\mu_{\omega}(z).$$

On the other hand, by (4)

$$C_{\omega}(\bar{\zeta}_1 \zeta_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{\zeta}_1^k \zeta_2^k}{\Delta_k^{\infty}(\omega)}.$$

Hence, requiring that the last two sums are equal we obtain

$$a_n \bar{a}_m \iint_{\mathbb{C}} z^m \bar{z}^n d\mu_{\omega}(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \\ |a_n|^2 \iint_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^{2n} d\mu_{\omega}(z) = \frac{1}{\Delta_n^{\infty}(\omega)} & \text{if } m = n \end{cases}.$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{1}{\Delta_n^{\infty}(\omega)} = |a_n|^2 \iint_{\mathbb{C}} |z|^{2n} d\mu_{\omega}(z) = |a_n|^2 \int_0^{+\infty} x^n d\mu_{\omega}(x) = |a_n|^2 \Delta_n^{\infty}(\omega),$$

and  $|a_n| = [\Delta_n^{\infty}(\omega)]^{-1}$  or

$$(19) \quad a_n = \frac{e^{it_n}}{\Delta_n^{\infty}(\omega)},$$

where  $\{t_n\}$  can be any sequence of real numbers. Thus, the considered kernels  $K$ , which depend on the product  $z\bar{\zeta}$ , are of the form

$$(20) \quad K(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{it_n}}{\Delta_n^{\infty}(\omega)} z^n,$$

where  $\{t_n\}$  is any sequence of real numbers. For instance, this is true when  $\omega(t) = e^{-\sigma t^{\alpha}}$  ( $\sigma, \alpha > 0$ ), and the class  $A_{\omega}^2(\mathbb{C})$  coincides with that considered in [7] as a particular case, where

$$\Delta_n = \sigma \int_0^{+\infty} t^{k+\alpha-1} e^{-\sigma t^{\alpha}} dt = \alpha^{-1} \sigma^{-n/\alpha} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{\alpha}\right),$$

and

$$K(z) = \alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{it_n} \frac{\sigma^{n/\alpha} z^n}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{n}{\alpha}\right)}.$$

## REFERENCES

1. L. Biberbach, *Zur theorie und praxis der konformen abbildung*, Palermo Rendiconti **38** (1914), 98–118.
2. R. Nevanlinna, *Eindeutige Analytische Funktionen*, Springer, Berlin, 1937.
3. M. M. Djrbashian, *On canonical representation of functions meromorphic in the unit disc*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. Armenian SSR **3** (1945), no. 1, 3–9.
4. M. M. Djrbashian, *On the representability problem of analytic functions*, Soobsch. Inst. Matem. i Mekh. Akad. Nauk Armenian SSR **2** (1948), 3–40.
5. A. E. Djrbashian and F. A. Shamoian, *Topics in the theory of  $A^p_{\alpha}$  spaces*, Teubner-Texte zur Math., vol. 105, Teubner-Verlag, Leipzig, 1988.
6. H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
7. A. M. Jerbashian, *On the theory of weighted classes of area integrable regular functions*, Complex Variables **50** (2005), 155–183.

YEREVAN STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ERIICTA, 1 ALEX MANOOGIAN,  
375049, YEREVAN, ARMENIA

*E-mail address:* rafayelyansg@mail.ru

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF ARMENIA, 19B MARSHAL BAGH-  
RAMIAN AVENUE, 375019, YEREVAN, ARMENIA

*E-mail address:* armen\_jerbashian@yahoo.com

Received 11/01/2007