# *ls*-PONOMAREV-SYSTEMS AND COMPACT IMAGES OF LOCALLY SEPARABLE METRIC SPACES

#### TRAN VAN AN AND NGUYEN VAN DUNG

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of an *ls*-Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\})$ , and give necessary and sufficient conditions such that the mapping f is a compact (compact-covering, sequence-covering, pseudo-sequence-covering, sequentiallyquotient) mapping from a locally separable metric space M onto a space X. As applications of these results, we systematically get characterizations of certain compact images of locally separable metric spaces.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Finding characterizations of certain images of metric spaces is of a considerable interest in general topology. In the past, many nice results have been obtained in [8], [10],[11], [16], [17], [19]. Related to characterizing images of metric spaces, many topologists were engaged in a research of characterizations of compact images of locally separable metric spaces, and some noteworthy results have been shown. In [9], Y. Ikeda, C. Liu and Y. Tanaka characterized quotient compact images of locally separable metric spaces. After that, Y. Ge characterized pseudo-sequence-covering compact images of locally separable metric spaces in [5]. In general, it is difficult to obtain nice characterizations of compact images of locally separable metric spaces (under covering-mappings) instead of metric domains. It is known that the key to prove these results is to construct covering-mappings and compact mappings from locally separable metric spaces. In [14], V. I. Ponomarev proved that every first countable space can be characterized as an open image of a subspace of Baire's zero-dimensional space. After that, S. Lin and P. Yan generalized "Ponomarev's method" to establish a system  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$ , called a *Ponomarev-system*, to characterize images of metric spaces in [12]. Recently, Y. Tanaka and Y. Ge investigated the Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$ , and characterized certain compact-covering (or sequence-covering) quotient compact images of metric spaces in terms of weak bases or symmetric spaces, and considered relations between these compact-covering images and sequence-covering images in [18]. Moreover, for a Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$ , Y. Ge has obtained necessary and sufficient conditions such that the mapping f is a compact-covering (pseudo-sequence-covering, sequentially-quotient, compact) mapping from a metric space M onto a space X in [7]. From the above, the following question naturally arises.

**Question 1.1.** Find a consistent method to construct a covering-mapping (compact mapping) onto a space X from some locally separable metric space?

In this paper, same as the Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$ , where M is a metric space, we introduce the *ls*-Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\})$ , where M is a locally

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 54C10, 54E35, 54E40.

Key words and phrases. Double point-star cfp-cover, double point-star cs-cover, double point-star wcs-cover, double point-star  $cs^*$ -cover, sequence-covering, compact-covering, pseudo-sequence-covering, sequentially-quotient, compact mapping, ls-Ponomarev-system.

separable metric space, and give necessary and sufficient conditions such that the mapping f is a compact (compact-covering, sequence-covering, pseudo-sequence-covering, sequentially-quotient) mapping from a locally separable metric space M onto a space X. As applications of these results, we systematically get characterizations of certain compact images of locally separable metric spaces.

Throughout this paper, all spaces are  $T_1$  and regular, all mappings are continuous and onto, a convergent sequence includes its limit point,  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all natural numbers. Let  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  be a mapping,  $x \in X$ , and  $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$  be families of subsets of X, we denote  $\mathcal{P}_x = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : x \in P\}, \bigcup \mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{P : P \in \mathcal{P}\}, st(x, \mathcal{P}) = \bigcup \mathcal{P}_x,$  $f(\mathcal{P}) = \{f(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}\}, \text{ and } \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q} = \{P \cap Q : P \in \mathcal{P}, Q \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$  We say that a convergent sequence  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\}$  converging to x is eventually (resp., frequently) in A if  $\{x_n : n \ge n_0\} \cup \{x\} \subset A$  for some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  (resp.,  $\{x_{n_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x\} \subset A$  for some subsequence  $\{x_{n_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ).

For terms which are not defined here, please refer to [4] and [17].

## 2. Results

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a family of subsets of a space X, and K be a subset of X. Assume that  $\mathcal{P}$  is closed under finite intersections.

(1) For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *network at* x *in* X, if  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_x$ , and if  $x \in U$  with U open in X, there exists  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $x \in P \subset U$ .

(2)  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *cfp-cover for* K *in* X, if for each compact subset H of K, there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $H \subset \bigcup \{C_F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ , where  $C_F$  is closed and  $C_F \subset F$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Note that such an  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *full cover* in the sense of [3]. If K = X, then a *cfp*-cover for K in X is a *cfp-cover for* X [20].

(3)  $\mathcal{P}$  is a cs-cover for K in X (resp., cs\*-cover for K in X), if for each convergent sequence S in K, S is eventually (resp., frequently) in some  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . If K = X, then a cs-cover for K in X (resp., cs\*-cover for K in X) is a cs-cover for X [21] (resp., cs\*-cover for X [18]).

(4)  $\mathcal{P}$  is a wcs-cover for K in X, if for each convergent sequence S in K, there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{P}_x$  such that S is eventually in  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$ . If K = X, then a wcs-cover for K in X is a wcs-cover [6].

(5) A cfp-cover (resp., cs-cover, wcs-cover,  $cs^*$ -cover) for X is abbreviated to a cfp-cover (resp., cs-cover, wcs-cover).

**Remark 2.2.** For each subset K of X, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *cfp*-cover (resp., *cs*-cover, *wcs*-cover, *cs*<sup>\*</sup>-cover), then  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *cfp*-cover (resp., *cs*-cover, *wcs*-cover, *cs*<sup>\*</sup>-cover) for K in X.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a countable family of subsets of a space X. Then the following are equivalent for a convergent sequence S in X.

- (1)  $\mathcal{P}$  is a cfp-cover for S in X.
- (2)  $\mathcal{P}$  is a wcs-cover for S in X.
- (3)  $\mathcal{P}$  is a cs<sup>\*</sup>-cover for S in X.

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . It is obvious.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . It follows from [19, Lemma 3].

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $f: X \longrightarrow Y$  be a mapping.

(1) f is a compact-covering mapping [13], if every compact subset of Y is the image of some compact subset of X.

(2) f is a sequence-covering mapping [15], if for every convergent sequence S of Y, there is a convergent sequence L of X such that f(L) = S.

(3) f is a *pseudo-sequence-covering* mapping [9], if every convergent sequence of Y is the image of some compact subset of X.

(4) f is a subsequence-covering mapping [11], if for every convergent sequence S of Y, there is a compact subset K of X such that f(K) is a subsequence of S.

(5) f is a sequentially-quotient mapping [2], if for every convergent sequence S of Y, there is a convergent sequence L of X such that f(L) is a subsequence of S.

(6) f is a compact mapping [1], if  $f^{-1}(y)$  is compact for every  $y \in Y$ .

The following lemma is clear, where certain covers are preserved under coveringmappings.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  be a mapping, and  $\mathcal{P}$  be a cover for X. Then the following hold.

- (1) If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a cs-cover for X and f is sequence-covering, then  $f(\mathcal{P})$  is a cs-cover for Y.
- (2) If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a cfp-cover for X and f is compact-covering, then  $f(\mathcal{P})$  is a cfp-cover for Y.
- (3) If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a wcs-cover for X and f is pseudo-sequence-covering, then  $f(\mathcal{P})$  is a wcs-cover for Y.
- (4) If P is a cs\*-cover for X and f is sequentially-quotient, then f(P) is a cs\*-cover for Y.

The next result concerns preservation of certain covers but no need of coveringproperties of mappings.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  be a mapping, and  $\mathcal{P}$  be a cover for X. Then the following hold.

- If P is a cs-cover for a convergent sequence S in X, then f(P) is a cs-cover for f(S) in Y.
- (2) If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a cfp-cover for a compact subset K in X, then  $f(\mathcal{P})$  is a cfp-cover for f(K) in Y.
- (3) If P is a wcs-cover for a convergent sequence S in X, then f(P) is a wcs-cover for f(S) in Y.
- (4) If \$\mathcal{P}\$ is a cs\*-cover for a convergent sequence \$S\$ in \$X\$, then \$f(\mathcal{P})\$ is a cs\*-cover for \$f(S)\$ in \$Y\$.

*Proof.* (1). Let L be a convergent sequence in f(S). Then  $K = f^{-1}(L) \cap S$  is a convergent sequence in S satisfying that f(K) = L. Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *cs*-cover for S in X, K is eventually in some  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . This implies that L is eventually in f(P). Therefore,  $f(\mathcal{P})$  is a *cs*-cover for f(S) in Y.

(2). Let L be a compact subset of f(K). Then  $H = f^{-1}(L) \cap K$  is a compact subset of K satisfying that f(H) = L. Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is a cfp-cover for K in X, there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $H \subset \bigcup \{C_F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ , where  $C_F$  is closed and  $C_F \subset F$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . This implies that  $f(\mathcal{F})$  is a finite subfamily of  $f(\mathcal{P})$  such that  $L \subset \bigcup \{f(C_F) : f(F) \in f(\mathcal{F})\}$ , where  $f(C_F)$  is closed and  $f(C_F) \subset f(F)$  for every  $f(F) \in f(\mathcal{F})$ . Therefore,  $f(\mathcal{P})$  is a cfp-cover for f(K) in Y.

(3). Let L be a convergent sequence in f(S) converging to y in Y. Then  $K = f^{-1}(L) \cap S$  is a convergent sequence in S converging to some  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ , and f(K) = L. Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *wcs*-cover for S in X, there exists a finite subfamily  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{P}_x$  such that K is eventually in  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $f(\mathcal{F})$  is a finite subfamily of  $(f(\mathcal{P}))_y$  and L is eventually in  $\bigcup f(\mathcal{F})$ . It implies that  $f(\mathcal{P})$  is a *wcs*-cover for f(S) in Y.

(4). Let L be a convergent sequence in f(S). Then  $K = f^{-1}(L) \cap S$  is a convergent sequence in S satisfying that f(K) = L. Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $cs^*$ -cover for S in X, K is frequently some  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then L is frequently in f(P). Therefore,  $f(\mathcal{P})$  is a  $cs^*$ -cover for f(S) in Y.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a cover sequence for a space X.  $\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a point-star network for X [12], if  $\{st(x, \mathcal{P}_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a network at x in X for every  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a point-star network for X. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{P_\alpha : \alpha \in A_n\}$ , and endowed  $A_n$  with discrete topology. Put

$$M = \left\{ a = (\alpha_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n : \{ P_{\alpha_n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \text{ forms a network at some point } x_a \text{ in } X \right\}$$

Then M, which is a subspace of the product space  $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ , is a metric space,  $x_a$  is unique, and  $x_a = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P_{\alpha_n}$  for every  $a \in M$ . Define  $f : M \longrightarrow X$  by  $f(a) = x_a$ , then f is a mapping and  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$  is a *Ponomarev-system* [12].

**Remark 2.9.** There are two ways to define the Ponomarev-system in [12]. The Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$  requires that  $\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a point-star network for X, and the Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \mathcal{P})$  requires that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a strong network for X (i.e., for each  $x \in X$ , there exists a countable  $\mathcal{P}(x) \subset \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}(x)$  is a network at x in X). In this paper, we use the definition of Ponomarev-system  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$ , where  $\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a point-star network for X.

In [18, Lemma 2.2] and [7, Theorem 2.7], the authors have investigated the Ponomarevsystem  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$  and obtained conditions such that the mapping f is a compact mapping (covering-mapping) from a metric space M onto a space X. Now, based on certain covers for a convergent sequence (compact subset) of a space, we get the following.

**Lemma 2.10.** Let  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$  be a Ponomarev-system. Then the following hold.

- (1)  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a cs-cover for a convergent sequence S in X for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if there exists a convergent sequence L in M such that S = f(L).
- (2)  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a cfp-cover for a compact set K in X for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if there exists a compact subset L of M such that K = f(L).
- (3)  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a wcs-cover for a convergent sequence S in X for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if there exists a compact subset L of M such that S = f(L).
- (4)  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a cs<sup>\*</sup>-cover for a convergent sequence S in X for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if there exists a convergent sequence L in M such that f(L) is a convergent subsequence of S.

*Proof.* (1). Necessity. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  be a *cs*-cover for a convergent sequence S in X. As in the proof of [18, Lemma 2.2(ii)], S = f(L) for some convergent sequence L in M.

Sufficiency. Let S be a convergent sequence in X and S = f(L) for some convergent sequence L in M. Then, as in the proof (2) of [7, Theorem 2.7], S is eventually in some  $P_{\alpha_n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It implies that  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a cs-cover for S in X.

- (2). As in the proof of [7, Theorem 2.7(3)].
- (3). It follows from Lemma 2.3 and (2).
- (4). As in the proof of [7, Theorem 2.7(2)].

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a cover for a space X such that each  $X_{\lambda}$  has a sequence cover  $\{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

(1)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a *double point-star cover* for X, if  $\{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a point-star network for  $X_{\lambda}$  consisting of countable covers  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$ .

(2)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a point-finite double point-star cover for X, if  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star cover for X, and for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , both  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  are point-finite.

**Definition 2.12.** Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a double point-star cover for X.

(1)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a *double point-star cs-cover* for X, if for each convergent sequence S in X, there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that S is eventually in  $X_{\lambda}$  and, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *cs*-cover for  $S \cap X_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ .

(2)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a *double point-star cfp-cover* for X, if for each compact subset K of X, there exists a finite subset  $\Lambda_K$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $K = \bigcup \{K_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_K\}$  and, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K_{\lambda}$  is compact and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *cfp*-cover for  $K_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ .

(3)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a *double point-star wcs-cover* for X, if for each convergent sequence S in X, there exists a finite subset  $\Lambda_S$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $S = \bigcup \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_S\}$  and, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_{\lambda}$  is a convergent sequence and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *wcs*-cover for  $S_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ .

(4)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a *double point-star cs*<sup>\*</sup>-*cover* for X, if for each convergent sequence S in X, there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that S is frequently in  $X_{\lambda}$  and, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *cs*<sup>\*</sup>-cover for a subsequence  $S_{\lambda}$  of S in  $X_{\lambda}$ .

(5)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a point-finite double point-star cs-cover (resp., point-finite double point-star cfp-cover, point-finite double point-star wcs-cover, point-finite double point-star cs<sup>\*</sup>-cover) for X, if  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star cs-cover (resp., double point-star cfp-cover, double point-star wcs-cover, double point-star cs<sup>\*</sup>-cover) for X, and for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , both  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  are point-finite.

**Remark 2.13.** If  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star cover (resp., double pointstar cfp-cover, double point-star cs-cover, double point-star wcs-cover, double point-star  $cs^*$ -cover) for X, then  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a cover (resp, cfp-cover, cs-cover, wcs-cover,  $cs^*$ cover) for X, and each  $\{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a point-star network for  $X_{\lambda}$ .

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a double point-star cover for a space X, and  $(f_{\lambda}, M_{\lambda}, X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\})$  be the Ponomarev-system for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Since each  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is countable,  $M_{\lambda}$  is a separable metric space. Put  $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda}$ , and  $f = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_{\lambda}$ . Then M is a locally separable metric space, and f is a mapping from a locally separable metric space M onto X. The system  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\})$  is an *ls-Ponomarev-system*.

Y. Ge has proved a necessary and sufficient condition such that the mapping f is a compact mapping from a metric space M onto a space X, where  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$  is a Ponomarev-system in [7, Lemma 2.7]. The following result is a necessary and sufficient condition such that the mapping f is a compact mapping from a locally separable metric space M onto a space X, where  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$  is an *ls*-Ponomarev-system.

**Theorem 2.15.** Let  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\})$  be an ls-Ponomarev-system. Then f is a compact mapping if and only if  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a point-finite double point-star cover for X.

Proof. Necessity. Let f be a compact mapping. For each  $x \in X$ , since  $f^{-1}(x)$  is compact,  $\{\lambda \in \Lambda : f^{-1}(x) \cap M_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset\}$  is finite. Then  $\{\lambda \in \Lambda : x \in X_{\lambda}\}$  is finite, i.e.,  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is point-finite. On the other hand, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , since  $f_{\lambda}^{-1}(x) = f^{-1}(x) \cap M_{\lambda}$  is compact,  $f_{\lambda}$  is compact. Then each  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is point-finite by [7, Theorem 2.7(1)]. It implies that  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a point-finite double point-star cover for X.

Sufficiency. Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a point-finite double point-star cover for X. For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\Lambda_x = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : x \in X_{\lambda}\}$  is finite by point-finiteness of  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ . Since each  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is point-finite,  $f_{\lambda}^{-1}(x)$  is compact by [7, Theorem 2.7(1)]. It implies that  $f^{-1}(x) = \bigcup \{f_{\lambda}^{-1}(x) : \lambda \in \Lambda_x\}$  is compact, i.e., f is a compact mapping.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 2.16. The following are equivalent for a space X.

(1) X is a compact image of a locally separable metric space.

### (2) X has a point-finite double point-star cover.

Proof. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $f: M \longrightarrow X$  be a compact mapping from a locally separable metric M onto X. Since M is a locally separable metric space,  $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda}$  where each  $M_{\lambda}$  is separable by [4, 4.4.F]. Since each  $M_{\lambda}$  is a separable metric space,  $M_{\lambda}$  has a sequence of open countable covers  $\{\mathcal{B}_{\lambda,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that for every compact subset K of  $M_{\lambda}$  and any open set U in  $M_{\lambda}$  with  $K \subset U$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $st(K, \mathcal{B}_{\lambda,n}) \subset U$ by [4, 5.4.E]. Let  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda,n}$  be a locally finite open refinement of each  $\mathcal{B}_{\lambda,n}$ . Then, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\{\mathcal{C}_{\lambda,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a sequence of locally finite open countable covers for  $M_{\lambda}$  such that for every compact subset K of  $M_{\lambda}$  and any open set U in  $M_{\lambda}$  with  $K \subset U$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $st(K, \mathcal{C}_{\lambda,n}) \subset U$ . For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $X_{\lambda} = f(M_{\lambda})$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n} = f(\mathcal{C}_{\lambda,n})$ . We have the following claims (a)–(e).

(a)  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a cover for X.

(b) Each  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is countable.

(c) For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $\{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a point-star network for  $X_{\lambda}$ .

Let  $x \in U$  with U open in  $X_{\lambda}$ . Then  $x \in V$  with V open in X and  $V \cap X_{\lambda} = U$ . Since f is compact,  $f^{-1}(x)$  is compact. Then  $f_{\lambda}^{-1}(x) = f^{-1}(x) \cap M_{\lambda}$  is a compact subset of  $M_{\lambda}$  and  $f_{\lambda}^{-1}(x) \subset V_{\lambda}$  with  $V_{\lambda} = f^{-1}(V) \cap M_{\lambda}$  open in  $M_{\lambda}$ . Therefore, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $st(f_{\lambda}^{-1}(x), \mathcal{C}_{\lambda,n}) \subset V_{\lambda}$ . It implies that  $st(x, \mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}) \subset f(f^{-1}(V) \cap M_{\lambda}) \subset V \cap X_{\lambda} = U$ . Then  $\{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a point-star network for  $X_{\lambda}$ .

(d)  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is point-finite.

For each  $x \in X$ , since f is compact,  $f^{-1}(x)$  is compact. Then  $f^{-1}(x)$  meets only finitely many  $M_{\lambda}$ 's. It implies that  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is point-finite.

(e) Each  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is point-finite.

For each  $x \in X_{\lambda}$ , since f is compact,  $f_{\lambda}^{-1}(x) = f^{-1}(x) \cap M_{\lambda}$  is a compact subset of  $M_{\lambda}$ . Then  $f_{\lambda}^{-1}(x)$  meets only finitely many members of  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda,n}$  by locally finiteness of  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda,n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It implies that x meets only finitely many members of  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then each  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is point-finite.

From (a)-(e) we get that  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a point-finite double point-star cover for  $X_{\lambda}$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . By Theorem 2.15.

In [7] and [18], the authors have proved conditions such that the mapping f is a covering-mapping from a metric space M onto a space X, where  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_n\})$  is a Ponomarev-system. Next, we give necessary and sufficient conditions such that the mapping f is a covering-mapping from a locally separable metric space M onto a space X, where  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\})$  is an *ls*-Ponomarev-system.

**Theorem 2.17.** Let  $(f, M, X, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\})$  be an ls-Ponomarev-system. Then the following hold.

- (1) f is sequence-covering if and only if  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star cs-cover for X.
- (2) *f* is compact-covering if and only if  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star *cfp*-cover for a space *X*.
- (3) f is pseudo-sequence-covering if and only if  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star wcs-cover for X.
- (4) *f* is sequentially-quotient if and only if  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double pointstar cs<sup>\*</sup>-cover for X.

*Proof.* (1). *Necessity.* Let f be sequence-covering. For each convergent sequence S in X, S = f(L) for some convergent sequence L in M. Then L is eventually in some  $M_{\lambda}$ . Therefore, S is eventually in  $X_{\lambda}$ . Put  $S_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda}(L_{\lambda})$ , where  $L_{\lambda} = L \cap M_{\lambda}$  is a convergent sequence. It follows from Lemma 2.10 that each  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *cs*-cover for  $S_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . Then

each  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *cs*-cover for  $S \cap X_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . It implies that  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star *cs*-cover for X.

Sufficiency. Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a double point-star cs-cover for X. For each convergent sequence S in X, there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that S is eventually in  $X_{\lambda}$ and, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a cs-cover for  $S \cap X_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.10 that there exists a convergent sequence  $L_{\lambda}$  in  $M_{\lambda}$  such that  $S_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda}(L_{\lambda}) = f(L_{\lambda})$ . Since  $S - S_{\lambda}$  is finite,  $S - S_{\lambda} = f(F)$  for some finite subset F of M. Put  $L = F \cup L_{\lambda}$ , then L is a convergent sequence in M and S = f(L). It implies that f is sequence-covering.

(2). Necessity. Let f be compact-covering. For each compact subset K of X, K = f(L) for some compact subset L of M. Since L is compact,  $\Lambda_K = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : L \cap M_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$  is a finite subset of  $\Lambda$  and each  $L_\lambda = L \cap M_\lambda$  is compact. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$ , put  $K_\lambda = f_\lambda(L_\lambda)$ . Then  $K_\lambda$  is compact,  $K = \bigcup \{K_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_K\}$ , and each  $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$  is a *cfp*-cover for  $K_\lambda$  in  $X_\lambda$  by Lemma 2.10. It implies that  $\{(X_\lambda, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star *cfp*-cover for X.

Sufficiency. Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a double point-star cfp-cover for X. For each compact subset K of X, there exists a finite subset  $\Lambda_K$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $K = \bigcup \{K_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_K\}$  and, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K_{\lambda}$  is compact and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a cfp-cover for  $K_{\lambda}$ in  $X_{\lambda}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.10 that there exists a compact subset  $L_{\lambda}$  of  $M_{\lambda}$  such that  $K_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda}(L_{\lambda}) = f(L_{\lambda})$ . Put  $L = \bigcup \{L_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_K\}$ . Then L is a compact subset of M and K = f(L). It implies that f is compact-covering.

(3). Necessity. Let f be pseudo-sequence-covering. For each convergent sequence S in X, S = f(L) for some compact subset L of M. Note that S is also a compact subset of X. Then, as in the proof of necessity of (2), there is a finite subset  $\Lambda_S$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $S = \bigcup \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_S\}$  and, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}, S_{\lambda}$  is compact and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a cfp-cover for  $S_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $S_{\lambda}$  is a convergent sequence, and then,  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a wcs-cover for  $S_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$  by Lemma 2.3. It implies that  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star wcs-cover for X.

Sufficiency. Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a double point-star wcs-cover for X. For each convergent sequence S in X, there exists a finite subset  $\Lambda_S$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $S = \bigcup \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_S\}$  and, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_{\lambda}$  is a convergent sequence and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a wcs-cover for  $S_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.10 that there exists a compact subset  $L_{\lambda}$  in  $M_{\lambda}$  such that  $S_{\lambda} = f_{\lambda}(L_{\lambda}) = f(L_{\lambda})$ . Put  $L = \bigcup \{L_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_S\}$ . Then L is a compact subset of M and S = f(L). It implies that f is pseudo-sequence-covering.

(4). Necessity. Let f be sequentially-quotient. For each convergent sequence S in X, there exists some convergent sequence L of M such that H = f(L) is a convergent subsequence of S. Then, as in the proof necessity of (1), H is eventually in some  $X_{\lambda}$  and each  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a cs-cover for  $H \cap X_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . Therefore, S is frequently in  $X_{\lambda}$  and each  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a  $cs^*$ -cover for a convergent subsequence  $S_{\lambda} = H \cap X_{\lambda}$  of S in  $X_{\lambda}$ . It implies that  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star  $cs^*$ -cover for X.

Sufficiency. Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a double point-star  $cs^*$ -cover for X. For each convergent sequence S in X, there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that S is frequently in  $X_{\lambda}$ and, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a  $cs^*$ -cover for a subsequence  $S_{\lambda}$  of S in  $X_{\lambda}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.10 that there exists a convergent sequence  $L_{\lambda}$  in  $M_{\lambda}$  such that  $f_{\lambda}(L_{\lambda})$ is a convergent subsequence of  $S_{\lambda}$ . Note that  $f_{\lambda}(L_{\lambda}) = f(L_{\lambda})$  is also a convergent subsequence of S. It implies that f is sequentially-quotient.

In [5] and [18], the authors have characterized compact images of locally separable metric spaces by means of certain point-star networks. From the above theorems, we systematically get characterizations of compact images of locally separable metric spaces under certain covering-mappings by means of double point-star covers as follows.

Corollary 2.18. The following hold for a space X.

- (1) X is a sequence-covering compact image of a locally separable metric space if and only if X has a point-finite double point-star cs-cover.
- (2) X is a compact-covering compact image of a locally separable metric space if and only if X has a point-finite double point-star cfp-cover.
- (3) X is a pseudo-sequence-covering compact image of a locally separable metric space if and only if X has a point-finite double point-star wcs-cover.
- (4) X is a sequentially-quotient compact image of a locally separable metric space if and only if X has a point-finite double point-star cs<sup>\*</sup>-cover.

*Proof.* (1). *Necessity.* Let  $f: M \longrightarrow X$  be a sequence-covering compact mapping from a locally separable metric M onto X. By notations and arguments in the proof  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  of Corollary 2.16, it suffices to show that the double point-star cover  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star *cs*-cover for X.

For each convergent sequence S in X, since f is sequence-covering, S = f(L) for some convergent sequence L in M. Then L is eventually in some  $M_{\lambda}$ . It implies that S is eventually in  $X_{\lambda}$ . Since  $L_{\lambda} = L \cap M_{\lambda}$  is a convergent sequence in  $M_{\lambda}$  and each  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *cs*-cover for  $L_{\lambda}$  in  $M_{\lambda}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *cs*-cover for  $S_{\lambda} = f(L_{\lambda})$  in  $X_{\lambda}$  by Lemma 2.6. Then  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *cs*-cover for  $S \cap X_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . It implies that  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star *cs*-cover for X.

Sufficiency. By Theorem 2.15 and Theorem 2.17.(1).

(2). Necessity. Let  $f : M \longrightarrow X$  be a compact-covering compact mapping from a locally separable metric M onto X. By notations and arguments in the proof  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  of Corollary 2.16, it suffices to show that the double point-star cover  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star cfp-cover for X.

For each compact subset K of X, since f is compact-covering, K = f(L) for some compact subset L of M. Put  $\Lambda_K = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : L \cap M_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$ , then  $\Lambda_K$  is finite, and  $L_\lambda = L \cap M_\lambda$  is compact for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$  by compactness of L. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$ , put  $K_\lambda = f(L_\lambda)$ . Then  $K = \bigcup \{K_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_K\}$  and each  $K_\lambda$  is compact. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_K$ and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *cfp*-cover for  $L_\lambda$  in  $M_\lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *cfp*-cover for  $K_\lambda$  in  $X_\lambda$ by Lemma 2.6. It implies that  $\{(X_\lambda, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star *cfp*-cover for X.

Sufficiency. By Theorem 2.15 and Theorem 2.17.(2).

(3). Necessity. Let  $f : M \longrightarrow X$  be a pseudo-sequence-covering compact mapping from a locally separable metric M onto X. By notations and arguments in the proof (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) of Corollary 2.16, it suffices to show that the double point-star cover  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star wcs-cover for X.

For each convergent sequence S in X, since f is pseudo-sequence-covering, S = f(L)for some compact subset L of M. Put  $\Lambda_S = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : L \cap M_\lambda \neq \emptyset\}$ , then  $\Lambda_S$  is finite, and  $L_\lambda = L \cap M_\lambda$  is compact by compactness of L. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$ , put  $S_\lambda = f(L_\lambda)$ , then  $S = \bigcup \{S_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_S\}$  and each  $S_\lambda$  is compact. Since  $S_\lambda$  is a compact subset of a convergent sequence  $S, S_\lambda$  is a convergent sequence. On the other hand, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$ and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , since  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *cfp*-cover for a compact subset  $L_\lambda$  in  $M_\lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *cfp*-cover for  $S_\lambda$  in  $X_\lambda$  by Lemma 2.6. Then  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a *wcs*-cover for  $S_\lambda$  in  $X_\lambda$  by Lemma 2.3. It implies that  $\{(X_\lambda, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double *wcs*-cover for X.

Sufficiency. By Theorem 2.15 and Theorem 2.17.(3).

(4). Necessity. Let  $f: M \longrightarrow X$  be a sequentially-quotient compact mapping from a locally separable metric M onto X. By notations and arguments in the proof  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  of Corollary 2.16, it suffices to show that the double point-star cover  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star  $cs^*$ -cover for X.

For each convergent sequence S in X, since f is sequentially-quotient, there exists a convergent sequence L in M such that f(L) is a convergent subsequence of S. Since L is eventually in some  $M_{\lambda}$ ,  $L_{\lambda} = L \cap M_{\lambda}$  is a convergent sequence. Then  $S_{\lambda} = f(L_{\lambda})$  is

a convergent subsequence of S, and hence, S is frequently in  $X_{\lambda}$ . On the other hand, since each  $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda,n}$  is a  $cs^*$ -cover for a convergent sequence  $L_{\lambda}$  in  $M_{\lambda}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a  $cs^*$ -cover for  $S_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$  by Lemma 2.6. It implies that  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star  $cs^*$ -cover for X.

Sufficiency. By Theorem 2.15 and Theorem 2.17.(4).

**Remark 2.19.** Since subsequence-covering mappings and sequentially-quotient mappings are equivalent for metric domains, "sequentially-quotient" in Theorem 2.17.(4) and Corollary 2.18.(4) can be replaced by "subsequence-covering".

In [5], the author proved that a space X is a sequentially-quotient compact image of a locally separable metric space if and only if X is a pseudo-sequence-covering compact image of a locally separable metric space. Now, we get this result again by the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.20.** Let  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a double point-star cover for X such that  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is point-finite. Then the following are equivalent.

(1)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star wcs-cover for X.

(2)  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star cs<sup>\*</sup>-cover for X.

*Proof.*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ . It is obvious.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Let S be a convergent sequence in X converging to x. Then there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that S is frequently in  $X_{\lambda}$  and each  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a  $cs^*$ -cover for a convergent subsequence  $S_{\lambda}$  of S in  $X_{\lambda}$ . Put

 $\Lambda'_{S} = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : \text{ each } \mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n} \text{ is a } cs^{*}\text{-cover for some subsequence } S_{\lambda} \text{ of } S \text{ in } X_{\lambda} \}.$ 

Since  $\{X_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is point-finite, the limit point x of S meets only finitely many  $X_{\lambda}$ 's. Then  $\Lambda_S$  is finite. We shall prove that S is eventually in  $\bigcup \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda'_S\}$ . If not, there exists a subsequence L of S such that  $L \subset S - \bigcup \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda'_S\}$ . Since  $L \cup \{x\}$  is also a convergent sequence in  $X, L \cup \{x\}$  is frequently in some  $X_{\alpha}$ , and each  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha,n}$  is a  $cs^*$ -cover for some convergent subsequence  $S_{\alpha}$  of  $L \cup \{x\}$ . Since  $S_{\alpha}$  is a convergent subsequence of  $S, \alpha \in \Lambda'_S$ . It is a contradiction. Then S is eventually in  $\bigcup \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda'_S\}$ . Since  $S - \bigcup \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda'_S\}$  is finite,  $S - \bigcup \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda'_S\} = \bigcup \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda'_S\}$ , where  $\Lambda''_S$  is a finite subset of  $X_{\lambda}$ . Put  $\Lambda_S = \Lambda'_S \cup \Lambda''_S$ , then  $S = \bigcup \{S_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda_S\}$ , where  $\Lambda_S$  is a finite subset of  $\Lambda$  and, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda_S$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_{\lambda}$  is a convergent sequence and  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a  $cs^*$ -cover for  $S_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that each  $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}$  is a wcs-cover for  $S_{\lambda}$  in  $X_{\lambda}$ . Then  $\{(X_{\lambda}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\lambda,n}\}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a double point-star wcs-cover for X.

Corollary 2.21 (Theorem 2.2, [5]). The following are equivalent for a space X.

- (1) X is a pseudo-sequence-covering compact image of a locally separable metric space,
- (2) X is a subsequence-covering compact image of a locally separable metric space,
- (3) X is a sequentially-quotient compact image of a locally separable metric space.

*Proof.* It is obvious from Corollary 2.18 and Lemma 2.20.

### References

- 1. A. V. Arhangel'skii, Mappings and spaces, Russian Math. Surveys 21 (1966), 115–162.
- J. R. Boone and F. Siwiec, Sequentially quotient mappings, Czechoslovak Math. J. 26 (1976), 174–182.
- H. Chen, Compact-covering maps and k-networks, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2002), 2623– 2632.
- R. Engelking, General Topology, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. 6, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1989; PWN, Warszawa, first edition, 1977.

399

- 5. Y. Ge, On compact images of locally separable metric spaces, Topology Proc. **27** (2003), no. 1, 351–360.
- Y. Ge, On pseudo-sequence coverings, π-images of metric spaces, Mat. Vesnik 57 (2005), no. 3-4, 113–120.
- Y. Ge, On three equivalences concerning Ponomarev-systems, Arch. Math. 42 (2006), no. 3, 239–246.
- G. Gruenhage, E. Michael, and Y. Tanaka, Spaces determined by point-countable covers, Pacific J. Math. 113 (1984), no. 2, 303–332.
- Y. Ikeda, C. Liu, and Y. Tanaka, Quotient compact images of metric spaces, and related matters, Topology Appl. 122 (2002), 237–252.
- S. Lin and C. Liu, On spaces with point-countable cs-networks, Topology Appl. 74 (1996), 51–60.
- S. Lin, C. Liu, and M. Dai, *Images on locally separable metric spaces*, Acta Math. Sinica, New Series, **13** (1997), no. 1, 1–8.
- S. Lin and P. Yan, Notes on cfp-covers, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 44 (2003), no. 2, 295–306.
- 13. E. Michael, N<sub>0</sub>-spaces, J. Math. Mech. 15 (1966), 983–1002.
- V. I. Ponomarev, Axiom of countability and continuous mappings, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 8 (1960), 127–133.
- F. Siwiec, Sequence-covering and countably bi-quotient mappings, General Topology Appl. 1 (1971), 143–154.
- 16. Y. Tanaka, Point-countable covers and k-networks, Topology Proc. 12 (1987), 327-349.
- Y. Tanaka, Theory of k-networks. II, Questions Answers in Gen. Topology 19 (2001), no. 1, 27–46.
- Y. Tanaka and Y. Ge, Around quotient compact images of metric spaces, and symmetric spaces, Houston J. Math. 32 (2006), no. 1, 99–117.
- Y. Tanaka and Z. Li, Certain covering-maps and k-networks, and related matters, Topology Proc. 27 (2003), no. 1, 317–334.
- 20. P. Yan, On the compact images of metric spaces, J. Math. Study 30 (1997), no. 2, 185–187.
- P. Yan, On strong sequence-covering compact mappings, Northeast. Math. J. 14 (1998), 341– 344.

Department of Mathematics, Vinh University, Nghean Province, Vietnam  $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{andhv@yahoo.com}$ 

Department of Mathematics, Pedagogical University of Dongthap, Dongthap Province, Vietnam

E-mail address: nguyendungtc@yahoo.com

Received 24/07/2008