

## POINTS OF JOINT CONTINUITY OF SEPARATELY CONTINUOUS MAPPINGS

ALIREZA KAMEL MIRMOSTAFAEI

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a Baire space,  $Y$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a separately continuous mapping. For each  $y \in Y$ , we define a game  $G(Y, \{y\})$  between players  $O$  and  $P$ , to show that if in this game either  $O$  player has a winning strategy or  $X$  is  $\alpha$ -favorable and  $P$  player does not have a winning strategy, then for each countable subset  $E$  of  $Y$ , there exists a dense  $G_\delta$  subset  $D$  of  $X$  such that  $f$  is jointly continuous on  $D \times E$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . We say that  $f$  is *separately continuous*, if for every  $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ , the maps

$$x \mapsto f(x, y_0), \quad y \mapsto f(x_0, y)$$

are continuous. If  $f$  is continuous in every  $(x_0, y_0)$  with respect to the product topology, then  $f$  is said to be *jointly continuous*.

R. Baire [1] proved that every separately continuous mapping  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is jointly continuous on a subset  $D \times \mathbb{R}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  where  $D$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . It is natural to ask the following:

*If  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces and  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a separately continuous mapping, can one find a dense  $G_\delta$  subset  $D \subset X$  such that  $f : D \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is jointly continuous?*

Several partial results have been obtained under some geometrical restrictions on the topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  (see e.g. [3]–[5], [10]–[16], [18]–[19]). For example, I. Namioka [14] has shown that the above result holds if  $X$  is strongly countably complete ( $\hat{C}$ -complete) and  $Y$  is a compact space. It was expected that the above question must have positive answer for every Baire space  $X$  and compact space  $Y$ . However, M. Talagrand [19] provided an example of a separately continuous mapping  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $X$  is an  $\alpha$ -favorable (hence it is Baire) and  $Y$  is compact such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f$  is not jointly continuous in some point of  $\{x\} \times Y$ . The result of M. Talagrand raises the following question:

*What are compact spaces  $Y$  such that for every Baire (or  $\alpha$ -favorable) space  $X$  and separately continuous mapping  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f$  is jointly continuous at each point of a dense subset of  $X \times Y$ ?*

In the next section, we will use two person game  $G(Y, E)$  between players  $P$  and  $O$ , where  $Y$  is a compact space and  $E \subset Y$  to show the following:

If  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a separately continuous mapping,

- i)  $X$  is a Baire space and for every  $y \in Y$ ,  $O$  has a winning strategy on  $G(Y, \{y\})$ ,
- or

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54C30, 54C05; Secondary 54D30.

*Key words and phrases*. Separate continuity, joint continuity, topological game.

The research is financially supported by Ferdowsi University of Mashhad.

- ii)  $X$  is an  $\alpha$ -favorable space and  $Y$  is a compact Hausdorff space such that for every  $y \in Y$ ,  $P$  does not have a winning strategy for the game  $G(Y, \{y\})$ ,

then for every countable subset  $E$  of  $Y$ , there exists a dense  $G_\delta$  subset  $D_E$  of  $X$  such that  $f$  is jointly continuous on  $D_E \times E$ .

## 2. TOPOLOGICAL GAMES AND EXISTENCE OF A WINNING STRATEGY

We start this section by introducing the following topological game, which is known as “Banach-Mazur game” (or “Choquet game” see [2] or [17]).

Let  $X$  be a topological space. The Banach-Mazur game  $BM(X)$  is played by two players  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , who select alternately, nonempty open subsets of  $X$ .  $\beta$  starts a game by selecting a nonempty open subset  $V_1$  of  $X$ . In return,  $\alpha$ -player replies by selecting some nonempty open subset  $W_1$  of  $V_1$ . At the  $n$ -th stage of the game,  $n \geq 1$ , the player  $\beta$  chooses a nonempty open subset  $V_n \subset W_{n-1}$  and  $\alpha$  answers by choosing a nonempty open subset  $W_n$  of  $V_n$ . Proceeding in this fashion, the players generate a sequence  $(V_n, W_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  which is called a *play*. The player  $\alpha$  is said to have *won* the play  $(V_n, W_n)_{n=0}^\infty$  if  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} V_n = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} W_n \neq \emptyset$ ; otherwise the player  $\beta$  is said to have won this play. A *partial play* is a finite sequence of sets consisting of the first few moves of a play. A *strategy* for player  $\alpha$  is a rule by means of which the player makes his choices. Here is a more formal definition of the notion strategy. A strategy  $s$  for the player  $\alpha$  is a sequence of mappings  $s = \{s_n\}$ , which is inductively defined as follows:

The domain of  $s_1$  is the set of all open subsets of  $X$  and  $s_1$  assigns to each nonempty open set  $V_1 \subset X$ , a nonempty open subset  $W_1 = s_1(V_1)$  of  $V_1$ . In general, if a partial play  $(V_1, \dots, W_{n-1})$  has already been specified, where  $W_i = s_i(V_1, \dots, V_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Then the domain of  $s_n$  would be the set

$$\{(V_1, W_1, \dots, W_{n-1}, V) : V \subset W_{n-1} \text{ can be the next move of } \beta\text{-player}\}$$

and it assigns to each choice  $V_n \subset W_{n-1}$  some nonempty open subset

$$W_n = s_n(V_1, W_1, \dots, W_{n-1}, V_n)$$

of  $V_n$ .

An *s-play* is a play in which  $\alpha$  selects his moves according to the strategy  $s$ . The strategy  $s$  for the player  $\alpha$  is said to be a *winning strategy* if every  $s$ -play is won by  $\alpha$ . A space  $X$  is called  $\alpha$ -favorable if there exists a winning strategy for  $\alpha$  in  $BM(X)$ .

It is easy to verify that every  $\alpha$ -favorable space  $X$  is a Baire space, that is, a space in which the intersection of countably many dense and open subsets is dense in the space. There are examples of Baire spaces which are not  $\alpha$ -favorable (see for example [10]). It is known that  $X$  is a Baire space if and only if the player  $\beta$  does not have a winning strategy in the game  $BM(X)$  (see [18] Theorems 1 and 2).

Let  $Y$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $E \subset Y$ , G. Gruenhage [7] introduced the following two person game  $G(Y, E)$ :

Player  $O$  goes first by selecting a nonempty open neighborhood  $U_1$  of  $E$ .  $P$  answers by choosing a point  $y_1 \in U_1$ .

In general, in step  $n$ , if selections  $U_1, y_1, \dots, U_n, y_n$  have already been specified,  $O$  selects a nonempty open set  $U_n \supset E$  and then  $P$  chooses a point  $y_n \in U_n$ .

We say  $O$  wins the game  $g = (U_n, y_n)_{n \geq 1}$  if  $y_n \rightarrow E$  (i.e. every neighborhood of  $E$  contains all but finitely many  $y_n$ ). If

$$g_1 = (U_1, y_1), \dots, g_n = (U_1, y_1, \dots, U_n, y_n)$$

are the first “ $n$ ” move of some play ( of the game ), we call  $g_n$  the  $n^{\text{th}}$  (*partial play*) of the game.

By a strategy  $s$  for the player  $O$ , we mean a sequence of mappings  $\{s_n\}$  which is defined inductively as follows:

$s_1(\emptyset)$  is an open neighborhood  $U_1$  of  $E$ . In step  $n$ ,  $s_n$  assigns to the partial play  $g_{n-1} = (U_i, y_i)_{i \leq n-1}$  an open set  $U_n \supset E$ . If  $s$  is a strategy for  $O$ , a play in which  $O$  selects his moves according to the strategy  $s$  is called an  $s$ -play. The strategy  $s$  is said to be a winning one if every  $s$ -play is won by  $O$ . The game  $G(Y, E)$  is called  $O$ -favorable, if there exists winning strategy for the player  $O$ . Otherwise  $G(Y, E)$  is said to be  $O$ -unfavorable. Similarly, winning strategy for the player  $P$ ,  $P$ -favorable and  $P$ -unfavorable  $G(Y, E)$  can be defined.

The main result of this paper is based on the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a separately continuous mapping. If either*

- (1)  *$X$  is a Baire space and  $Y$  is a compact Hausdorff space such that for some  $y_0 \in Y$ ,  $O$  has a winning strategy in the game  $G(Y, \{y_0\})$ , or*
- (2)  *$X$  is an  $\alpha$ -favorable space and  $Y$  is a compact Hausdorff space such that for some  $y_0 \in Y$ ,  $P$  does not have a winning strategy for the game  $G(Y, \{y_0\})$ ,*

*then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and every open subset  $U$  of  $X$ , there exists a nonempty open subset  $V$  of  $U$  and an open neighborhood  $H$  of  $y_0$  such that*

$$\text{diam}f(V \times H) \leq \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and open subset  $U$  of  $X$ ,

$$\text{diam}f(V \times H) > \varepsilon,$$

for all nonempty open subset  $V$  of  $U$  and every open neighborhood  $H$  of  $y_0$ . Take some  $x_0 \in U$  and an open subset  $U_1$  of  $U$  such that

$$|f(x, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0)| < \varepsilon/12, \quad \forall x \in U_1.$$

Let  $U_1$  be the first choice of  $\beta$ -player in  $BM(X)$ . If  $H_1$  is the first choice of  $O$ -player in  $G(Y, \{y_0\})$ , we take some nonempty open subset  $H'_1$  of  $H_1$  such that

$$|f(x_0, y) - f(x_0, y_0)| < \varepsilon/12, \quad \forall y \in H'_1.$$

Let  $V_1 \subset U_1$  be the answer of  $\alpha$ -player to  $U_1$ . Then by our assumption

$$\text{diam}f(V_1 \times H'_1) > \varepsilon.$$

Therefore there exists some  $(x_1, y_1) \in V_1 \times H'_1$  such that  $|f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_0, y_0)| > \varepsilon/2$ . The answer of  $\beta$ -player to  $(U_1, V_1)$  will be an open subset  $U_2$  of  $V_1$  which satisfies the following

$$|f(x, y_1) - f(x_0, y_0)| > \varepsilon/4 \quad \text{and} \quad |f(x, y_1) - f(x_1, y_1)| < \varepsilon/12.$$

Let  $y_1$  be the first choice of  $P$ -player. If  $H_2$  is the answer of  $O$ -player to  $(H_1, y_1)$ , we take a nonempty open subset  $H'_2$  of  $H_2$  such that

$$|f(x_i, y) - f(x_i, y_0)| < \varepsilon/12 \quad \text{for each } y \in H'_2 \quad \text{and} \quad i = 0, 1.$$

In general, if the partial play  $(U_1, V_1, \dots, U_n, V_n)$  in  $BM(X)$  together with finite sequence  $\{x_i\}_{1 \leq i < n}$ , where  $x_i \in V_i$  and the partial play  $(H_1, x_1, \dots, H_n)$  have already been selected. We choose an open neighborhood  $H'_n$  of  $H_n$ , such that for all  $y \in H'_n$ ,

$$|f(x_i, y) - f(x_i, y_0)| < \varepsilon/12, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

By our assumption,

$$\text{diam}f(V_n \times H'_n) > \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, there is some  $(x_n, y_n) \in V_n \times H'_n$  such that

$$|f(x_n, y_n) - f(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})| > \varepsilon/2.$$

Let  $y_n$  be the answer of the player  $O$  to  $(H_1, y_1, \dots, H_n)$  and take a nonempty open subset  $U_{n+1}$  of  $V_n$  such that for all  $x \in U_{n+1}$ ,

$$|f(x, y_n) - f(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})| > \varepsilon/4 \quad \text{and} \quad |f(x, y_i) - f(x_n, y_i)| < \varepsilon/12, \quad \text{for } i = 0, \dots, n.$$

In this way by induction on  $n$ , a strategy for  $\beta$  in  $BM(X)$  and a strategy for  $P$  in  $G(Y, \{y_0\})$  is defined.

If (i) holds, then the strategy for  $\beta$ -player is not a winning one, thus some play  $(U_i, V_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is won by  $\alpha$ . Moreover,  $O$  has a winning strategy against the strategy of  $P$  defined above.

If (ii) holds, then  $\alpha$  has a winning strategy against the strategy  $\beta$  defined above and since the strategy of  $P$  is not a winning one,  $O$  wins some play  $(H_i, y_i)_{i \geq 1}$  described above.

Therefore, in either case the related plays  $(U_i, V_i)_{i \geq 1}$  and  $(H_i, y_i)_{i \geq 1}$  are won by  $\alpha$  and  $O$  respectively.

Let  $x \in \bigcap_{i \geq 1} V_i$  and  $H$  be a neighborhood of  $y_0$  such that

$$|f(x, y) - f(x, y_0)| < \varepsilon/12 \quad \text{for all } y \in H.$$

Since  $O$  is the winner of the play  $(H_i, y_i)_{i \geq 1}$ , there exists some  $n_0$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ , we have  $y_n \in H$ . Since  $x \in \bigcap_{i \geq 1} V_i$ ,

$$|f(x, y_{n+1}) - f(x_n, y_n)| > \varepsilon/4, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

However, our construction shows that if  $n \geq n_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(x, y_{n+1}) - f(x_n, y_n)| \\ & \leq |f(x, y_{n+1}) - f(x, y_0)| + |f(x, y_0) - f(x_n, y_0)| + |f(x_n, y_0) - f(x_n, y_n)| \\ & < \varepsilon/12 + \varepsilon/12 + \varepsilon/12 = \varepsilon/4. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction proves the Lemma.  $\square$

We call  $E$  a  $W$ -set in  $Y$  if  $O$  has a winning strategy in the game  $G(Y, E)$ . A space  $Y$  in which each point of  $Y$  is a  $W$ -set is called a  $W$ -space. One can easily see that first countable spaces are  $W$ -spaces and that  $W$ -spaces are Frechet (i.e., if  $y \in \bar{A}$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{a_n\} \subset A$  with  $a_n \rightarrow y$ ). We also define  $Y$  to be a  $w$ -space if for every  $y \in Y$ ,  $P$  fails to have a winning strategy in  $G(Y, \{y\})$ . It is natural to ask the following:

*Is there a  $w$ -space which is not a  $W$ -space?*

A. Hajnal and I. Juhász (see [6] or [9]) have shown that if  $\infty$  is the one point compactification of an Aronszajn tree  $T$ , with the interval topology, then neither  $P$  nor  $O$  has a winning strategy in  $G(T \cup \{\infty\}, \{\infty\})$ .

Now, we are ready to state the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $Y$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $E$  be a countable subset of  $Y$ . If either*

- (1)  $X$  is a Baire space and  $E$  is a  $W$ -space, or
- (2)  $X$  is an  $\alpha$ -favorable space and  $E$  is a  $w$ -space,

*then for every separably continuous mapping  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset  $A_E$  of  $X$  such that  $f$  is jointly continuous at each point of  $A_E \times E$ .*

*Proof.* For each  $y \in E$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$A_{n,y} = \{x \in X : \exists \text{ neighborhoods } V \text{ of } x \text{ and } H \text{ of } y; \text{diam} f(V \times H) \leq \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

It is clear that each  $A_{n,y}$  is an open subset of  $X$ . Under either conditions (1) or (2), by Lemma 2.1, each  $A_{n,y}$  is dense in  $X$ . Put

$$A_E = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N} \& y \in Y} A_{n,y}.$$

Since  $E$  is countable and  $X$  is a Baire space,  $A_E$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of  $X$ . Clearly  $f$  is jointly continuous on in each point of  $A_E \times E$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a separately continuous mapping. If either*

- (1)  $X$  is a Baire space and  $Y$  is a compact Hausdorff space such that for each point  $y$  of a dense subset  $E$  of  $Y$ ,  $O$  has a winning strategy in the game  $G(Y, \{y\})$ , or
- (2)  $X$  is an  $\alpha$ -favorable space and  $Y$  is a compact Hausdorff space such that for each point  $y$  of a dense subset  $E$  of  $Y$ ,  $P$  does not have a winning strategy for the game  $G(Y, \{y\})$ ,

then there exists a dense subset  $D$  of  $X \times Y$  such that  $f$  is jointly continuous at each point of  $D$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$D = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : f \text{ is jointly continuous at } (x, y)\}.$$

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be open subsets  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. Thanks to Theorem 2.2, for each point  $y \in E$ , there exists a dense subset  $A_y$  of  $X$  such that  $f$  is jointly continuous on  $A_y \times \{y\}$ . Hence  $A_y \times \{y\} \subset (V \times W) \cap D$ . This means that  $D$  is dense in  $X \times Y$ .  $\square$

The following question naturally arises:

**Problem.** Under either conditions of Proposition 2.3 with  $E = Y$ , can one find a dense subset of  $A$  of  $X$  such that  $f$  is jointly continuous on  $A \times Y$ ?

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Baire, *Sur les fonctions des variable reelles*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **3** (1899), 1–122.
2. G. Choquet, *Lectures on Analysis*, Vol. 1, W. A. Benjamin Inc., New York, 1969.
3. J. P. R. Christensen, *Joint continuity of separately continuous functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1981), 455–461.
4. G. Debs, *Pointwise and uniform convergence on Corson compact spaces*, Topology Appl. **23** (1986), 299–303.
5. R. Deville, *Convergence ponctuelle et uniforme sur un espace compact*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. **37** (1989), 7–12.
6. J. Gerlits and Zs. Nagy, *Some properties of  $C(X)$* , I, Topology Appl. **14** (1982), 151–161.
7. G. Gruenhage, *Covering properties on  $X^2 \setminus \Delta$ ,  $W$ -sets, and compact subsets of  $\Sigma$ -products*, Topology Appl. **17** (1984), 287–304.
8. M. Kline, *Mathematical Thought from Ancient to Modern Times*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1972.
9. G. Gruenhage, *The story of a topological game*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **36** (2006), no. 6, 1885–1914.
10. R. Haydon, *Baire trees, bad norms and the Namioka property*, Mathematica **42** (1995), 30–42.
11. P. S. Kenderov and W. B. Moors, *Separate continuity, joint continuity and the Lindelof property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), 1503–1512.
12. W. B. Moors, *Separate continuity, joint continuity, the Lindelof property and  $p$ -spaces*, Topology Appl. **154** (2007), 428–433.
13. V. V. Mykhaylyuk, *The Namioka property of  $KC$ -functions and Kempisty space*, Topology Appl. **153** (2006), 2455–2461.
14. I. Namioka, *Separate continuity and joint continuity*, Pacific J. Math. **51** (1974), 515–531.
15. I. Namioka and R. Pol, *Mappings of Baire spaces into function spaces and Kadec renorming*, Israel J. Math. **78** (1992), 1–20.
16. I. Namioka and R. Pol, *Sigma-fragmentability of mapping into  $C_p(K)$* , Topology Appl. **89** (1998), 249–263.
17. J. C. Oxtoby, *Measure and Category*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1971).
18. J. Saint-Reymond, *Jeux topologiques et espaces de Namioka*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1983), 499–504.
19. M. Talagrand, *Espaces de Baire et espaces de Namioka*, Math. Ann. **270** (1985), 159–164.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, P. O. BOX 1159, MASHHAD 91775, IRAN; CENTER OF EXCELLENCE IN ANALYSIS ON ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES (CEAAS), FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, IRAN

*E-mail address:* amirmostafaei@yahoo.com; mirmostafaei@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir

Received 07/10/2008; Revised 19/11/2008