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#### Abstract

We consider $C^{*}$-algebras $\mathcal{W}(T)$ generated by operators of Fock representations of Wick *-algebras with a braided coefficient operator $T$. It is shown that for any braided $T$ with $\|T\|<1$ one has the inclusion $\mathcal{W}(0) \subset \mathcal{W}(T)$. Conditions for existence of an isomorphism $\mathcal{W}(T) \simeq \mathcal{W}(0)$ are discussed.


## 1. Introduction

In this note we study the Fock representation of the Wick $*$-algebra $W(T)$ with a braided coefficient operator. Recall, see [4], that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(T)=\mathbb{C}\left\langle a_{i}, a_{i}^{*}, i=\overline{1, d} \mid a_{i} a_{j}^{*}=\delta_{i j} 1+\sum_{k, l=1}^{d} T_{i j}^{k l} a_{l}^{*} a_{k}, T_{i j}^{k l}=\overline{T_{j i}^{l k}}\right\rangle . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}=\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}\right\rangle$ and put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T: \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}, \quad T e_{k} \otimes e_{l}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{d} T_{i k}^{l j} e_{i} \otimes e_{j}, \quad T=T^{*}, \\
& T_{i}: \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \mapsto \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}, \quad T_{i}=\underbrace{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}}_{i-1} \otimes T \otimes \underbrace{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}}_{n-i-1}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

An operator $T$ is called a coefficient operator for $W(T)$. We say that $T$ satisfies the braid relation if on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1} T_{2} T_{1}=T_{2} T_{1} T_{2} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Fock representation of $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{T})$, see $[1,4]$, is defined on the full tensor space over $\mathcal{H}$,

$$
\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})=\mathbb{C} \Omega \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \cdots, \quad\|\Omega\|=1
$$

, by the following rules, see

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{F}\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) e_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n}} & =e_{i} \otimes e_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n}}, \\
\pi_{F}\left(a_{i}\right) e_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n}} & =\mu\left(e_{i}\right) M_{n} e_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n}}, \quad n \geq 1, \\
\pi_{F}\left(a_{i}\right) \Omega & =0, \quad i=1, \ldots, d,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\mu\left(e_{i}\right) e_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n}}=\delta_{i i_{1}} e_{i_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n}}, \quad \mu\left(e_{i}\right) \Omega=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, d
$$

, and

$$
M_{n}: \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}, \quad M_{n}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}}+T_{1}+T_{1} T_{2}+\cdots+T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2,
$$

$M_{0}=1, M_{1}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$.
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Theorem 1. ([4]). There exists a unique Hermitian sesquilinear form $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{T}$ on $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$
\left\langle\pi_{F}\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) X, Y\right\rangle_{T}=\left\langle X, \pi_{F}\left(a_{i}\right) Y\right\rangle_{T}, \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}), \quad i=1, \ldots, d
$$

More precisely,

$$
\langle X, Y\rangle_{T}=\left\langle X, P_{n} Y\right\rangle, \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}, \quad\langle X, Y\rangle_{T}=0, X \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}, \quad Y \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes m}, \quad n \neq m
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{n}: \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}, \quad P_{n}^{*}=P_{n} \\
& P_{0}=1, \quad P_{1}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}, \\
& P_{n}=\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes P_{n-1}\right) M_{n}, \quad n \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

The following statement is a combination of the main results of [1] and [3].
Theorem 2. Let $T$ be braided and $\|T\|<1$, then $P_{n}>0, n \geq 2$, hence $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{T}$ is positive definite and the Fock representation $\pi_{F}$ of $W(T)$ can be extended to $a^{*}$-representation on the Hilbert space. Moreover, the Fock representation is a faithful bounded ${ }^{*}$-representation of the *-algebra $W(T)$ and

$$
\left\|\pi_{F}\left(a_{i}\right)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{1-\|T\|}, \quad i=1, \ldots, d
$$

Below we denote by $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})_{0}$ the closure of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})$ with respect to the standard scalar product, and by $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})_{T}$ the closure with respect to the Fock scalar product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{T}$. Also $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ will denote the $n$-th tensor component of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})_{0}$, and $\mathcal{H}_{T}^{\otimes n}$ the corresponding component of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})_{T}$.

In paper [2], the authors proved that for any $q \in(0,1)$ the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{E}_{q}^{(d)}$ generated by the Fock representation of $q$-CCR with $d$ generators contains the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{E}_{0}^{(d)}$ that is isomorphic to the Cuntz-Topelitz $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{d}^{(0)}$. It was also shown in [2] that for $q$ satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{2}<\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{k}}{1+q^{k}}=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}(-1)^{k} q^{k^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has an isomorphism $\mathcal{E}_{q}^{(d)} \simeq \mathcal{E}_{0}^{(d)}$.
In this note we show that results of [2] can easily be extended to the $C^{*}$-algebras $\mathcal{W}(T)$ generated by operators of Fock representations of $W(T)$ with braided $T$ and $\|T\|=q$, $q<1$.

## 2. $C^{*}$-algebra generated by Fock representation of $W(T)$

Let $W(T)$ be the Wick $*$-algebra with braided $T$ and $\|T\|=q<1$. Consider the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}(T)$ generated by the operators $\pi_{F}\left(a_{i}\right), \pi_{F}\left(a_{i}^{*}\right), i=1, \ldots, d$, of the Fock representation of $W(T)$.

Following [2] construct the unitary operator $U: \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})_{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})_{0}$.
Namely, consider the operators

$$
U_{n}: \mathcal{H}_{T}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n}=\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes U_{n-1}\right) M_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad U_{0} \Omega=\Omega, \quad U_{1}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that on $\mathcal{H}_{T}^{\otimes n}, n \geq 2$, one has

$$
M_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \pi_{F}\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) \pi_{F}\left(a_{i}\right)>0
$$

so $M_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is well defined.
Proposition 1. Put $U=\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} U_{n}$. Then

$$
U: \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})_{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})_{0}
$$

is a unitary operator.
Proof. As in [2] one has to verify that $\left[U_{n}\right]^{*}\left[U_{n}\right]=\left[P_{n}\right]$, where $\left[U_{n}\right],\left[P_{n}\right]$ denote matrices of the corresponding operators with respect to the standard basis of $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$. Note also that $\left[P_{n}\right]$ is just the Gramm matrix for the standard basis of $\mathcal{H}_{T}^{\otimes n}$.

Indeed, using induction on $d$ and the definition of $P_{n}$ one can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[U_{n}\right]^{*}\left[U_{n}\right] } & =\left[M_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{*}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes U_{n-1}\right]^{*}\left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes U_{n-1}\right]\left[M_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
& =\left[P_{n}\right]\left[M_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\left[P_{n}\right]^{-1}\left(\mathbf{1}_{d} \otimes\left[P_{n-1}\right]\right)\left[M_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right] \\
& =\left[P_{n}\right]\left[M_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]\left[M_{n}\right]^{-1}\left[M_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]=\left[P_{n}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $R=U M^{\frac{1}{2}} U^{*}: \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})_{0}$.
Theorem 3. 1. $U \pi_{F}\left(a_{i}\right) U^{*}=V_{i} R$, where

$$
V_{i}^{*} e_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n}}=e_{i} \otimes e_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_{n}}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad V_{i}^{*} \Omega=e_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, d
$$

2. $R$ is a unique linear operator on $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})_{0}$ leaving $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ invariant, $R_{\mathbb{C}}=0$, and satisfying the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{2}=P+\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{d} T_{i j}^{k l} V_{i}^{*} R V_{l}^{*} V_{k} R V_{j} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P=\sum_{j=1}^{d} V_{i}^{*} V_{i}$.
Proof. The proof is essentially the same as the similar result in [2].
1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(U \pi_{F}\left(a_{i}\right) U^{*} R^{-1}\right)_{\mid \mathcal{H} \otimes n} & =U_{n-1} \mu\left(e_{i}\right) M_{n} U_{n}^{*} U_{n} M_{n}^{-\frac{1}{2}} U_{n}^{*}=\mu\left(e_{i}\right)\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes U_{n-1}\right) M_{n}^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{n}^{*} \\
& =\mu\left(e_{i}\right) U_{n} U_{n}^{*}=\mu\left(e_{i}\right)=V_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, d
\end{aligned}
$$

2. The second statement follows from induction arguments.

Evidently, the operators $V_{i}, i=1, \ldots, d$, determine the Fock representation of $W(0)$, i.e., $\mathcal{W}(0)=C^{*}\left(V_{i}, V_{i}^{*}, i=1, \ldots, d\right)$.

Let us show that $\mathcal{W}(0) \subset U \mathcal{W}(T) U^{*}$ for any braided $T$ with $\|T\|=q, q<1$. To prove the inclusion above it is sufficient to show that $V_{i} \in U \mathcal{W}(T) U^{*}$ for any $i=1, \ldots, d$. Indeed, $R=U M^{\frac{1}{2}} U^{*}$ and

$$
M=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \pi_{F}\left(a_{i}^{*}\right) \pi_{F}\left(a_{i}\right)
$$

imply $R \in U \mathcal{W}(T) U^{*}$.
Since $R \Omega=0$ and $V_{i} \Omega=0$, to show that $V_{i} \in U \mathcal{W}(T) U^{*}$, it is sufficient to prove that $R_{n}$, the component of $R$ corresponding to $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, is invertible and $\left\|R_{n}^{-1}\right\| \leq C$ for some fixed $C>0$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. First we need some auxiliary results.

Lemma 1. Let $T$ be braided. Then for any $n \geq 2$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, we have

$$
\left(T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}\right) T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{k}=T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{k+1}\left(T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}\right)
$$

Proof. Indeed from relations $T_{i} T_{i+1} T_{i}=T_{i+1} T_{i} T_{i+1}$ and $T_{i} T_{j}=T_{j} T_{i},|i-j| \geq 2$, it follows that

$$
\left(T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}\right) T_{i}=T_{i+1}\left(T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1
$$

proving the statement of the lemma.
Lemma 2. Let $T$ satisfy the braid relation. Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n+1} T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}=T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}+T_{1}^{2} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}\left(M_{n} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using the previous lemma we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{n+1} T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n} \\
& \quad=T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}+T_{1}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes n+1}+T_{2}+T_{2} T_{3}+\cdots+T_{2} T_{3} \cdots T_{n}\right) T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n} \\
& \quad=T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}+T_{1}^{2} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes n+1}+T_{1}+T_{1} T_{2}+\cdots+T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n-1}\right) \\
& \quad=T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}+T_{1}^{2} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}\left(M_{n} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As an immediate corollary we obtain the following result.
Lemma 3. For any $n \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n+1}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes n+1}-T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}\right)=\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes n+1}-T_{1}^{2} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}\right)\left(M_{n} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n+1} & -M_{n+1} T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n} \\
& =M_{n} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}+T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}-T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}-T_{1}^{2} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}\left(M_{n} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes n+1}-T_{1}^{2} T_{2} \cdots T_{n}\right)\left(M_{n} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we can show that the main estimate in [2] is true in our case.
Proposition 2. Let $T$ be braided, $\|T\|=q<1$, and $R_{n}=R_{\mid \mathcal{H} \otimes n}$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{1-q} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{k}}{1+q^{k}} \leq R_{n}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{1-q}, \quad n \geq 1
$$

Proof. The idea of proof is the same as in [2].
Since $R^{2}=U M U^{*}$,

$$
M=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_{n}, \quad M_{0}=0, \quad M_{1}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

it is sufficient to prove the required inequalities for $M$. Note that $\|T\|=\left\|T_{i}\right\|=q$. Further, since $M_{n}$ is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{H}_{T}^{\otimes n}$, its norm equals to spectral radius and

$$
\left\|M_{n}\right\|_{T}=r\left(M_{n}\right) \leq\left\|M_{n}\right\|
$$

(here by $\|\cdot\|_{T}$ we denote the operator norm corresponding to the norm on $\mathcal{H}_{T}^{\otimes n}$ ). Analogously, $\left\|M_{n}^{-1}\right\|_{T} \leq\left\|M_{n}^{-1}\right\|$. Then, as in [2], we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n} \leq\left\|M_{n}\right\|_{T} \leq\left\|\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes n}+T_{1}+T_{1} T_{2}+\cdots+T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n-1}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-q} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}^{-1}=\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes n}-T_{1} T_{2} \cdots T_{n-1}\right)\left(M_{n-1}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}\right)\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes n}-T_{1}^{2} T_{2} \cdots T_{n-1}\right)^{-1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{n}^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{1+q^{n-1}}{1-q^{n}}\left\|M_{n-1}^{-1}\right\| \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|M_{n}^{-1}\right\|_{T} \leq\left\|M_{n}^{-1}\right\| \leq(1-q) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1+q^{i}}{1-q^{i}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
M_{n}^{-1} \leq(1-q) \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^{i}}{1-q^{i}}
$$

Corollary 1. Let $T$ be braided and $\|T\|=q<1$. Then, for any $j=1, \ldots, d, V_{j} \in$ $C^{*}\left(U \pi_{F}\left(a_{i}\right) U^{*}, i=1, \ldots, d\right)$, i.e., $\mathcal{W}(0) \subset U \mathcal{W}(T) U^{*}$.

Proof. The same as in [2].
Finally let us make a few remarks about the isomorphism $\mathcal{W}(0) \simeq \mathcal{W}(T)$ if $\|T\|=q$ satisfies (3). The crucial step here is to show that under this assumption one has $R \in$ $\mathcal{W}(0)$.

As in [2] denote by $R_{n}$ the restriction of $R$ to $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ and put $X_{n}: \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{H})$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}=R_{0} \oplus R_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{n} \oplus R_{n} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \oplus R_{n} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \cdots \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that Theorem 3 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n+1}^{2}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n+1}}+\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{d} T_{i j}^{k l} V_{i}^{*} R_{n} V_{l}^{*} V_{k} R_{n} V_{j}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
V_{i}^{*} R_{n}=\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes R_{n}\right) V_{i}^{*}, \quad R_{n} V_{i}=V_{i}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes R_{n}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, d
$$

one can present (13) in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n+1}^{2}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes n+1}+\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{d} T_{i j}^{k l}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes R_{n}\right) V_{i}^{*} V_{l}^{*} V_{k} V_{j}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes R_{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\left.\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{d} T_{i j}^{k l} V_{i}^{*} V_{l}^{*} V_{k} V_{j}\right|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes^{\otimes 2}}=T
$$

we have

$$
\left.\sum_{i, j, k, l=1}^{d} T_{i j}^{k l} V_{i}^{*} V_{l}^{*} V_{k} V_{j}\right|_{\mathcal{H} \otimes n}=T_{1}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n+1}^{2}=\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes n+1}+\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes R_{n}\right) T_{1}\left(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes R_{n}\right), \quad n \geq 2 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently, $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ converges to $R$ weakly and $X_{n} \in \mathcal{W}(0)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, the idea is to examine when $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ is norm convergent. Then evident modifications of the technique given in [2], using (15), allow to show that the following statement is true.
Proposition 3. Let $\alpha_{n}(q)$ denote the smallest eigenvalue of $R_{n}^{2}$. Then

$$
\left\|X_{n+2}-X_{n+1}\right\| \leq \frac{q}{\sqrt{(1-q) \min \left(\alpha_{n+1}(q), \alpha_{n+2}(q)\right)}}\left\|X_{n+1}-X_{n}\right\|, \quad n \geq 1
$$

Further, recall some considerations from [2]. The condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \inf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}(q)>\frac{q^{2}}{1-q}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is sufficient for the sequence $\left\{X_{n}, n \geq 1\right\}$ to be norm convergent. Indeed, with the condition above one has that

$$
\sum_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|X_{n+2}-X_{n+1}\right\|<\infty
$$

Further, since $\alpha_{n}(q) \geq \frac{1}{1-q} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{k}}{1+q^{k}}, n \geq 2$, see Proposition 2, one has that $\frac{1}{1-q} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{k}}{1+q^{k}}>\frac{q^{2}}{1-q}$. So, under the following assumption, $\alpha_{n}(q)$ satisfies (16):

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{2}<\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{k}}{1+q^{k}}=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty}(-1)^{k} q^{k^{2}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

I.e. the main result of [2] is true for $\mathcal{W}(T)$ with braided $T,\|T\|=q$.

Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{W}(T)$ be the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by the operators of Fock representation of the Wick *-algebra $W(T)$ with coefficient operator $T$. Then if $T$ satisfies the braid relation and $\|T\|=q$ with $q$ satisfying (17), then $\mathcal{W}(T) \simeq \mathcal{W}(0)$.
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