ON THE GROUP OF LIE-ORTHOGONAL OPERATORS ON A LIE ALGEBRA

S. V. BILUN, D. V. MAKSIMENKO, AND A. P. PETRAVCHUK

ABSTRACT. Finite dimensional Lie algebras over the field of complex numbers with a linear operator $T:L\to L$ such that [T(x),T(y)]=[x,y] for all $x,y\in L$ are studied. The group of such non-degenerative linear operators on L is considered. Some properties of this group and its relations with the group $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ in the general linear group $\operatorname{GL}(L)$ are described.

1. Introduction

In the paper [1], abelian complex structures on real Lie algebras were studied (in connection with some problems in geometry of Kaehler manifolds, see [2]). Recall that a linear operator J on a real Lie algebra L is called a complex structure if $J^2 = -E$ (where E is the identity operator on L). In the above mentioned paper, a complex structure J on L is called abelian if [J(x),J(y)]=[x,y] for any $x,y\in L$. This condition on the linear operator J is similar to the condition of orthogonality for a linear operator in Euclidean spaces and therefore it is interesting to study properties of linear operators on Lie algebras which preserve their Lie brackets in the above-mentioned sense. This work is devoted to studying of such linear operators on Lie algebras (mainly finite dimensional) over the field $\mathbb C$ of complex numbers. The group of such linear operators which is an analogue of the orthogonal group is also studied.

A linear operator $T:L\to L$ will be called for convenience Lie-orthogonal if [J(x),J(y)]=[x,y] for all $x,y\in L$. The set of all non-degenerative Lie-orthogonal operators on a Lie algebra L is a group which we denote by O(L). The group O(L) has only few common features with the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ of a Lie algebra L such that L=[L,L] (accordingly to Theorem 3) and vice-verse it coincides with $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ for abelian Lie algebras.

It is also proved that if L is a semi-simple Lie algebra over the field \mathbb{C} then the group O(L) has the non-trivial center, a quotient group of which is either trivial or a torsion-free group.

The notations in the paper are standard. We denote by Z(L) the center of a Lie algebra L, if T is a linear operator on the algebra L then we denote by $L(\lambda)$ the root space which corresponds to an eigenvalue λ of the operator T. We put $L(\lambda) = 0$ if λ is not an eigenvalue of T.

2. On the structure of a Lie algebra which admits an orthogonal linear operator $\neq \pm E$

The next results can be found in [4], Lemma 1.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 17B30, 17B40.

Key words and phrases. Solvable Lie algebra, derived length, orthogonal operator, automorphism group.

The third author was partially supported by the DFFD of Ukraine, Grant F28.1/026. much.

Lemma 1. Let L be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over the field \mathbb{C} , T be a linear Lie-orthogonal operator on L. Then

- (1) if the operator T is non-degenerative, then $T(Z) \subseteq Z$, where Z = Z(L) is the center of L;
- (2) if L(0) is the root subspace for T corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$ then $L(0) \subseteq Z(L)$;
- (3) if $L(\lambda)$ and $L(\mu)$ are root subspaces with $\lambda \mu \neq 1$ then $[L(\lambda), L(\mu)] = 0$.

The next theorem shows the structure of finite dimensional Lie algebra without center on which a Lie-orthogonal operator is defined.

Theorem 1. Let L be a finite dimensional Lie algebra without center over \mathbb{C} , T be a linear Lie-orthogonal operator on L. Then

$$L = L(1) \oplus L(-1) \oplus (L(\alpha_1) + L(-\alpha_1)) \oplus \cdots \oplus (L(\alpha_k) + L(-\alpha_k))$$

is a direct sum of ideals where the ideals $L(\alpha_i) + L(-\alpha_i)$ with $\alpha_i \neq \pm 1$ are solvable of derived length ≤ 2 .

Proof. If T = E or T = -E then it is obviously L = L(1) or L = L(-1) and all is proved.

Let

(1)
$$L = L(1) \oplus L(-1) \oplus L(\alpha_1) \oplus L(-\alpha_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus L(\alpha_k) \oplus L(-\alpha_k)$$

be a decomposition of L into a direct sum of the root subspaces which correspond to the eigenvalues $1, -1, \alpha_1, -\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, -\alpha_k$ of the operator T. By Lemma 1 the relation $[L(1), L(\beta)] = 0$ holds for any eigenvalue $\beta \neq 1$. Therefore the subspace

$$V = L(-1) \oplus L(\alpha_1) \oplus L(-\alpha_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus L(\alpha_k) \oplus L(-\alpha_k)$$

lies in the centralizer $C_L(L(1))$. Denote by M_1 the subalgebra of the Lie algebra L which is generated by K-subspace L(1) and by M_2 – the subalgebra which is generated by the subspace V. It can be easily proved that $M_1 \cap V = 0$ (because this intersection lies in the center Z(L) and Z(L) = 0 by the condition of the theorem). It easily follows from here that $M_1 \cap M_2 = 0$. But then, taking into account the relations $L(1) \subseteq M_1$ and $V \subseteq M_2$ we have that $M_1 = L(1)$ and $M_2 = V$. By Lemma 1 $[M_1, M_2] = 0$ and therefore M_1 and M_2 are the ideals of the Lie algebra L. Repeating these considerations for subspace L(-1) from subalgebra

$$M_2 = L(-1) \oplus L(\alpha_1) \oplus L(-\alpha_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus L(\alpha_k) \oplus L(-\alpha_k)$$

we get that L(-1) is an ideal of the Lie algebra L and $L=L(1)\oplus L(-1)\oplus M_3$, where

$$M_3 = L(\alpha_1) \oplus L(-\alpha_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus L(\alpha_k) \oplus L(-\alpha_k).$$

Accordingly to Lemma 1 $L(\alpha_i) \oplus L(-\alpha_i)$ is an ideal of the Lie algebra L and therefore M_3 is a direct sum of the ideals $L(\alpha_i) \oplus L(-\alpha_i)$. By Lemma 3 from [4] each sum $L(\alpha_i) \oplus L(-\alpha_i)$ is a solvable ideal of derived length ≤ 2 . So, we obtain the decomposition (1). The proof is complete.

3. On the group of non-degenerative linear orthogonal operators on a Lie algebra ${\cal L}$

Lemma 2. Let L be an arbitrary complex Lie algebra. Then all bijective linear orthogonal operators on L form a group relatively to superposition.

Proof. If S,T are arbitrary bijective Lie-orthogonal linear operators on the Lie algebra L then

$$[ST(x), ST(y)] = [T(x), T(y)] = [x, y]$$

for any $x, y \in L$, so the product ST is a Lie-orthogonal linear operator. Further, by the condition for T there exists the inverse linear operator T^{-1} . Then

$$[T^{-1}(x), T^{-1}(y)] = [T(T^{-1}(x)), T(T^{-1}(y))] = [x, y]$$

and therefore T^{-1} is also orthogonal.

The group of all bijective linear Lie-orthogonal operators on a Lie algebra L will be denoted by O(L). If $L \neq 0$ then O(L) is non-trivial. Really, the identity operator E lies in O(L) as well as the operator -E. If L is an abelian Lie algebra then obviously O(L) = GL(L) is the general linear group of the vector space L.

The next example is not so obvious.

Example 1. Let $L = \langle e_1, e_2 \mid [e_1, e_2] = e_1 \rangle$ be the 2-dimensional nonabelian Lie algebra over the field \mathbb{C} . Then $O(L) = SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the group of all linear operators T on L with det T = 1.

Really, let $T \in O(L)$ be an arbitrary element and $T(e_1) = \alpha_{11}e_1 + \alpha_{21}e_2$, $T(e_2) = \alpha_{12}e_1 + \alpha_{22}e_2$, $\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$[T(e_1), T(e_2)] = [e_1, e_2] = e_1$$

= $[\alpha_{11}e_1 + \alpha_{21}e_2, \alpha_{12}e_1 + \alpha_{22}e_2] = (\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22} - \alpha_{12}\alpha_{21})e_1.$

It follows from this that $\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22} - \alpha_{12}\alpha_{21} = 1$, i.e. $T \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. And vice versa, for any linear operator $T \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ we have the relation

$$[T(e_1), T(e_2)] = (\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22} - \alpha_{12}\alpha_{21})e_1 = [e_1, e_2] = e_1,$$

so $\alpha_{11}\alpha_{22} - \alpha_{12}\alpha_{21} = 1$, i.e. $T \in O(L)$. Hence, $O(L) \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma 3. Let L be an arbitrary complex Lie algebra, I be an ideal of the algebra L such that the center of the quotient algebra L/I is zero. Then the ideal I is invariant under action of the Lie-orthogonal group O(L), i.e. $T(I) \subseteq I$ for any $T \in O(L)$.

Proof. Let us show at first that the \mathbb{C} -subspace I + T(I) is an ideal of the Lie algebra L for any $T \in O(L)$. Really, for arbitrary $x \in I, y \in L$ we have

$$[T(x), y] = [T(x), T(T^{-1}(y))] = [x, T^{-1}(y)] \in I.$$

If the element T(y) goes through the whole Lie algebra L then the element y goes also through the algebra L, so we have $[T(I), L] \subseteq I$. Therefore I + (T(I)) is an ideal of the Lie algebra L for any $T \in O(L)$.

Now assume that for some $T \in O(L)$ we have a strong inclusion $I \subseteq I + T(I)$. Then it holds for the quotient algebra [I + T(I)/I, L/I] = 0 (because it has been just shown that $[T(I), L] \subseteq I$). The last equality is impossible because according to the condition the center of L/I is zero. The proof is complete.

Corollary 1. Let L be a finite dimensional complex Lie algebra. Then the solvable radical S(L) is invariant under action of the group O(L).

Lemma 4. Let L be an arbitrary Lie algebra over the field \mathbb{C} , Z(L) be the center of L. Then Z(L) is invariant under action of the group O(L).

Proof. Assume that for an element $z \in Z(L)$ its image $T(z) \notin Z(L)$ for some $T \in O(L)$. Then there exists $x \in L$ such that $[T(z), x] \neq 0$. So we have

$$[T(z), x] = [T(z), T(T^{-1}(x))] = [z, T^{-1}(x)] \neq 0.$$

But it is impossible since $z \in Z(L)$. This contradiction shows that $T(Z(L)) \subseteq Z(L)$. \square

Corollary 2. Let L be a nilpotent complex Lie algebra. Then the upper central series of the algebra L

$$0 = Z_0(L) \subseteq Z_1(L) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_n(L) = L$$

is invariant under action of the group O(L).

Theorem 2. Let L be a finite dimensional semi-simple Lie algebra over the field \mathbb{C} . Then the group O(L) contains a central normal divisor N which is an elementary abelian group of order 2^k , where k is the number of simple ideals in the decomposition of L into a direct sum of simple ideals. The quotient group O(L)/N is either identity or torsion-free.

Proof. Let $L = L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus L_k$ be a decomposition of L into a direct sum of simple ideals L_i , $i = 1, \ldots, k$. By Lemma 2 every ideal L_i is invariant under action of the group O(L) and therefore

$$O(L) = O(L_1) \times O(L_2) \times \cdots \times O(L_k).$$

So, to prove the theorem we can consider only the case of simple Lie algebras. It is obvious that linear operators E and -E on L are Lie-orthogonal and lie in the center of O(L). Assume that $O(L) \neq \{E, -E\}$ and take an arbitrary element $T \in O(L) \setminus \{E, -E\}$. Consider the decomposition of the vector space L over $\mathbb C$ into a direct sum of root subspaces

$$L = L(1) \oplus L(-1) \oplus L(\alpha_1) \oplus L(-\alpha_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus L(\alpha_k) \oplus L(-\alpha_k),$$

that correspond to the eigenvalues 1, -1, $\alpha_1, -\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, -\alpha_k$ of the operator L (if λ is not an eigenvalue of T we put $L(\lambda) = 0$). Since the Lie algebra L is simple we obtain using Theorem 1 that either L = L(1) or L = L(-1). Let at first L = L(1). Then the linear operator T has on L the only eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$. Choosing an appropriate Jordan

basis we see that the matrix of T is a direct sum of cells $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$

Since $T \neq E$ at least one of the cells is of order > 1. But then $T^n \neq E$ for any n. The similar proposition is true in the case of L = L(-1). It easily follows from this that $O(L)/\{E, -E\}$ does not have elements of finite order. The proof is complete.

Note that the group O(L) as well as the group $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ of all automorphisms of the Lie algebra L are subgroups of the general linear group GL(L) of the vector space L. The following statement shows in general how the subgroups O(L) and $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ are embedded in the group GL(L).

Theorem 3. Let L be a Lie algebra over the field \mathbb{C} (not necessarily finite dimensional). If L = [L, L] then for the subgroups O(L) and $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ of the general linear group GL(L) the following holds:

$$\operatorname{Aut}(L) \cap O(L) = \{E\}, \quad [O(L), \operatorname{Aut}(L)] \subseteq O(L).$$

In particular, $O(L) \cdot \operatorname{Aut}(L) = O(L) \times \operatorname{Aut}(L)$ is a semi-direct product of two groups.

Proof. Let θ be an arbitrary automorphism of the Lie algebra L and T is an arbitrary orthogonal operator on L. Then for any elements $x, y \in L$ we have

$$[\theta^{-1}T\theta(x), \theta^{-1}T\theta(y)] = \theta^{-1}[T\theta(x), T\theta(y)] = \theta^{-1}[\theta(x), \theta(y)] = [x, y].$$

It means that $\theta^{-1}T\theta \in O(L)$, that is $[\operatorname{Aut}(L), O(L)] \subseteq O(L)$.

Let φ be an arbitrary element from the intersection $\operatorname{Aut}(L) \cap O(L)$. Than for any elements $x, y \in L$ we have

$$[\varphi(x),\varphi(y)] = [x,y] = \varphi([x,y]).$$

It means that φ acts as identity on all elements from the derived algebra [L, L]. Since by condition L = [L, L] we obtain $\varphi = E$. Thus, $\operatorname{Aut}(L) \cap O(L) = \{E\}$. The proof is complete.

We show another construction of orthogonal linear operators on Lie algebras with nonzero center.

Proposition 1. Let L be an arbitrary Lie algebra over the field \mathbb{C} and S be a linear map $S:L\to Z(L)$ such that T=E+S is a bijective linear operator on L. Then T is an orthogonal operator on L.

Proof. Take arbitrary elements $x, y \in L$ and consider the equalities

$$[T(x), T(y)] = [(E+S)(x), (E+S)(y)] = [x+S(x), y+S(y)]$$
$$= [x, y] + [x, S(y)] + [S(x), y] + [S(x), S(y)] = [x, y],$$

because $S(x), S(y) \in Z(L)$ We see that E + S is an orthogonal linear operator on L. \square

Example 2. Let $L = \langle e, f, h \mid [e, f] = h, [h, e] = 2e, [h, f] = -2f \rangle$ be the simple 3-dimensional complex Lie algebra. Then $O(L) = \{\pm E\}$.

Really, according to Theorem 1 we can assume without loss of generality that every linear operator $T \in O(L) \setminus \{\pm E\}$ has only one eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$. Then the operator T has in a Jordan basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ a matrix which is either Jordan cell of size 3×3 or a direct sum of two Jordan cells of order 1 and 2.

Consider at first the Jordan cell of order 3. We can write down in the above mentioned basis $T(e_1) = e_1, T(e_2) = e_2 + e_1, T(e_3) = e_3 + e_2$. Then we obtain

$$[T(e_1), T(e_3)] = [e_1, e_3] = [e_1, e_3 + e_2]$$

and therefore $[e_1, e_2] = 0$. Further,

$$[T(e_2), T(e_3)] = [e_2, e_3] = [e_2 + e_1, e_3 + e_2] = [e_2, e_3] + [e_1, e_3]$$

and therefore $[e_1, e_3] = 0$. This is impossible because then $e_1 \in Z(L)$ which contradicts to that L is a simple Lie algebra.

Let now the matrix of T in the basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ be a direct sum of Jordan cells of orders 1 and 2. Then we can write down the following:

$$T(e_1) = e_1, T(e_2) = e_2, T(e_3) = e_3 + e_2.$$

So, we have $[T(e_1), T(e_3)] = [e_1, e_3] = [e_1, e_3 + e_2]$. Thus, $[e_1, e_2] = 0$ and therefore the simple Lie algebra L contains an abelian subalgebra of dimension 2. The latter is impossible. This contradiction shows that $O(L) = \{\pm E\}$.

References

- M. Barberis, I. G. Dotti, Abelian complex structures on solvable Lie algebras, Journal of Lie Theory 14 (2004), 25–34.
- M. Barberis, I. G. Dotti, R. J. Miatello, On certain locally homogeneous Clifford manifolds, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 13 (1995), 289–301.
- N. Jacobson, Lie Algebras, Interscience Tracts on Pure and Applied Mathematics, no. 10, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1962.
- 4. A. P. Petravchuk, S. V. Bilun, On orthogonal operators on finite dimensional Lie algebras, Bulletin of the University of Kiev, Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Nauki (2003), no. 3, 60–64. (Ukrainian).

KYIV TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY, 64, VOLODYMYRSKA, KYIV, 01601, UKRAINE E-mail address: sbilun@univ.kiev.ua

KYIV NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF CONSTRUCTION AND ARCHITECTURE, 31, POVITROFLOTSKY AVENUE, KYIV, 03680, UKRAINE

 $E\text{-}mail\ address{:}\ \mathtt{dm.mksmk@gmail.com}$

KYIV TARAS SHEVCHENKO UNIVERSITY, 64, VOLODYMYRSKA, KYIV, 01601, UKRAINE $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ aptr@univ.kiev.ua}$