

SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS WITH COMPLEX SINGULAR POTENTIALS

VLADIMIR MIKHAIETS AND VOLODYMYR MOLYBOGA

To Myroslav Lvovych Gorbachuk on the occasion of his 75th birthday

ABSTRACT. We study one-dimensional Schrödinger operators $S(q)$ on the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with potentials q being complex-valued generalized functions from the negative space $H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. Particularly the class $H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ contains periodic and almost periodic $H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ -functions. We establish an equivalence of the various definitions of the operators $S(q)$, investigate their approximation by operators with smooth potentials from the space $L_{\text{unif}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ and prove that the spectrum of each operator $S(q)$ lies within a certain parabola.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In the complex Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we consider a Schrödinger operator

$$S(q) = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + q(x)$$

with potential q that is a complex-valued distribution from the space $H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}) \subset H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. Recall that $H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ is a dual to the space $H_{\text{comp}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ of functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ with compact support and that every $q \in H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ can be represented as Q' for $Q \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then the operator $S(q)$ can be rigorously defined, e.g., by the so-called regularization method that was used in [1] in the particular case $q(x) = 1/x$ and then developed for generic distributional potential functions in $H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ in [21, 22]; see also recent extensions to more general differential expressions in [7, 8]. Namely, the regularization method suggests to define $S(q)$ via

$$(1) \quad S(q)y = l[y] = -(y' - Qy)' - Qy'$$

on the natural maximal domain

$$(2) \quad \text{Dom}(S(q)) = \{y \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid y, y' - Qy \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}), l[y] \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\},$$

where $\text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of functions that are locally absolutely continuous. It is easy to see that $S(q)y = -y'' + qy$ in the sense of distributions and the above definition does not depend on the particular choice of the primitive $Q \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R})$.

One can also introduce the minimal operator $S_0(q)$, which is the closure of the restriction $S_{00}(q)$ of $S(q)$ onto the set of functions with compact support, i.e., onto

$$\text{Dom}(S_{00}(q)) := \{y \in L_{\text{comp}}^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid y, y' - Qy \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}), l[y] \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}.$$

In the case where the potential function q is real-valued, the operator $S_{00}(q)$ (and hence $S_0(q)$) is symmetric; moreover, in a standard manner [18] one can prove that $S(q)$ is an adjoint of $S_0(q)$. An important question preceding any further analysis of the operator

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 34L05; Secondary 34L40, 47A55.

Key words and phrases. 1-D Schrödinger operator, complex potential, distributional potential, resolvent approximation, localization of spectrum.

$S(q)$ is whether it is self-adjoint, i.e., $S(q) = S_0(q)$. The case where the potential belongs to the space $H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ was investigated in [10]. We recall [10] that any $q \in H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ can be represented (not uniquely) in the form

$$(3) \quad q = Q' + \tau,$$

where the derivative is understood in the sense of distributions and Q and τ belong to the Stepanov spaces $L_{\text{unif}}^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $L_{\text{unif}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ respectively, i.e.,

$$\|Q\|_{L_{\text{unif}}^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_t^{t+1} |Q(s)|^2 ds < \infty,$$

$$\|\tau\|_{L_{\text{unif}}^1(\mathbb{R})} := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_t^{t+1} |\tau(s)| ds < \infty.$$

Given such a representation, the operator S is defined as

$$(4) \quad S(q)y = -(y' - Qy)' - Qy' + \tau y$$

on the domain (2). This definition also does not depend on a particular choice of Q and τ above. Theorem 3.5 of the paper [10] claims that for real-valued $q \in H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ the operator $S(q)$ as defined by (4) and (2) is self-adjoint and coincides with the operator $S_{f_s}(q)$ constructed by the form-sum method. However the proof given in [10] is incomplete.

The fact that $S(q)$ is indeed self-adjoint is rigorously justified in the paper [18] for the particular case where $q \in H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ is periodic. The authors prove therein that $S_0(q)$, $S(q)$, $S_{f_s}(q)$ and the Friedrichs extension $S_F(q)$ of $S_0(q)$ all coincide. However the arguments heavily use periodicity of q and can not be applied to generic real-valued $q \in H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. This gap in the proof of Theorem 3.5 of [10] is filled in by the authors in their recent paper [11], see also [14].

This paper deals with the case when the potential $q \in H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ is complex-valued. One can easily see that in this case all the operators $S_0(q)$, $S(q)$, $S_{f_s}(q)$ and $S_F(q)$ are well-defined and are related by

$$S_0(q) \subset S_F(q) = S_{f_s}(q) \subset S(q), \quad \text{Dom}(S_F(q)) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text{Dom}(S(q)) \subset H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

The main purpose of this paper is to prove that these operators coincide and to investigate their approximation and spectral properties. Let us state the main results.

Theorem A. *For every function $q \in H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, the operators $S_0(q)$, $S(q)$, $S_{f_s}(q)$ and $S_F(q)$ are m -sectorial and coincide.*

Theorem A allows to link the known results for the Schrödinger operators in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ which are defined in different ways, see e. g. [2, 5, 9, 13, 24].

In the paper [18] the authors proved that for every real-valued 1-periodic function $q \in H_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ a sequence of *smooth* 1-periodic functions q_n exists such that the sequence of operators $S(q_n)$ converges to the operator $S(q)$ in the sense of norm resolvent convergence. It is sufficient to establish

$$\|q - q_n\|_{H^{-1}(0,1)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The following theorem generalizes this result in two directions. The potential q may be complex-valued and non-periodic.

Theorem B. *Let $q, q_n, n \geq 1$, belong to the space $H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$. Then the sequence of operators $S(q_n)$, $n \geq 1$, converges to the operator $S(q)$ in the sense of norm resolvent convergence, $R(\lambda, S) := (S - \lambda \text{Id})^{-1}$:*

$$(5) \quad \|R(\lambda, S(q)) - R(\lambda, S(q_n))\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \lambda \in \text{Resolv}(S(q)) \neq \emptyset,$$

if

$$(6) \quad q_n \xrightarrow{H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})} q, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

or, equivalently,

$$(7) \quad Q_n \xrightarrow{L_{\text{unif}}^2(\mathbb{R})} Q, \quad \tau_n \xrightarrow{L_{\text{unif}}^1(\mathbb{R})} \tau, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since the set $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{\text{unif}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in the space $H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ (see Section 3.2 below), the following corollary holds.

Corollary B.1. *For every function $q \in H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ there is a sequence of functions $q_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{\text{unif}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that the limit relation (5) is true. If the function q is real-valued, then the functions q_n can be chosen to be real-valued as well.*

In particular, if Q and τ are almost periodic Stepanov functions then Q_n and τ_n can be chosen to be trigonometrical polynomials [15, Theorem I.5.7.2]. If Q and τ are bounded and uniformly continuous on the whole real axis \mathbb{R} , then Q_n and τ_n can be chosen to be entire analytic functions [15, Theorem I.1.10.1, Remark].

The following theorem allows to describe the localization of the spectrum of the operators $S(q)$.

Theorem C. *The numerical ranges of the operators $S(q)$ (and therefore their spectra) lie within the parabola*

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} |\text{Im } \lambda| &\leq 5K (\text{Re } \lambda + 4(2K + 1)^4)^{3/4}, \\ K &= 2 \left(\|Q\|_{L_{\text{unif}}^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|\tau\|_{L_{\text{unif}}^1(\mathbb{R})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If the potential q is real-valued, then the self-adjoint operator $S(q)$ is bounded below by the number

$$m(K) = \begin{cases} -4K, & \text{if } K \in [0, 1/2), \\ -32K^4, & \text{if } K \geq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Note that if a complex-valued potential $q \in H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ is a periodic generalized function, then the spectrum of the operator $S(q)$ lies within a quadratic parabola [16, Theorem 6]. A similar result holds for certain complex-valued measures, see [24] and Section 3.3, formula (38).

Similar problems are considered in the papers [3, 4, 6, 17, 20, 23].

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section contains several statements that are used in the proof of Theorem A.

We begin with introduction of the dual operators $S_{00}^+(q)$ $S^+(q)$.

The formally adjoint quasi-differential expression l^+ for l is defined by [25]

$$v^{\{0\}} := v, \quad v^{\{1\}} := v' - \bar{Q}v, \quad v^{\{2\}} := (v^{\{1\}})' + \bar{Q}v^{\{1\}} + (\bar{Q}^2 - \bar{\tau})v,$$

$$l^+[v] := -v^{\{2\}}, \quad \text{Dom}(l^+) := \left\{ v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid v, v^{\{1\}} \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

By $\bar{\cdot}$ we denote the complex conjugation.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S^+v &\equiv S^+(q)v := l^+[v], \quad \text{Dom}(S^+) := \left\{ v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid v, v^{\{1\}} \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}), l^+[v] \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \right\}, \\ S_{00}^+v &\equiv S_{00}^+(q)v := l^+[v], \quad \text{Dom}(S_{00}^+) := \left\{ v \in \text{Dom}(S^+) \mid \text{supp } v \Subset \mathbb{R} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

One can easily see that if $\text{Im } q \equiv 0$ then the operators $S_{00}(q)$ and $S_{00}^+(q)$, $S(q)$ and $S^+(q)$ coincide.

Lemma 1. [Theorem 1, Corollary 1 [25]]. *For arbitrary functions $u \in \text{Dom}(S)$, $v \in \text{Dom}(S^+)$ and finite interval $[a, b]$ the following equality holds:*

$$(9) \quad \int_a^b l[u]\bar{v} \, dx - \int_a^b u\overline{l^+[v]} \, dx = [u, v]_a^b,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} [u, v](t) &:= u(t)\overline{v^{[1]}(t)} - u^{[1]}(t)\overline{v(t)}, \\ [u, v]_a^b &:= [u, v](b) - [u, v](a). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. *For arbitrary functions $u \in \text{Dom}(S)$ and $v \in \text{Dom}(S^+)$ the following limits exist and are finite:*

$$[u, v](-\infty) := \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} [u, v](t), \quad [u, v](\infty) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [u, v](t).$$

Proof. Let us fix the number b in the equality (9) and then pass to the limit as $a \rightarrow -\infty$. Due to the assumptions of the lemma $u, v, l[u], l^+[v] \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, the limit $[u, v](-\infty)$ exists and is finite. Similarly one can prove that the limit $[u, v](\infty)$ exists and is finite.

The Lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 3. (Generalized Lagrange identity). *For all functions $u \in \text{Dom}(S)$, $v \in \text{Dom}(S^+)$ the equality*

$$(10) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} l[u]\bar{v} \, dx - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u\overline{l^+[v]} \, dx = [u, v]_{-\infty}^{\infty},$$

$$[u, v]_{-\infty}^{\infty} := [u, v](\infty) - [u, v](-\infty).$$

holds.

Proof. The identity (10) is true due to Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. \square

In the following proposition we describe properties of minimal and maximal operators and their adjoints.

Proposition 4. *For the operators S , S_{00} and S^+ , S_{00}^+ the following statements are fulfilled.*

- 1⁰. *The operators S_{00} and S_{00}^+ are densely defined in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.*
- 2⁰. *The following relations hold:*

$$(S_{00})^* = S^+, \quad (S_{00}^+)^* = S.$$

- 3⁰. *The operators S , S^+ are closed and the operators S_{00} , S_{00}^+ are closable,*

$$S_0 := (S_{00})^\sim, \quad S_0^+ := (S_{00}^+)^\sim.$$

- 4⁰. *Domains of the operators S_0 , S_0^+ can be described in the following way:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dom}(S_0) &= \{u \in \text{Dom}(S) \mid [u, v]_{-\infty}^{\infty} = 0 \quad \forall v \in \text{Dom}(S^+)\}, \\ \text{Dom}(S_0^+) &= \{v \in \text{Dom}(S^+) \mid [u, v]_{-\infty}^{\infty} = 0 \quad \forall u \in \text{Dom}(S)\}. \end{aligned}$$

- 5⁰. *Domains of the operators S , S_0 , S_{00} and S^+ , S_0^+ , S_{00}^+ satisfy the following relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} u \in \text{Dom}(S) &\Leftrightarrow \bar{u} \in \text{Dom}(S^+), \\ u \in \text{Dom}(S_0) &\Leftrightarrow \bar{u} \in \text{Dom}(S_0^+), \\ u \in \text{Dom}(S_{00}) &\Leftrightarrow \bar{u} \in \text{Dom}(S_{00}^+). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of properties 1⁰–4⁰ in Proposition 4 is similar to the proof of similar statements for symmetric operators on semi-axis [25], see also [19]. The property 5⁰ is proved by a direct calculation.

We use the following estimates obtained in [10, Lemma 3.2] to prove the main theorems.

Lemma 5. *Let the functions $Q \in L^2_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})$, $\tau \in L^1_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ and $\forall \eta \in (0, 1]$ we have the estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} |(Q, \bar{u}'u)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| &\leq \|Q\|_{L^2_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})} \left(\varepsilon \|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + 4\varepsilon^{-3} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right), \\ |(\tau, |u|^2)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}| &\leq \|\tau\|_{L^1_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})} \left(\eta \|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + 8\eta^{-1} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

3. PROOFS

3.1. Proof of Theorem A. Consider the sesquilinear forms generated by preminimal operators $S_{00}(q)$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{t}_{S_{00}}[u, v] &:= (S_{00}(q)u, v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = (u', v')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - (Q, \bar{u}'v + \bar{u}v')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + (\tau, \bar{u}v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \\ \text{Dom}(\dot{t}_{S_{00}}) &:= \text{Dom}(S_{00}(q)). \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding quadratic forms are

$$\dot{t}_{S_{00}}[u] = (u', u')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - (Q, \bar{u}'u + \bar{u}u')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + (\tau, |u|^2)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned} t_{Q, \tau}[u, v] &:= -(Q, \bar{u}'v + \bar{u}v')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + (\tau, \bar{u}v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, & \text{Dom}(t_{Q, \tau}) &:= \text{Dom}(S_{00}(q)), \\ \dot{t}_0[u, v] &:= (u', v')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, & \text{Dom}(\dot{t}_0) &:= \text{Dom}(S_{00}(q)). \end{aligned}$$

Then, due to Lemma 5, the forms $t_{Q, \tau}$ are 0-bounded with respect to the densely defined positive form \dot{t}_0 ,

$$(11) \quad |t_{Q, \tau}[u]| \leq K\varepsilon \dot{t}_0[u] + 4K\varepsilon^{-3} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1], \quad u \in \text{Dom}(\dot{t}_0),$$

$$K := 2 \left(\|Q\|_{L^2_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})} + \|\tau\|_{L^1_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})} \right).$$

Formula (11) implies that the sesquilinear forms $\dot{t}_{S_{00}} = \dot{t}_0 + t_{Q, \tau}$ are closable, $t_{S_{00}} := (\dot{t}_{S_{00}})^\sim$:

$$t_{S_{00}}[u, v] = (u', v')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - (Q, \bar{u}'v + \bar{u}v')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + (\tau, \bar{u}v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \text{Dom}(t_{S_{00}}) = H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Forms $t_{S_{00}}$ are densely defined, closed and sectorial. Then due to the First Representation Theorem [12], to the sesquilinear forms $t_{S_{00}}$ we associate m -sectorial operators $S_F(q)$ that are the Friedrichs extensions of the operators $S_{00}(q)$.

Proposition 6. *The m -sectorial operators $S_F(q)$ are described in the following way:*

$$S_F u \equiv S_F(q)u = l[u], \quad \text{Dom}(S_F) = \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \mid u, u^{[1]} \in \text{AC}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}), l[u] \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

The proof of Proposition 6 is similar to the proof of [10, Theorem 3.5] for real-valued distributions $q \in H^{-1}_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})$.

Thus we have established that the following relations hold:

$$(12) \quad S_{00} \subset S_0 \subset S_F \subset S.$$

Passing to the adjoint operators (12) and using property 2⁰ of Proposition 4, we obtain

$$(13) \quad S_{00}^+ \subset S_0^+ \subset S_F^* \subset S^+.$$

One can easily prove that the operators S_F^* coincide with Friedrichs extensions S_F^+ of the operators S_{00}^+ .

Let us now define the operators (1) as form-sums.

Consider the sesquilinear forms generated by the distributions $q \in H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\dot{t}_q[u, v] := \langle q(x)u, v \rangle, \quad \text{Dom}(\dot{t}_q) := C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a sesquilinear form pairing the spaces of generalized functions $\mathfrak{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ and test functions $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with respect to the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Due to Lemma 5, the forms

$$\dot{t}_q[u] = \langle q(x)u, v \rangle = -(Q, \bar{u}'u + \bar{u}u')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + (\tau, |u|^2)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}),$$

satisfy the following estimates:

$$|\dot{t}_q[u]| \leq 2 \left(\|Q\|_{L^2_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})} + \|\tau\|_{L^1_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})} \right) \left(\|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + 4\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right), \quad u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

Therefore, the forms \dot{t}_q allow for a continuous extension onto the space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ [20]. The sesquilinear forms $\dot{t}_q[u, v]$ on the space $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ are represented as

$$(14) \quad t_q[u, v] = -(Q, \bar{u}'v + \bar{u}v')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + (\tau, \bar{u}v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \text{Dom}(t_q) = H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

One can easily see that the following Lemma is true applying the estimates of Lemma 5.

Lemma 7. *The sesquilinear forms t_q are 0-bounded with respect to the sesquilinear form*

$$t_0[u, v] := (u', v')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \text{Dom}(t_0) := H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus, the sesquilinear forms

$$(15) \quad t[u, v] := t_0[u, v] + t_q[u, v], \quad \text{Dom}(t) := H^1(\mathbb{R}),$$

are densely defined, closed and sectorial. According to the First Representation Theorem [12], the forms t can be associated with m -sectorial operators $S_{f_s}(q)$, which are called the *form-sums* and denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{f_s} &\equiv S_{f_s}(q) := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \dot{+} q(x), \\ \text{Dom}(S_{f_s}(q)) &:= \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \mid -u'' + q(x)u \in L^2(\mathbb{R})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the forms t coincide with the forms $t_{S_{00}}$, the form-sum operators $S_{f_s}(q)$ and the Friedrichs extensions $S_F(q)$ of operators $S_{00}(q)$ coincide, $S_F(q) = S_{f_s}(q)$.

Thus, relations (12) and (13) take the following form:

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{00} &\subset S_0 \subset S_F = S_{f_s} \subset S, \\ \text{Dom}(S_F) &\subset H^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text{Dom}(S) \subset H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{00}^+ &\subset S_0^+ \subset S_F^+ = S_{f_s}^+ = S_F^* = S_{f_s}^* \subset S^+, \\ \text{Dom}(S_F^+) &\subset H^1(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text{Dom}(S^+) \subset H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 8. *Suppose $\text{Dom}(S) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then the operators $S_0(q)$ and $S_0^+(q)$ are m -sectorial and*

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= S_F = S_{f_s} = S, \\ S_0^+ &= S_F^+ = S_{f_s}^+ = S_F^* = S_{f_s}^* = S^+. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let the assumptions of Proposition 8 be fulfilled. Then due to property 5⁰ of Proposition 4 we also have $\text{Dom}(S^+) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R})$.

For $Q \in L^2_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})$ and $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ we have $Qu \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ [10, Theorem 3.5] and therefore

$$u^{\{1\}} = u' - Qu \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad v^{\{1\}} = v' - \bar{Q}v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

So, $\forall u \in \text{Dom}(S)$ and $\forall v \in \text{Dom}(S^+)$ we obtain

$$(18) \quad [u, v](-\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} [u, v](t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \left(u(t) \overline{v^{[1]}(t)} - u^{[1]}(t) \overline{v(t)} \right) = 0,$$

$$(19) \quad [u, v](\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [u, v](t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(u(t) \overline{v^{[1]}(t)} - u^{[1]}(t) \overline{v(t)} \right) = 0.$$

Taking into account (18) and (19), property 4⁰ of Proposition 4 implies the equalities

$$S_0 = S_F = S, \quad S_0^+ = S_F^+ = S^+.$$

Proposition is proved. □

Due to Proposition 14 (see Section 3.3) the operators $S_0(q)$ are quasiaccretive,

$$\text{Re}(S_0 u, u)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \geq -4(2K+1)^4 \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2, \quad u \in \text{Dom}(S_0).$$

In what follows w.l.a.g. we assume that

$$(20) \quad \text{Re}(S_0 u, u)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \geq \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2, \quad u \in \text{Dom}(S_0).$$

Obviously, together with (20) the following is also true:

$$(21) \quad \text{Re}(S_0^+ v, v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \geq \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2, \quad v \in \text{Dom}(S_0^+).$$

Indeed, taking into account the property 5⁰ of Proposition 4 we get

$$\text{Re}(S_0^+ v, v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \overline{\text{Re}(S_0^+ v, v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}} = \text{Re}(S_0 \bar{v}, \bar{v})_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \geq \|v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \quad \forall v \in \text{Dom}(S_0^+).$$

The following lemma is used in the proof of Theorem A. It is proved by direct calculation.

Lemma 9. *Suppose $u \in \text{Dom}(S)$. Then $\forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$*

$$i) \quad \text{Im}[\varphi u] = \varphi[u] - \varphi''u - 2\varphi'u'; \quad ii) \quad \varphi u \in \text{Dom}(S_{00}).$$

Now let us prove Theorem A.

Let us prove that the operators $S_0(q)$ are quasi- m -accretive. It is sufficient to show that

$$\text{def } S_0(q) := \dim(\text{ran } S_0(q))^\perp \equiv \dim(\ker S^+(q)) = 0.$$

Let $v(x)$ be a solution of the equation

$$(22) \quad S^+(q)v = 0.$$

Let us show that $v(x) \equiv 0$.

For any real function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ due to Lemma 9 and property 5⁰ of Proposition 4 we have $\varphi v \in \text{Dom}(S_{00}^+)$. Therefore, taking into consideration that $1^+[v] = 0$ due to (22), one calculates

$$(23) \quad (\text{Im}^+ \varphi v, \varphi v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi')^2 |v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi \varphi' (v \bar{v}' - v' \bar{v}) dx.$$

Considering (20) and that

$$\text{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi \varphi' (v \bar{v}' - v' \bar{v}) dx = 0,$$

from (23) we obtain

$$(24) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi')^2 |v|^2 dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi^2 |v|^2 dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \text{Im } \varphi = 0.$$

Let us then take a sequence of functions $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that the following is true:

- i) $\varphi_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\text{Im } \varphi_n \equiv 0$;
- ii) $\text{supp } \varphi_n \subset [-n-1, n+1]$;
- iii) $\varphi_n(x) = 1$, $x \in [-n, n]$;

iv) $|\varphi'_n(x)| \leq C$.

Substituting functions φ_n into (24) we obtain

$$\int_{-n}^n |v|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_n^2 |v|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\varphi'_n)^2 |v|^2 dx \leq C^2 \int_{n \leq |x| \leq n+1} |v|^2 dx,$$

that is,

$$(25) \quad \int_{-n}^n |v|^2 dx \leq C^2 \int_{n \leq |x| \leq n+1} |v|^2 dx.$$

Taking into account that $v(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, passing in (25) to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $v(x) \equiv 0$.

Thus, the operators $S_0(q)$ are proved to be quasi- m -accretive. Due to Proposition 14 they are m -sectorial.

Therefore, by the properties of the Friedrichs extensions [12] we have

$$(26) \quad S_0(q) = S_F(q).$$

Then taking into account property 2⁰ of Proposition 4 from (26) we derive

$$S^+(q) = S_F^+(q), \quad \text{Dom}(S^+(q)) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Due to the property 5⁰ of Proposition 4 from Proposition 8 we finally get the necessary result,

$$S_0 = S_F = S_{fs} = S.$$

Theorem A is proved completely. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem B. Let us suppose that assumptions of the theorem, that is, the formula (6) (or equivalently (7)), hold. Consider the sesquilinear forms

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{t}_0[u, v] &:= (S(q)u, v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \text{Dom}(\dot{t}_0) := \text{Dom}(S(q)), \\ \dot{t}_n[u, v] &:= (S(q_n)u, v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \text{Dom}(\dot{t}_n) := \text{Dom}(S(q_n)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

The forms \dot{t}_0 and \dot{t}_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are densely defined, closable and sectorial. Their closures may be represented in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} t_0[u, v] &= (u', v')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - (Q, \bar{u}'v + \bar{u}v')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + (\tau, \bar{u}v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \text{Dom}(t_0) = H^1(\mathbb{R}), \\ t_n[u, v] &= (u', v')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - (Q_n, \bar{u}'v + \bar{u}v')_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + (\tau_n, \bar{u}v)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \text{Dom}(t_n) = H^1(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Further, applying the estimates of Lemma 5 we get

$$(27) \quad |t_n[u] - t_0[u]| \leq a_n \|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + 4a_n \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2,$$

where

$$a_n := 2 \left(\|Q - Q_n\|_{L^2_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})} + \|\tau - \tau_n\|_{L^1_{\text{unif}}(\mathbb{R})} \right),$$

and similarly to the proof of Lemma 11 (see below) we obtain

$$(28) \quad 2\text{Re } t_0[u] + 4\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \geq \|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

Formulas (27) and (28) together with (6), (7) imply

$$|t_n[u] - t_0[u]| \leq 2a_n \text{Re } t_0[u] + 8a_n \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2, \quad a_n \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

To complete the proof we only need to apply [12, Theorem VI.3.6].

Theorem B is proved completely. \square

To prove Corollary B.1 we need an auxiliary result. It is also of an independent interest.

Theorem 10. *The set*

$$(29) \quad C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{\text{unif}}^p(\mathbb{R})$$

is everywhere dense in the Stepanov space $L_{\text{unif}}^p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Proof. Set for $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R})$, $f_n := \chi_{[n, n+1]} f$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since the set $C_0^\infty(a, b)$ is dense in the space $L^p(a, b)$, there is a function sequence $g_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\text{supp } g_n \subset (n, n+1)$, such that $\|f_n - g_n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} < \varepsilon 2^{-|n|-2}$. Set $g_\varepsilon := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_n$. Then $g_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\|f - g_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} < \varepsilon$. If $f \in L_{\text{unif}}^p(\mathbb{R})$, then $g_\varepsilon \in L_{\text{unif}}^p(\mathbb{R})$, since $\|f - g_\varepsilon\|_{L_{\text{unif}}^p(\mathbb{R})} < \varepsilon$. If the function f is real-valued, then such are the functions f_n as well. Therefore, the functions g_ε may be chosen to be real-valued. \square

Theorem 10 and [10, Theorem 2.1] imply the following important statement.

Corollary 10.1. *The set*

$$C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{\text{unif}}^1(\mathbb{R})$$

is everywhere dense in the space $H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Then Corollary B.1 follows from Theorem B and Corollary 10.1.

3.3. Proof of Theorem C. Theorem C follows from Theorem 13 below regarding perturbations of a positive quadratic form. It is abstract and can be of independent interest.

Let in an abstract Hilbert space H a densely defined closed positive sesquilinear form $\alpha_0[u, v]$ with domain $\text{Dom}(\alpha_0) \subset H$ be given. Let $\beta[u, v]$ be a sesquilinear form defined on H with a domain $\text{Dom}(\beta) \supset \text{Dom}(\alpha_0)$.

Suppose the form β satisfies the following estimate:

$$(30) \quad \exists a, b, s > 0 : \quad |\beta[u]| \leq a\varepsilon\alpha_0[u] + b\varepsilon^{-s}\|u\|_H^2 \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \quad u \in \text{Dom}(\alpha_0).$$

Consider on the Hilbert space H the sum of forms α_0 and β ,

$$\alpha[u, v] := \alpha_0[u, v] + \beta[u, v], \quad \text{Dom}(\alpha) := \text{Dom}(\alpha_0).$$

A sesquilinear form α is a densely defined closed and sectorial form on the Hilbert space H . Let $\Theta(\alpha)$ be a numerical range of α ,

$$\Theta(\alpha) := \alpha[u], \quad u \in \text{Dom}(\alpha), \quad \|u\|_H = 1.$$

According to our assumptions, $\Theta(\alpha_0) \subset [0, \infty)$. Let us establish properties of the set $\Theta(\alpha)$. To do that we require the following two lemmas.

Lemma 11. *The following estimates hold:*

$$(31) \quad |\text{Im } \alpha[u]| \leq 2a\varepsilon\text{Re } \alpha[u] + 2b\varepsilon^{-s}\|u\|_H^2, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq (2a+1)^{-1}.$$

Proof. According to our assumptions we have

$$\text{Re } \alpha[u] = \alpha_0[u] + \text{Re } \beta[u], \quad \text{Im } \alpha[u] = \text{Im } \beta[u],$$

and, due to (30),

$$(32) \quad |\text{Im } \alpha[u]| \leq a\varepsilon\alpha_0[u] + b\varepsilon^{-s}\|u\|_H^2.$$

Furthermore given that $0 < \varepsilon \leq (2a+1)^{-1}$ and therefore $1 - a\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{2}$, for $\text{Re } \alpha[u]$, we have

$$\text{Re } \alpha[u] \geq \alpha_0[u] - |\text{Re } \beta[u]| \geq (1 - a\varepsilon)\alpha_0[u] - b\varepsilon^{-s}\|u\|_H^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0[u] - b\varepsilon^{-s}\|u\|_H^2,$$

and

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} 2a\varepsilon\text{Re } \alpha[u] &\geq a\varepsilon\alpha_0[u] - 2a\varepsilon \cdot b\varepsilon^{-s}\|u\|_H^2 \geq a\varepsilon\alpha_0[u] - b\varepsilon^{-s}\|u\|_H^2, \\ 2a\varepsilon\text{Re } \alpha[u] + b\varepsilon^{-s}\|u\|_H^2 &\geq a\varepsilon\alpha_0[u]. \end{aligned}$$

From (32) and (33) we receive the required estimates,

$$|\operatorname{Im} \alpha[u]| \leq 2a\varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \alpha[u] + 2b\varepsilon^{-s} \|u\|_H^2.$$

Lemma is proved. \square

We introduce the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{a,b,s,\varepsilon} &:= \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq 2a\varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \lambda + 2b\varepsilon^{-s} \}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{a,b,s} &:= \bigcap_{0 < \varepsilon \leq (2a+1)^{-1}} \mathcal{S}_{a,b,s,\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Then due to Lemma 11 we have $\Theta(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{M}_{a,b,s}$.

Lemma 12. *The set $\mathcal{M}_{a,b,s}$ can be written as*

$$\mathcal{M}_{a,b,s} = \left\{ \begin{aligned} &\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq \frac{2a}{2a+1} \operatorname{Re} \lambda + 2b(2a+1)^s \right\}, \quad \lambda_0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq \lambda_1, \\ &\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq 2(s+1)b^{1/(s+1)} \left(\frac{a}{s}\right)^{s/(s+1)} (\operatorname{Re} \lambda)^{s/(s+1)} \right\}, \quad \lambda_1 < \operatorname{Re} \lambda, \end{aligned} \right.$$

where $\lambda_0 := -\frac{b}{a}(2a+1)^{s+1}$ is the vertex of the sector

$$\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq \frac{2a}{2a+1} \operatorname{Re} \lambda + 2b(2a+1)^s \right\},$$

and $\lambda_1 := \frac{bs}{a}(2a+1)^{s+1}$.

Proof. For convenience we will describe the set $\mathcal{M}_{a,b,s}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Let

$$(34) \quad y = 2a\varepsilon x + 2b\varepsilon^{-s}$$

be the line which bounds the corresponding sector from above. Let us find the locus of points of intersection of these lines when $0 < \varepsilon < (2a+1)^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2a\varepsilon_1 x + 2b\varepsilon_1^{-s} &= 2a\varepsilon_2 x + 2b\varepsilon_2^{-s}, \\ 2a(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)x &= 2b(\varepsilon_2^{-s} - \varepsilon_1^{-s}), \\ x &= -\frac{b}{a} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_1^{-s} - \varepsilon_2^{-s}}{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}, \\ x &\xrightarrow{\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow \varepsilon_1} \frac{sb}{a} \varepsilon_1^{-s-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$y = 2a\varepsilon_1 \cdot \frac{sb}{a} \varepsilon_1^{-s-1} + 2b\varepsilon_1^{-s} = 2(s+1)b\varepsilon_1^{-s}.$$

So, for $\varepsilon = (2a+1)^{-1}$ the set $\mathcal{M}_{a,b,s}$ is bounded from above by the line

$$(35) \quad y = \frac{2a}{2a+1}x + 2b(2a+1)^s,$$

and for $0 < \varepsilon < (2a+1)^{-1}$ by the curves

$$(36) \quad \begin{cases} x = \frac{sb}{a} \varepsilon^{-s-1}, \\ y = 2(s+1)b\varepsilon^{-s}. \end{cases}$$

If we express ε in terms of x in the first equality of (36) and substitute it in the equality for y , we obtain an explicit equation for curves (36),

$$(37) \quad y = 2(s+1)b^{1/(s+1)} \left(\frac{a}{s}\right)^{s/(s+1)} x^{s/(s+1)}, \quad x > x_1, \quad x_1 := \frac{bs}{a}(2a+1)^{s+1}.$$

The set $\mathcal{M}_{a,b,s}$ is bounded from below by curves of the form (35) and (37) with $-y$ instead of y .

Thus the set $\mathcal{M}_{a,b,s}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 can be represented in the following way:

$$\mathcal{M}_{a,b,s} = \begin{cases} \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \left| |y| \leq \frac{2a}{2a+1}x + 2b(2a+1)^s \right. \right\}, & x_0 \leq x \leq x_1, \\ \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \left| |y| \leq 2(s+1)b^{1/(s+1)} \left(\frac{a}{s}\right)^{s/(s+1)} x^{s/(s+1)} \right. \right\}, & x_1 < x, \end{cases}$$

where $x_0 := -\frac{b}{a}(2a+1)^{s+1}$ is the vertex of the sector

$$\left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \left| |y| \leq \frac{2a}{2a+1}x + 2b(2a+1)^s \right. \right\},$$

and $x_1 = \frac{bs}{a}(2a+1)^{s+1}$.

Lemma is proved. \square

Lemmas 11 and 12 imply the following theorem.

Theorem 13. *The numerical range $\Theta(\alpha)$ of the sesquilinear form α is a subset of the set $\mathcal{M}_{a,b,s}$,*

$$\mathcal{M}_{a,b,s} = \begin{cases} \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \left| |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq \frac{2a}{2a+1} \operatorname{Re} \lambda + 2b(2a+1)^s \right. \right\}, & \lambda_0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq \lambda_1, \\ \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \left| |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq 2(s+1)b^{1/(s+1)} \left(\frac{a}{s}\right)^{s/(s+1)} (\operatorname{Re} \lambda)^{s/(s+1)} \right. \right\}, & \lambda_1 < \operatorname{Re} \lambda, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_0 = -\frac{b}{a}(2a+1)^{s+1}$ is the vertex of the sector

$$\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \left| |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq \frac{2a}{2a+1} \operatorname{Re} \lambda + 2b(2a+1)^s \right. \right\},$$

and $\lambda_1 = \frac{bs}{a}(2a+1)^{s+1}$.

Remark 13.1. Direct calculations show that the following inclusion is valid:

$$\mathcal{M}_{a,b,s} \subset \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \left| |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq 2(s+1)b^{1/(s+1)} \left(\frac{a}{s}\right)^{s/(s+1)} \left(\operatorname{Re} \lambda + \frac{b}{a}(2a+1)^{s+1} \right)^{s/(s+1)} \right. \right\}.$$

Theorem 13 is useful for preliminary localization of spectra of various operators.

For instance, if the potential $q \in H_{\text{unif}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ is a complex-valued regular Borel measure such that

$$q = Q', \quad Q \in \text{BV}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) : \quad |q(I)| \equiv \left| \int_I dQ \right| \leq K_0, \quad K_0 > 0,$$

for any interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ of unit length, then the forms satisfy the estimates (30) with $a = b = 4K_0$, $s = 1$ [24],

$$|t_q[u]| \equiv \left| \int_I |u|^2 dQ \right| \leq 4K_0 \varepsilon \|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + 4K_0 \varepsilon^{-1} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1], \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then due to Theorem 13 the spectra $\text{spec}(S(q))$ of the operators $S(q)$ belong to a quadratic parabola

$$(38) \quad \text{spec}(S(q)) \subset \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \left| |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq 16K_0 (\operatorname{Re} \lambda + (8K_0 + 1)^2)^{1/2} \right. \right\},$$

compare with [24, Proposition 2.3].

Applying Theorem 13 and estimates (11) we obtain a description of the numerical ranges of the preminimal operators $S_{00}(q)$ and $S_{00}^+(q)$.

Proposition 14. *The operators $S_{00}(q)$ and $S_{00}^+(q)$ are sectorial: for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ the numerical ranges $\Theta(S_{00}(q))$ and $\Theta(S_{00}^+(q))$ are located within the sector*

$$\mathcal{S}_{K,\varepsilon} := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq 2K\varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \lambda + 8K\varepsilon^{-3} \}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq (2K + 1)^{-1}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\Theta(S_{00}(q)) \subset \mathcal{M}_K, \quad \Theta(S_{00}^+(q)) \subset \mathcal{M}_K,$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_K := \begin{cases} \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq \frac{2K}{2K+1} \operatorname{Re} \lambda + 8K(2K+1)^3 \right\}, & \lambda_0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq \lambda_1 \\ \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq \frac{32}{12^{3/4}} K (\operatorname{Re} \lambda)^{3/4} \right\}, & \lambda_1 < \operatorname{Re} \lambda, \end{cases}$$

with $\lambda_0 := -4(2K+1)^4$ and $\lambda_1 := 12(2K+1)^4$.

Estimates (8) result from Proposition 14 and Remark 13.1.

Now let $\operatorname{Im} q \equiv 0$. We estimate the lower bound of the operator $S(q)$. From (11) for $K\varepsilon \leq 1/2$ we get

$$(39) \quad (S(q)u, u)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + t_{Q,\tau}[u] \geq \|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - K\varepsilon \|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - 4K\varepsilon^{-3} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ = (1 - K\varepsilon) \|u'\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} - 4K\varepsilon^{-3} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \geq -4K\varepsilon^{-3} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2.$$

The estimates (39) with $\varepsilon := \min\{1, (2K)^{-1}\}$ give us the required result

$$(S(q)u, u)_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \geq \begin{cases} -4K \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2, & \text{if } K < 1/2, \\ -32K^4 \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2, & \text{if } K \geq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Thus Theorem C is proved completely. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors were partially supported by the grant no. 01/01-12 of National Academy of Science of Ukraine (under the joint Ukrainian–Russian project of NAS of Ukraine and Russian Foundation of Basic Research).

REFERENCES

1. F. Atkinson, W. Everitt, A. Zettl, *Regularization of a Sturm–Liouville problem with an interior singularity using quasi-derivatives*, Differential Integral Equations **1** (1988), no. 2, 213–221.
2. J. Brasche, *Perturbation of Schrödinger Hamiltonians by measures – selfadjointness and semiboundedness*, J. Math. Phys. **26** (1985), no. 4, 621–626.
3. J. Brasche, L. Nizhnik, *One-dimensional Schrödinger operators with general point interactions*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **19** (2013), no. 1.
4. J. Eckhardt, F. Gesztesy, R. Nichols, G. Teschl, *Weyl–Titchmarsh theory for Sturm–Liouville operators with distributional potentials*, arXiv:1208.4677 [math.SP].
5. P. Djakov, B. Mityagin, *Fourier method for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with singular periodic potentials*, Topics in Operator Theory, Vol. 2, Systems and Mathematical Physics, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **203**, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010, 195–236.
6. Yu. Golovaty, *Schrödinger operators with $\alpha\delta' + \beta\delta$ -like potentials: norm resolvent convergence and solvable models*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **18** (2012), no. 3, 243–255.
7. A. Goriunov, V. Mikhailets, *Regularization of singular Sturm–Liouville equations*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **16** (2010), no. 2, 120–130.
8. A. Goriunov, V. Mikhailets, *Regularization of two-term differential equations with singular coefficients by quasiderivatives*, Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **63** (2011), no. 9, 1190–1205. (Russian); English transl. Ukrainian Math. J. **63** (2012), no. 9, 1361–1378.
9. J. Herczyński, *On Schrödinger operators with distributional potentials*, J. Operator Theory **21** (1989), 273–295.
10. R. Hryniv, Ya. Mykytyuk, *Schrödinger operators with periodic singular potentials*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **7** (2001), no. 4, 31–42.

11. R. Hryniv, Ya. Mykytyuk, *Self-adjointness of Schrödinger operators with singular potentials*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **18** (2012), no. 2, 152–159.
12. T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
13. E. Korotyaev, *Characterization of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators with periodic distributions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (IMRN) (2003), no. 37, 2019–2031.
14. A. Kostenko, M. Malamud, *1-D Schrödinger operators with local point interactions on a discrete set*, J. Diff. Equat. **249** (2010), no. 2, 253–304.
15. B. M. Levitan, *Almost Periodic Functions*, Gos. Izdat. Tekhn-Teor. Lit., Moscow, 1953. (Russian)
16. V. Mikhailets, V. Molyboga, *The perturbation of periodic and semiperiodic operators by Schwartz distribution*, Reports of NAS of Ukraine (2006), no. 7, 26–31. (Russian)
17. V. Mikhailets, V. Molyboga, *Singularly perturbed periodic and semiperiodic differential operators*, Ukrain. Mat. Zh. **59** (2007), no. 6, 785–797; Engl. transl. Ukrainian Math. J. **59** (2007), no. 6, 858–873.
18. V. Mikhailets, V. Molyboga, *One-dimensional Schrödinger operators with singular periodic potentials*, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology **14** (2008), no. 2, 184–200.
19. M. Naimark, *Linear Differential Operators*, Nauka, Moscow, 1969. (Russian)
20. M. Neiman-zade, A. Shkalikov, *Schrödinger operators with singular potentials from the space of multipliers*, Matem. Zametki **66** (1999), no. 5, 723–733. (Russian); English transl. Math. Notes **66** (2000), no. 5–6, 599–607.
21. A. Savchuk, A. Shkalikov, *Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials*, Matem. Zametki **66** (1999), no. 6, 897–912. (Russian); English transl. Math. Notes **66** (2000), no. 5-6, 741–753.
22. A. Savchuk, A. Shkalikov, *Sturm-Liouville operators with distribution potentials*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. **64** (2003), 159–212. (Russian); English transl. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **64** (2003), 143–192.
23. K. Schmüdgen, *Unbounded Self-adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **265**, Springer, Dordrecht, 2012.
24. A. Tip, *Form perturbations of the Laplacian on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by a class of measures*, J. Math. Phys. **31** (1990), no. 2, 308–315.
25. A. Zettl, *Formally self-adjoint quasi-differential operator*, Rocky Mount. J. Math. **5** (1975), no. 3, 453–474.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF UKRAINE, 3 TERESHCHENKIVS'KA, KYIV, 01601, UKRAINE

E-mail address: mikhailets@imath.kiev.ua

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF UKRAINE, 3 TERESHCHENKIVS'KA, KYIV, 01601, UKRAINE

E-mail address: molyboga@imath.kiev.ua

Received 22/11/2012