

## ESSENTIAL APPROXIMATE POINT AND ESSENTIAL DEFECT SPECTRUM OF A SEQUENCE OF LINEAR OPERATORS IN BANACH SPACES

TOUFIK HERAIZ, AYMEN AMMAR, AND AREF JERIBI

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to an investigation of the relationship between the essential approximate point spectrum (respectively, the essential defect spectrum) of a sequence of closed linear operators  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  on a Banach space  $X$ , and the essential approximate point spectrum (respectively, the essential defect spectrum) of a linear operator  $T$  on  $X$ , where  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $T$ , in the case of convergence in generalized sense as well as in the case of the convergence compactly

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The central objective of this work is to make an extensive study about the essential approximate point spectrum, noted  $\sigma_{eap}(\cdot)$ , and the essential defect spectrum, noted  $\sigma_{ed}(\cdot)$ , of a sequence of linear operators in Banach spaces. According to the convergence sense of the sequence of operators, our work may be divided into two parts, the first is concerned with the case of a sequence of closed linear operators  $T_n$  converging in the generalized sense to a closed linear operator  $T$  (see Definition 2.5), and the second one deals with the case of a sequence of bounded linear operators  $T_n$  converging compactly to a bounded linear operator  $T$  (see Definition 2.7). The case of a sequence of bounded linear operators  $T_n$  converging in the operator norm to a bounded operator  $T$  was studied by C. Schmoeger in [10, Proposition 5].

In the first part, we study the essential approximate point spectrum and the essential defect spectrum of a sequence of closed linear operators  $T_n$  converging in the generalized sense to a closed linear operator  $T$ . Using some basic properties of the notion of convergence in the generalized sense which is extended to the case of a sequence of closable linear operators in [1, Theorem 2.3], we show that the essential approximate point spectrum of  $(T_n + B - \lambda_0)$  is included in the essential approximate point spectrum of  $(T + B - \lambda_0)$  plus an open containing 0 for a sufficiently large  $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ , where  $T_n$  converges to  $T$  in the generalized sense,  $B$ , and  $(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}$  are bounded in  $X$ . The same statement is proved for the essential defect spectrum (see Theorem 3.1), a particular case is presented in (Corollary 3.1) which is a generalization of the result obtained by C. Schmoeger in [10, Proposition 5]. In the second part, in contrast to the results due to S. Goldberg on perturbation of semi-Fredholm operators by operators converging to zero compactly (see [2]), and the characterization of the essential approximate point spectrum and the essential defect spectrum by means of semi-Fredholm operators (see Proposition 2.1), we examine a relationship between the essential approximate point spectrum of a sequence of linear operators  $T_n$  and the essential approximate point spectrum of an operator  $T$  such that  $T_n$  converges to  $T$  compactly, and the same statement is obtained for the essential defect spectrum, (see Theorem 3.2).

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B05, 47A53, 39B42.

*Key words and phrases.* Essential approximate point spectrum, essential defect spectrum, convergence in the generalized sense, convergence to zero compactly.

In order to introduce our results, we organize our paper as follows. The next section is preliminary, where we review some of the concepts and properties that concern us in our study. In Section 3 we present our main results to investigate the essential approximate point spectrum and the essential defect spectrum of a sequence of linear operators  $T_n$  on Banach space  $X$ , where  $T_n$  converges in the generalized sense, and the same when  $T_n$  converges compactly.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section we gather some notations and results of each of convergence in generalized sense and the convergence compactly, that we need to prove our results later. In order to present our results, we need to fix some notation and assumptions. Let  $X$  be Banach space. By an operator  $T$  on  $X$  we mean a linear operator with domain  $\mathcal{D}(T) \subset X$ , and a range  $R(T) \subset X$ .  $N(T)$  denote the null space of  $T$ , the graph of  $T$  is the set defined by  $G(T) := \{(x, Tx) \in X \times X, \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{D}(T)\}$ .  $T$  is said to be closed if its graph  $G(T)$  is closed in the product space  $X \times X$ .  $T$  is said to be compact if, for every  $M$  a bounded subset of  $\mathcal{D}(T)$ ,  $T(M) \subset R(T)$  is relatively compact, so that  $\overline{T(M)}$  is compact. We denote by  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  the set of all closed, densely defined linear operators on  $X$ , and let  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  (respectively,  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ ) denote the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators (respectively, the ideal of all compact operators) on  $X$ . The nullity,  $\alpha(T)$ , of  $T$  is defined as the dimension of  $N(T)$  and the deficiency,  $\beta(T)$ , of  $T$  is defined as the codimension of  $R(T)$  in  $X$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ , we let  $\sigma(T)$ ,  $\rho(T)$  respectively the spectrum, and the resolvent set of  $T$ . The reduced minimum modulus  $\gamma(T)$  of  $T$  is defined by  $\gamma(T) := \inf \left\{ \|Tx\| : \text{dist}(x, N(T)) = 1, x \in \mathcal{D}(T) \right\}$ , we set  $\gamma(T) = \infty$  if  $T = 0$ . A useful classes of linear operators which have extensive application in spectrum theory are those of:

The set of upper semi-Fredholm operators on  $X$  is defined by

$$\Phi_+(X) := \left\{ T \in \mathcal{C}(X) : \alpha(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T) \text{ is closed in } X \right\}.$$

The set of lower semi-Fredholm operators on  $X$  is defined by

$$\Phi_-(X) := \left\{ T \in \mathcal{C}(X) : \beta(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T) \text{ is closed in } X \right\}.$$

The set of semi-Fredholm operators on  $X$  is defined by

$$\Phi_{\pm}(X) := \Phi_+(X) \cup \Phi_-(X).$$

The set of Fredholm operators on  $X$  is defined by

$$\Phi(X) := \Phi_+(X) \cap \Phi_-(X).$$

For  $T \in \Phi_{\pm}(X)$ , the number  $i(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$  is called the index of  $T$ .

Let  $\Phi^b(X)$ ,  $\Phi_+^b(X)$  and  $\Phi_-^b(X)$  denote the set  $\Phi(X) \cap \mathcal{L}(X)$ ,  $\Phi_+(X) \cap \mathcal{L}(X)$  and  $\Phi_-(X) \cap \mathcal{L}(X)$ , respectively.

Moreover, the set of Fredholm perturbations on  $X$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(X) := \left\{ F \in \mathcal{L}(X) : T + F \in \Phi(X); \text{ whenever } T \in \Phi(X) \right\},$$

the set of lower semi-Fredholm perturbations on  $X$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_-(X) := \left\{ F \in \mathcal{L}(X) : T + F \in \Phi_-(X); \text{ whenever } T \in \Phi_-(X) \right\},$$

and the set of upper semi-Fredholm perturbations on  $X$  is given by

$$\mathcal{F}_+(X) := \left\{ F \in \mathcal{L}(X) : T + F \in \Phi_+(X); \text{ whenever } T \in \Phi_+(X) \right\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}^b(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_+^b(X)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_-^b(X)$  denote the set  $\mathcal{F}(X) \cap \mathcal{L}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_+(X) \cap \mathcal{L}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_-(X) \cap \mathcal{L}(X)$ , respectively.

Let us now recall definitions and notions of concepts which we are interested throughout this study.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be Banach space. For each  $T \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ . We define

(i) the Weyl essential spectrum of the operator  $T$  by

$$\sigma_w(T) := \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}(X)} \sigma(A + K),$$

(ii) the essential approximate point spectrum of the operator  $T$  by

$$\sigma_{eap}(T) := \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}(X)} \sigma_{ap}(T + K),$$

where  $\sigma_{ap}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \inf_{\|x\|=1, x \in \mathcal{D}(T)} \|\lambda - Tx\| = 0\}$ ,

(iii) the essential defect spectrum of the operator  $T$  by

$$\sigma_{e\delta}(T) := \bigcap_{K \in \mathcal{K}(X)} \sigma_{\delta}(T + K),$$

where  $\sigma_{\delta}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \text{ is not surjective}\}$ .

It's clear that, for  $T \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ , it holds  $\sigma_w(T) := \sigma_{eap}(T) \cup \sigma_{e\delta}(T)$ . The essential approximate point spectrum was introduced by V. Rakočević in [8], and the essential defect spectrum was introduced by C. Schmoeger in [10].

A characterization of the essential approximate point spectrum, and the essential defect spectrum by means of upper and lower semi-Fredholm operators is given by the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1.** [5, Proposition 3.1] *Let  $T \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ , then*

(i)  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{eap}(T)$  if, and only if,  $\lambda - T \in \Phi_+(X)$  and  $i(\lambda - T) \leq 0$ .

(ii)  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{e\delta}(T)$  if, and only if,  $\lambda - T \in \Phi_-(X)$  and  $i(\lambda - T) \geq 0$ .

**2.1. The convergence in the generalized sense.** While the distance between two bounded linear operators can be defined as the norm of their difference, the distance between two unbounded linear operators has to be measured in a different ways. One possibility is to use the gap between their graphs, which leads to the notion of convergence in the generalized sense, which essentially represents the convergence between their graphs. This concept of convergence can be found in the literature (see [7]).

**Definition 2.2.** The gap between two linear subspaces  $M$  and  $N$  of a normed space  $X$  is defined by

$$\widehat{\delta}(M, N) := \max \{ \delta(M, N), \delta(N, M) \},$$

where

$$\delta(M, N) := \begin{cases} \sup_{\|x\|=1} \text{dist}(x, N), & \text{if } M \neq \{0\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From the Definition 2.2, it follows that  $\delta(M, N) = 0$  if, and only if,  $\overline{M} \subset \overline{N}$ . The set of all closed linear subspaces of  $X$  equipped with the distance  $\widehat{\delta}(\cdot, \cdot)$  forms a metric space, and a sequence of closed linear subspaces  $M_n$  converges to  $M$  if  $\widehat{\delta}(M_n, M) \rightarrow 0$ . The gap between two closed subspaces was introduced in Hilbert space by M. G. Krein and

M. A. Krasnoselski in [3]. This notion was later extended to arbitrary Banach spaces in a paper by M. G. Krein, M. A. Krasnoselski, and D. P. Milman in [4].

If  $T, S \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ , their graphs  $G(T), G(S)$  are closed linear subspaces in the product space  $X \times X$ . Thus the distance between  $T$  and  $S$  can be measured by the "gap" between the closed linear subspaces  $G(T), G(S)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space, and let  $T, S$  be two closed linear operators on  $X$ . Let us define

$$\delta(T, S) = \delta(G(T), G(S)) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\delta}(T, S) = \widehat{\delta}(G(T), G(S)).$$

$\widehat{\delta}(T, S)$  is called the gap between  $S$  and  $T$ .

Now, let us study some basic properties of the convergence in the generalized sense.

**Theorem 2.1.** [7, Chapter IV Section 2] *Let  $T$  and  $S$  be two closed densely defined linear operators. Then, we have*

(i) *If  $S$  and  $T$  are one-to-one, then  $\delta(S, T) = \delta(S^{-1}, T^{-1})$  and  $\widehat{\delta}(S, T) = \widehat{\delta}(S^{-1}, T^{-1})$ .*

(ii) *Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . Then  $\widehat{\delta}(A + S, A + T) \leq 2(1 + \|A\|^2)\widehat{\delta}(S, T)$ .*

(iii) *Let  $T$  be Fredholm operator (respectively semi-Fredholm operator). If  $\widehat{\delta}(T, S) < \gamma(T)(1 + [\gamma(T)]^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , then  $S$  is Fredholm operator (respectively semi-Fredholm operator),  $\alpha(S) \leq \alpha(T)$  and  $\beta(S) \leq \beta(T)$ . Furthermore, there exists  $b > 0$  such that  $\widehat{\delta}(T, S) < b$ , which implies  $i(S) = i(T)$ .*

(iv) *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . If  $S \in \mathcal{C}(X)$  and  $\widehat{\delta}(T, S) \leq [1 + \|T\|^2]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , then  $S$  is bounded operator (so that  $\mathcal{D}(S)$  is closed).*

A complete discussion of all the above definitions and properties may be found in T. Kato [7]. For the case of closable linear operators, the authors A. Ammar and A. Jeribi have introduced in [1] the following definitions and results.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two closable operators. We define the gap between  $T$  and  $S$  by  $\delta(T, S) = \delta(\overline{T}, \overline{S})$  and  $\widehat{\delta}(T, S) = \widehat{\delta}(\overline{T}, \overline{S})$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of closable linear operators on  $X$  and let  $T$  be a closable linear operator on  $X$ .  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be convergent in the generalized sense to  $T$ , written  $T_n \xrightarrow{g} T$ , if  $\widehat{\delta}(T_n, T)$  converges to 0 when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

It should be remarked that the notion of generalized convergence introduced above for closed and closable operators can be thought as a generalization of convergence in norm for linear operators that may be unbounded. Moreover, an important passageway between these two notions is developed in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** [1, Theorem 2.3] *Let  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of closable linear operators on  $X$  and let  $T$  be a closable linear operator on  $X$ .*

(i)  *$T_n \xrightarrow{g} T$ , if, and only if,  $T_n + S \xrightarrow{g} T + S$ , for all  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ .*

(ii) *Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ .  $T_n \xrightarrow{g} T$  if, and only if,  $T_n \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  for sufficiently larger  $n$  and  $T_n$  converges to  $T$ .*

(iii) *Let  $T_n \xrightarrow{g} T$ . Then,  $T^{-1}$  exists and  $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(Y)$ , if, and only if,  $T_n^{-1}$  exists and  $T_n^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  for sufficiently large  $n$  and  $T_n^{-1}$  converges to  $T^{-1}$ .*

2.2. The convergence compactly.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of bounded linear operators mapping on  $X$ ,  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be convergent to zero compactly, written  $T_n \xrightarrow{c} 0$ , if for all  $x \in X$ ,  $T_n x \rightarrow 0$  and  $(T_n x_n)_n$  is relatively compact for every bounded sequence  $(x_n)_n \subset X$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** [2, Theorem 4] *Let  $K_n$  be a sequence of bounded linear operators such that  $K_n \xrightarrow{c} 0$ , and let  $T$  be a closed linear operator. If  $T$  is a semi-Fredholm operator, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,*

- (i)  $(T + K_n)$  is semi-Fredholm,
- (ii)  $\alpha(T + K_n) < \alpha(T)$ ,
- (iii)  $\beta(T + K_n) < \beta(T)$ , and
- (iv)  $i(T + K_n) = i(T)$ .

Inspired by the notion of convergence to zero compactly, we examine the following definition.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of bounded linear operators mapping on  $X$  and let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ ,  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be converge to  $T$  compactly, written  $T_n \xrightarrow{c} T$  if, and only if,  $T_n - T$  converges to zero compactly.

**Proposition 2.2.** [1, Proposition 3.1] *Let  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of bounded linear operators which converges compactly to a bounded operator  $T$ . Then*

- (i) if  $T_n \in \mathcal{F}^b(X)$ , then  $T \in \mathcal{F}^b(X)$ ,
- (ii) if  $T_n \in \mathcal{F}_+^b(X)$ , then  $T \in \mathcal{F}_+^b(X)$ , and
- (iii) if  $T_n \in \mathcal{F}_-^b(X)$ , then  $T \in \mathcal{F}_-^b(X)$ .

e

3. MAIN RESULTS

The first main result is embodied in the following theorem, when we discuss and study the essential approximate point spectrum, and the essential defect spectrum of a sequence of closed linear operators perturbed by a bounded operator, and converges in the generalized sense to a closed linear operator in a Banach space.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be Banach space, Let  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of closed linear operators converges in the generalized sense in  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  to a closed linear operator  $T$ , and let  $B$  a bounded linear operator mapping on  $X$  such that  $\rho(T + B) \neq \emptyset$ . For  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(T + B)$ , we have*

(i) *If  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$  is open and  $0 \in \mathcal{U}$ , then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n \geq n_0$ , we have*

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma_{eap}(T_n + B - \lambda_0) \subseteq \sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0) + \mathcal{U}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma_{e\delta}(T_n + B - \lambda_0) \subseteq \sigma_{e\delta}(T + B - \lambda_0) + \mathcal{U}.$$

(ii) *If  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$  is open and  $0 \in \mathcal{U}$ , then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that, for all  $S \in B(X)$ , and  $\|S\| < \varepsilon$ , we have*

$$\sigma_{eap}(T_n + B + S - \lambda_0) \subseteq \sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0) + \mathcal{U}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0$$

and

$$\sigma_{e\delta}(T_n + B + S - \lambda_0) \subseteq \sigma_{e\delta}(T + B - \lambda_0) + \mathcal{U}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

*Proof.* For (i), before proof, we make some preliminary observations. Since  $T_n \xrightarrow{g} T$ , then by Theorem 2.2 (i),  $(T_n + B - \lambda_0) \xrightarrow{g} (T + B - \lambda_0)$ , furthermore, we have  $(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , which implies according to Theorem 2.2 (iii), that  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(T_n + B)$  for a sufficiently large  $n$  and  $(T_n + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}$  converges to  $(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}$ . We recall that the essential approximate point spectrum of a bounded linear operator is compact, but this property is not valid for the case of unbounded operators, for this reason, using the compactness of  $\sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}$  because  $(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}$  is bounded, as a first step, we will prove the existence of  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ , we have

$$(3.3) \quad \sigma_{eap}(T_n + B - \lambda_0)^{-1} \subseteq \sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1} + \mathcal{U}.$$

The proof by contradiction. Suppose that (3.3) does not hold. Then, by studying a subsequence (if necessary) we may assume that, for each  $n$  there exists  $\lambda_n \in \sigma_{eap}(T_n + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}$  such that  $\lambda_n \notin \sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1} + \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $(\lambda_n)$  is bounded, we may assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_n = \lambda$ , which implies that  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1} + \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $0 \in \mathcal{U}$  then we have  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}$ . Therefore  $\lambda - (T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1} \in \Phi_+^b(X)$  and  $i(\lambda - (T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}) \leq 0$ . As  $(\lambda_n - (T_n + B - \lambda_0)^{-1})$  converges to  $(\lambda - (T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1})$ , we deduce that

$$\widehat{\delta}(\lambda_n - (T_n + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}, \lambda - (T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $\delta = \gamma(\lambda - (T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}) > 0$ , then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $n \geq n_0$  we have  $\widehat{\delta}(\lambda_n - (T_n + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}, \lambda - (T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}) \leq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{1+\delta^2}}$ . By using Theorem 2.1 (iv) we infer that  $\lambda_n - (T_n + B - \lambda_0)^{-1} \in \Phi_+(X)$ . Furthermore, there exists  $b > 0$  such that

$$\widehat{\delta}(\lambda_n - (T_n + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}, \lambda - (T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}) < b,$$

which implies  $i(\lambda_n - (T_n + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}) = i(\lambda - (T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}) \leq 0$ . Then we obtain  $\lambda_n \notin \sigma_{eap}((T_n + B - \lambda_0)^{-1})$ , which is a contradiction. Hence (3.3) holds. Now, take  $\gamma_n \in \sigma_{eap}(T_n + B - \lambda_0)$  such that  $\gamma_n \notin \sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0) + \mathcal{U}$ . From  $\sigma_{eap}$  is upper semi continuous at  $(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}$ , (see[8, 9]), there exists  $k > 0$  such that  $k^{-1} \leq |\gamma_n - \lambda_0|^{-1}$ , so  $(\gamma_n)$  is bounded. Therefore it can be assumed that  $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma$ . Then  $\gamma \notin \sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0) + \mathcal{U}$  and hence  $\gamma \notin \sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0)$ . This implies that  $(\gamma)^{-1} \notin \sigma_{eap}((T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1})$ .

We set  $\lambda_n = (\gamma_n)^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda = (\gamma)^{-1}$ . Then by using (3.3), there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ ,  $\lambda_n \notin \sigma_{eap}((T_n + B - \lambda_0)^{-1})$ , which implies  $\gamma_n \notin \sigma_{eap}((T_n + B - \lambda_0))$ , is a contradiction.

(ii) Since  $S$  is bounded, it's clear by using Theorem 2.2 (i), that the operators sequence  $A_n = (T_n + B + S - \lambda_0)$  converges in the generalized sense to the operator  $A = (T + B + S - \lambda_0)$ , then we need, for applying (i), to prove that  $\rho(T + B) \subset \rho(T + B + S)$ . Let  $\lambda_0 \in \rho(T + B)$ , for  $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  such that  $\|S\| < \frac{1}{\|(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}\|} = \varepsilon_1$ , we have  $\|S(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}\| < 1$ , which gives that  $(I + S(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1})^{-1}$  exists and bounded, when the existence is given by the convergence of the Neumann series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-S(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1})^k$ , and the boundedness is immediately from the inequality

$$\|(I + S(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1})^{-1}\| < \frac{1}{1 - \|S\| \|(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1}\|},$$

which implies that the operator

$$((T + B - \lambda_0) + S)^{-1} = (T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1} (I + S(T + B - \lambda_0)^{-1})^{-1}$$

exists and bounded, then  $0 \in \rho(T + B + S - \lambda_0)$ . Now applying (i) to  $A_n$  and  $A$ , we deduce that there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\sigma_{eap}(T_n + B + S - \lambda_0) \subseteq \sigma_{eap}(T + B + S - \lambda_0) + \mathcal{U}$ , for all  $n \geq n_0$ , and  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$  is an open containing 0, we will prove that

$\sigma_{eap}(T + B + S - \lambda_0) \subseteq \sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0)$ , by contradiction. Let  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0)$ , then  $(\lambda - (T + B - \lambda_0)) \in \Phi_+(X)$  and  $i(\lambda - (T + B - \lambda_0)) \leq 0$ .

From [7, Chapter IV. Theorem 5.22, p. 236], we deduce that there exists  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  such that for  $\|S\| < \varepsilon_2$ , one has  $(\lambda - (T + B + S - \lambda_0)) \in \phi_+(X)$  and  $i(\lambda - (T + B + S - \lambda_0)) = i(\lambda - (T + B - \lambda_0)) \leq 0$ . This implies that  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{eap}(T + B + S - \lambda_0)$ . Then by transitivity

$$\sigma_{eap}(T_n + B + S - \lambda_0) \subseteq \sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0).$$

From what has been mentioned and if we take  $\varepsilon = \min(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ , then for all  $\|S\| < \varepsilon$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sigma_{eap}(T_n + B + S - \lambda_0) \subseteq \sigma_{eap}(T + B - \lambda_0) + \mathcal{U}$ , for all  $n \geq n_0$ .  $\square$

As a straightforward consequence of Theorem 3.1 we can easily obtain the following result.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of closed linear operators and  $T$  a closed linear operator such that  $(T_n) \xrightarrow{g} T$ , we suppose that  $0 \in \rho(T)$  then*

(i) *If  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{C}$  is open and  $0 \in \mathcal{U}$ , then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n \geq n_0$ , we have*

$$(3.4) \quad \sigma_{eap}(T_n) \subseteq \sigma_{eap}(T) + \mathcal{U}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \sigma_{e\delta}(T_n) \subseteq \sigma_{e\delta}(T) + \mathcal{U}.$$

In the next theorem we discuss the essential approximate point spectrum and the essential defect spectrum of a sequence of linear operators converges compactly.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  and let  $T$  be a bounded linear operator on  $X$ .*

(i) *If  $T_n$  converges to  $T$  compactly,  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is open and  $0 \in \mathcal{U}$ , then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for every  $n \geq n_0$*

$$(3.6) \quad \sigma_{eap}(T_n) \subseteq \sigma_{eap}(T) + \mathcal{U}$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \sigma_{e\delta}(T_n) \subseteq \sigma_{e\delta}(T) + \mathcal{U}.$$

(ii) *If  $T_n$  converges to zero compactly then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for every  $n \geq n_0$*

$$(3.8) \quad \sigma_{eap}(T + T_n) \subseteq \sigma_{eap}(T)$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \sigma_{e\delta}(T + T_n) \subseteq \sigma_{e\delta}(T).$$

*Proof.* (i) The proof by contradiction. Assume that the inclusion is fails. Then by passing to a subsequence (if necessary) it may be assumed that, for each  $n$ , there exists  $\lambda_n \in \sigma_{eap}(T_n)$  such that  $\lambda_n \notin \sigma_{eap}(T) + \mathcal{U}$ , since  $\lambda_n$  is bounded, we suppose (if necessary pass to a subsequence) that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda_n = \lambda$ , which implies that  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{eap}(T) + \mathcal{U}$ . Using the fact that  $0 \in \mathcal{U}$ , we have  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{eap}(T)$ . Therefore  $(\lambda - T) \in \Phi_+(X)$ , and  $i(\lambda - T) \leq 0$ . As  $(\lambda_n - T_n) - (\lambda - T) \xrightarrow{c} 0$ , which implies by Theorem 2.3 (i) and (iv) that  $(\lambda_n - T_n) \in \Phi_+(X)$ , and  $i(\lambda_n - T_n) = i(\lambda - T) \leq 0$ , then  $\lambda_n \notin \sigma_{eap}(T_n)$ , which is a contradiction, hence the inclusion (3.6) holds. The statement for the essential defect spectrum can be proved similarly.

(ii) We have  $T$  is bounded, and  $T_n \xrightarrow{c} 0$ , then for  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{eap}(T)$ ,  $\lambda - T \in \Phi_+^b(X)$ , and  $i(\lambda - T) \leq 0$ . Since  $T_n \xrightarrow{c} 0$ , then if we apply Theorem 2.3 (i) and (iv) we obtain that

there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that for all  $n > n_0$ ,  $(\lambda - T) - T_n = (\lambda - (T + T_n)) \in \Phi_+(X)$ , and  $i(\lambda - T) = i(\lambda - (T + T_n)) \leq 0$ , which implies that  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\text{eap}}(T_n)$ , then the inclusion (3.8) is valid. For the inclusion (3.9) the proof is similarly.  $\square$

From the above result we deduce the following consequence concerned on a sequence of closed linear operators

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $T$  be a closed linear operator and let  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of closed linear operators on  $X$ , such that  $\rho(T_n) \cap \rho(T) \neq \emptyset$ , let  $\eta \in \rho(T_n) \cap \rho(T)$ , if  $(T_n - \eta)^{-1} - (T - \eta)^{-1}$  converges to zero compactly then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $n \geq n_0$*

$$\sigma_{\text{eap}}(T_n - \eta) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{eap}}(T - \eta),$$

and

$$\sigma_{\text{e}\delta}(T_n - \eta) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{e}\delta}(T - \eta).$$

*Proof.* If we put  $K_n = (T_n - \eta)^{-1} - (T - \eta)^{-1}$ , we have  $K_n \xrightarrow{c} 0$ , and  $(T_n - \eta)^{-1} = (T - \eta)^{-1} + K_n$ , by using the inclusions (3.8) and (3.9) respectively, we infer that  $\sigma_{\text{eap}}((T_n - \eta)^{-1}) = \sigma_{\text{eap}}((T - \eta)^{-1} + K_n) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{eap}}((T - \eta)^{-1})$ , and  $\sigma_{\text{e}\delta}((T_n - \eta)^{-1}) = \sigma_{\text{e}\delta}((T - \eta)^{-1} + K_n) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{e}\delta}((T - \eta)^{-1})$ . Then  $\sigma_{\text{eap}}(T_n - \eta) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{eap}}(T - \eta)$ , and  $\sigma_{\text{e}\delta}(T_n - \eta) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{e}\delta}(T - \eta)$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Ammar and A. Jeribi, *The Weyl essential spectrum of a sequence of linear operators in Banach spaces* Indag. Math. (N.S.) **27** (2016), no. 1, 282–295 .
2. S. Goldberg, *Perturbations of semi-Fredholm operators by operators converging to zero compactly*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1974), 93–98 .
3. M. G. Krein and M. A. Krasnoselski, *Basic Theorems concerning the extension of Hermitian operators and some of their applications to the theory of orthogonal polynomials and the moment problem*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **2** (1947), no. 3, 60–106. (Russian)
4. M. G. Krein, M. A. Krasnoselski, and D. P. Milman, *On the defect numbers of linear operators in Banach space and on some geometric questions*, Sbornik Trudov Inst. Mat. AN Ukrainian SSR **11** (1948), 97–112. (Russian)
5. A. Jeribi and N. Moalla, *A characterisation of some subsets of Schechter's essential spectrum and applications to singular transport equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **358** (2009), no. 2, 434–444.
6. A. Jeribi, *Spectral Theory and Applications of Linear Operators and Block Operator Matrices*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 2015.
7. T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, Second edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 132. Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New-York, 1980.
8. V. Rakočević, *On one subset of M. Schechter's essential spectrum*, Mat. Vesnik 5 (18) (33) (1981), no. 4, 389–391.
9. V. Rakočević, *On the essential approximate point spectrum II*, Mat. Vesnik 36 (1984), 89–97.
10. C. Schmoeger, *The spectral mapping theorem for the essential approximate point spectrum*, Colloq. Math. **74** (1997), no. 2, 167–176.
11. M. Schechter, *Principles of Functional Analysis*, Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 36, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MOHAMMED BOUDIAF OF M'SILA, M'SILA, ALGERIA  
E-mail address: toufik.heraiz@univ-msila.dz

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SFAX, FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF SFAX, SOUKRA ROAD Km 3.5, B. P. 1171, 3000, SFAX  
E-mail address: ammar\_aymen84@yahoo.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SFAX, FACULTY OF SCIENCES OF SFAX, SOUKRA ROAD Km 3.5, B. P. 1171, 3000, SFAX  
E-mail address: Aref.Jeribi@fss.rnu.tn

Received 20/04/2018; Revised 03/09/2019