

## REPRESENTATIONS OF THE ORLICZ FIGÀ-TALAMANCA HERZ ALGEBRAS AND SPECTRAL SUBSPACES

RATTAN LAL AND N. SHRAVAN KUMAR

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. In this note, we characterise non-degenerate  $*$ -representations of  $A_\Phi(G)$  and  $B_\Phi(G)$ . We also study spectral subspaces associated to a non-degenerate Banach space representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. It is well known that there is a one to one correspondence between the unitary representations of  $G$  and the non-degenerate  $*$ -representations of  $L^1(G)$  [5, p. 73]. Similarly, if  $X$  is any locally compact Hausdorff space, then there is a one to one correspondence between the cyclic  $*$ -representations of  $C_0(X)$  and positive bounded Borel measures on  $X$  [8, p. 486]. The corresponding result for the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  of a locally compact group is due to Lau and Losert [10]. For more on the Fourier algebra see [4, 9]. Recently, Guex [11] extended the result of Lau and Losert to Figà-Talamanca Herz algebras. We refer the readers to [2] for more on Figà-Talamanca Herz algebras.

In [14], the authors have introduced and studied the  $L^\Phi$ -versions of the Figà-Talamanca Herz algebras. Here  $L^\Phi$  denotes the Orlicz space corresponding to the Young function  $\Phi$ . The space  $A_\Phi(G)$  is defined as the space of all continuous functions  $u$ , where  $u$  is of the form

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n * \check{g}_n,$$

where  $f_n \in L^\Phi(G)$ ,  $g_n \in L^\Psi(G)$ ,  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  is a pair of complementary Young functions satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_\Phi(f_n) \|g_n\|_\Psi < \infty.$$

It is shown in [14] that  $A_\Phi(G)$  is a regular, tauberian, semisimple commutative Banach algebra with the Gelfand spectrum homeomorphic to  $G$ .

This paper has the modest aim of characterising the non-degenerate  $*$ -representations of  $A_\Phi(G)$  in the spirit of [10]. This characterisation is given in Corollary 3.4. In Section 4, we show that any non-degenerate  $*$ -representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$  can be extended uniquely to a non-degenerate  $*$ -representation of  $B_\Phi(G)$ . In Section 5, we provide an application to ergodic sequences.

Godement in his fundamental paper [6] on Wiener Tauberian theorems studied spectral subspaces associated to a certain Banach space representations. This result was extended to the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  by Parthasarathy and Prakash [12]. In Section 6, we also study spectral subspaces of  $A_\Phi(G)$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 43A15, 46J25; Secondary 22D99.

*Key words and phrases*. Orlicz Figà-Talamanca Herz algebra, representation, spectral subspaces.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a convex function. Then  $\Phi$  is called a Young function if it is symmetric and satisfies  $\Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x) = +\infty$ . If  $\Phi$  is any Young function, then define  $\Psi$  as

$$\Psi(y) := \sup \{x|y| - \Phi(x) : x \geq 0\}, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then  $\Psi$  is also a Young function and is termed as the complementary function to  $\Phi$ . Further, the pair  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  is called a complementary pair of Young functions.

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group with a left Haar measure  $dx$ . We say that a Young function  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, denoted  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ , if there exists a constant  $K > 0$  and  $x_0 > 0$  such that  $\Phi(2x) \leq K\Phi(x)$  whenever  $x \geq x_0$  if  $G$  is compact and the same inequality holds with  $x_0 = 0$  if  $G$  is non compact.

The Orlicz space, denoted  $L^\Phi(G)$ , is a vector space consisting of measurable functions, defined as

$$L^\Phi(G) = \left\{ f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is measurable and } \int_G \Phi(\beta|f|) dx < \infty \text{ for some } \beta > 0 \right\}$$

The Orlicz space  $L^\Phi(G)$  is a Banach space when equipped with the norm

$$N_\Phi(f) = \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_G \Phi \left( \frac{|f|}{k} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The above norm is called as the Luxemburg norm or Gauge norm. If  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  is a complementary Young pair, then there is a norm on  $L^\Phi(G)$ , equivalent to the Luxemburg norm, given by,

$$\|f\|_\Phi = \sup \left\{ \int_G |fg| dx : \int_G \Psi(|g|) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

This norm is called as the Orlicz norm.

Let  $C_c(G)$  denote the space of all continuous functions on  $G$  with compact support. If a Young function  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, then  $C_c(G)$  is dense in  $L^\Phi(G)$ . Further, if the complementary function  $\Psi$  is such that  $\Psi$  is continuous and  $\Psi(x) = 0$  iff  $x = 0$ , then the dual of  $(L^\Phi(G), N_\Phi(\cdot))$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $(L^\Psi(G), \|\cdot\|_\Psi)$ . In particular, if both  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, then  $L^\Phi(G)$  is reflexive.

For more details on Orlicz spaces, we refer the readers to [13].

Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  be a pair of complementary Young functions satisfying the  $\Delta_2$  condition. Let

$$A_\Phi(G) = \left\{ u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n * \check{g}_n : \{f_n\} \subset L^\Phi(G), \{g_n\} \in L^\Psi(G) \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_\Phi(f_n) \|g_n\|_\Psi < \infty \right\}.$$

Note that if  $u \in A_\Phi(G)$  then  $u \in C_0(G)$ . If  $u \in A_\Phi(G)$ , define  $\|u\|_{A_\Phi}$  as

$$\|u\|_{A_\Phi} := \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} N_\Phi(f_n) \|g_n\|_\Psi : u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n * \check{g}_n \right\}.$$

The space  $A_\Phi(G)$  equipped with the above norm and with the pointwise addition and multiplication becomes a commutative Banach algebra [14, Theorem 3.4]. In fact,  $A_\Phi(G)$  is a commutative, regular and semisimple banach algebra with spectrum homeomorphic to  $G$  [14, Corollary 3.8]. This Banach algebra  $A_\Phi(G)$  is called as the Orlicz Figà-Talamanca Herz algebra. Let

$$B_\Phi(G) := \{u \in C(G) : uv \in A_\Phi(G) \forall v \in A_\Phi(G)\}$$

equipped with the norm  $\|u\|_{B_\Phi} = \sup \{\|uv\|_{A_\Phi} : v \in A_\Phi(G), \|v\|_{A_\Phi} = 1\}$ . Then, with the above norm,  $B_\Phi(G)$  becomes a commutative Banach algebra with pointwise addition and multiplication.

Let  $\mathcal{B}(L^\Phi(G))$  be the linear space of all bounded linear operators on  $L^\Phi(G)$  equipped with the operator norm. For a bounded complex Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  and  $f \in L^\Phi(G)$ , define  $T_\mu : L^\Phi(G) \rightarrow L^\Phi(G)$  by  $T_\mu(f) = \mu * f$ . It is clear that  $T_\mu \in \mathcal{B}(L^\Phi(G))$ . Let  $PM_\Phi(G)$  denote the closure of

$$\{T_\mu : \mu \text{ is a bounded complex Radon measure}\}$$

in  $\mathcal{B}(L^\Phi(G))$  with respect to the ultraweak topology. It is proved in [14, Theorem 3.5], that for a locally compact group  $G$ , the dual of  $A_\Phi(G)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $PM_\Psi(G)$ . By [14, Theorem 3.6] singletons are sets of spectral synthesis for  $A_\Phi(G)$ . Further, every closed subgroup is a set of local synthesis for  $A_\Phi(G)$ .

Throughout this paper,  $G$  will denote a locally compact group with a fixed left Haar measure  $dx$ . Further  $\Phi$  will always denote a Young function whose complementary Young function is  $\Psi$  and the pair  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -condition.

### 3. NON-DEGENERATE \*-REPRESENTATIONS OF $A_\Phi(G)$

In this section, motivated by the results of [10, 11], we describe all the non-degenerate \*-representations of  $A_\Phi(G)$ . Throughout this section and the next,  $\mathcal{H}$  will denote a Hilbert space.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a bounded positive Radon measure on  $G$ .*

- (i) *For each  $u \in A_\Phi(G)$ , the mapping  $\pi_\mu(u) : f \mapsto uf$  is a bounded linear operator on  $L^2(G, d\mu)$ .*
- (ii) *The mapping  $u \mapsto \pi_\mu(u)$  defines a \*-representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$  on  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G, d\mu))$ .*
- (iii) *If  $\mu$  is bounded, then  $\pi_\mu$  is a cyclic representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$  with the constant 1 function as cyclic vector.*

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) are just a routine check.

(iii) We show that the constant 1 function is a cyclic vector. Since the measure  $\mu$  is finite, the conclusion follows from the density of  $A_\Phi(G) \cap C_c(G)$  in  $C_c(G)$  with respect to the  $L^2(G, d\mu)$ -norm.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $\mu$  is a positive Radon measure on  $G$  (not necessarily bounded) then  $\pi_\mu$  (defined as in Proposition 3.1) is non-degenerate.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be a positive Radon measure on  $G$ . By [3, Pg. 33, 2.2.7], it is enough to show that the representation  $\pi_\mu$  is a direct sum of cyclic representations. By [1, INT IV.77] and [1, INT V.14, Proposition 4], it follows that

$$L^2(G, d\mu) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} L^2(G, d\mu_\alpha),$$

where  $\{\mu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  is a summable family of measures with pairwise disjoint support. Now the conclusion follows from (iii) of Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

In the next result, we characterise all cyclic \*-representations.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  be a cyclic \*-representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$ . Then there exists a bounded positive Radon measure  $\mu$  such that  $\pi$  is unitarily equivalent to the representation  $\{\pi_\mu, L^2(G, d\mu)\}$  given in Proposition 3.1.*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in A_\Phi(G)$ . Then, by [15, Pg. 22], it follows that  $\|\pi(u)\|_{sp} \leq \|u\|_{sp}$ . By [14, Theorem 3.4],  $A_\Phi(G)$  is a commutative Banach algebra and hence the spectral norm and

the operator norm for  $\pi(u)$  coincides. Further, as  $A_\Phi(G)$  is semi-simple and the fact that the spectrum of  $A_\Phi(G)$  is  $G$  [14, Corollary 3.81],  $\|u\|_{sp} = \|u\|_\infty$ . Thus,

$$\|\pi(u)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq \|u\|_\infty.$$

As a consequence of this inequality and the fact that  $A_\Phi(G)$  is dense in  $C_0(G)$ , it follows that  $\pi$  extends to a  $*$ -representation of  $C_0(G)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , still denoted as  $\pi$ . Note that  $\pi$  is a cyclic  $*$ -representation of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_0(G)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the cyclic vector of the representation  $\{\pi, C_0(G)\}$ . Define  $T_\varphi : C_0(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as

$$T_\varphi(u) = \langle \pi(u)\varphi, \varphi \rangle, \quad u \in C_0(G).$$

It is clear that  $T_\varphi$  is a positive linear functional on  $C_0(G)$  and hence, by Riesz representation theorem, there exists a bounded positive Radon measure  $\mu$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad T_\varphi(u) = \int_G u \, d\mu.$$

Let  $\pi_\mu$  denote the cyclic  $*$ -representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$  on  $L^2(G, d\mu)$ , given by Proposition 3.1.

We now claim that the representations  $\pi$  and  $\pi_\mu$  of  $A_\Phi(G)$  are unitarily equivalent. Since  $\varphi$  is a cyclic vector, in order to prove the above claim, it is enough to show that the correspondence  $\pi(u)\varphi \mapsto u.1$  is an isometry and commutes with  $\pi$  and  $\pi_\mu$ . Note that the above correspondence is well-defined by (3.1). Let  $T$  denote the above well-defined correspondence.

We now show that  $T$  is an isometry. Let  $u \in A_\Phi(G)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(u)\varphi, \pi(u)\varphi \rangle &= \langle \pi^*(u)\pi(u)\varphi, \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(\bar{u}u)\varphi, \varphi \rangle \quad (\pi \text{ is a } *\text{-homomorphism}) \\ &= \int_G |u|^2 \, d\mu = \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we show that  $T$  intertwines with  $\pi$  and  $\pi_\mu$ . Let  $u \in A_\Phi(G)$ . Then, for  $v \in A_\Phi(G)$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} T(\pi(u)(\pi(v)\varphi)) &= T((\pi(u)\pi(v))\varphi) \\ &= T(\pi(uv)\varphi) = uv.1 \\ &= \pi_\mu(u)(v.1) = \pi_\mu(u)(T(\pi(v)\varphi)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Here is the main result of this section, describing all the non-degenerate Hilbert space representations of  $A_\Phi(G)$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** *If  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  is any non-degenerate  $*$ -representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$  then  $\pi$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\{\pi_\mu, L^2(G, d\mu)\}$  for some positive measure  $\mu$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  be a non-degenerate  $*$ -representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$ . By [3, Proposition 2.2.7],  $\pi$  is a direct sum of cyclic  $*$ -representations  $\{\pi_\alpha, \mathcal{H}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , by Theorem 3.3, there exists a bounded positive measure  $\mu_\alpha$  such that the representations  $\{\pi_\alpha, \mathcal{H}_\alpha\}$  and  $\{\pi_{\mu_\alpha}, L^2(G, d\mu_\alpha)\}$  are unitarily equivalent.

Suppose that the family  $\{\mu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  is summable. Let  $\mu = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha$ . Then  $\mu$  will be a positive measure and

$$\{\pi_\mu, L^2(G, d\mu)\} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \{\pi_{\mu_\alpha}, L^2(G, d\mu_\alpha)\} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \{\pi_\alpha, \mathcal{H}_\alpha\} \cong \{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Thus, we are done if we can show that  $\{\mu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$  is a summable family. Let  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous function with compact support. Then  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} L^2(G, \mu_\alpha)$  and hence,

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \left( \int_G |f|^2 d\mu_\alpha \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} |\mu_\alpha(f)| &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \left| \int_G f d\mu_\alpha \right| \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \int_G |f| d\mu_\alpha \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \left( \int_G |f|^2 d\mu_\alpha \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_G |1|^2 d\mu_\alpha \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \left( \int_G |f|^2 d\mu_\alpha \right)^{1/2} (\mu_\alpha(G))^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (\mu_\alpha(G))^{1/2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \left( \int_G |f|^2 d\mu_\alpha \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \sup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha(G) \right)^{1/2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \left( \int_G |f|^2 d\mu_\alpha \right)^{1/2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The boundedness of  $\sup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \mu_\alpha(G)$  follows from the uniform boundedness principle and from (3.2).  $\square$

#### 4. NON-DEGENERATE \*-REPRESENTATIONS OF $B_\Phi(G)$

In this section, we show that the non-degenerate representations described in the previous section can be extended uniquely to  $B_\Phi(G)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  be a non-degenerate \*-representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$ .*

(i) *For each  $u \in B_\Phi(G)$ , there exists a unique operator  $\tilde{\pi}(u) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that,  $\forall v \in A_\Phi(G)$ ,*

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{\pi}(u)\pi(v) = \pi(uv)$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{\pi}(v) = \pi(v).$$

(ii) *The mapping  $u \mapsto \tilde{\pi}(u)$  defines a non-degenerate \*-representation of  $B_\Phi(G)$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate \*-representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$ . By [3, Proposition 2.2.7],  $\pi$  is a direct sum of cyclic \*-representations, say  $\{\pi_\alpha, \mathcal{H}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ . If we can prove (i) for each of these  $\pi_\alpha$ 's, then the argument for  $\pi$  is similar to the one given in Corollary 3.4. Thus, in order to prove this, we assume that the representation  $\pi$  is cyclic. Since  $\pi$  is a cyclic \*-representation, by Theorem 3.3,  $\pi$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\pi_\mu$ , for some bounded positive Radon measure  $\mu$ . So, without loss of generality, let us assume that the non-degenerate \*-representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$  is  $\pi_\mu$  for some bounded positive Radon measure  $\mu$ .

Let  $u \in B_\Phi(G)$ . By Proposition 3.1, the space  $\mathcal{K} := \text{span}\{\pi_\mu(v).1 : v \in A_\Phi(G)\}$  is dense in  $L^2(G, d\mu)$ . Define  $\tilde{\pi}_\mu(u) : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow L^2(G, d\mu)$  as

$$\tilde{\pi}_\mu(u)(\pi_\mu(v).1) = \pi_\mu(uv).1.$$

It is clear that  $\widetilde{\pi}_\mu(u)$  is linear. We now claim that  $\widetilde{\pi}_\mu(u)$  is bounded. Let  $v \in A_\Phi(G)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{\pi}_\mu(u)(\pi_\mu(v).1)\|_2^2 &= \|\pi_\mu(uv).1\|_2^2 \\ &= \int_G |\pi_\mu(uv).1|^2 d\mu \\ &= \int_G |uv|^2 d\mu \\ &\leq \|u\|_\infty^2 \int_G |v|^2 d\mu \leq \|u\|_{B_\Phi}^2 \|\pi_\mu(v).1\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\widetilde{\pi}_\mu(u)$  extends to a bounded linear operator on  $L^2(G, d\mu)$ , still denoted  $\widetilde{\pi}_\mu(u)$ . Further, it is clear that, for  $u \in B_\Phi(G)$  and  $v \in A_\Phi(G)$ ,  $\widetilde{\pi}_\mu(u)\pi_\mu(v) = \pi_\mu(uv)$ . Now, let  $v \in A_\Phi(G)$ . Then, for  $u \in A_\Phi(G)$ ,

$$\widetilde{\pi}_\mu(v)(\pi_\mu(u).1) = \pi_\mu(vu).1 = \pi_\mu(v)(\pi_\mu(u).1).$$

Again, as  $\mathcal{K}$  is dense in  $L^2(G, d\mu)$ , it follows that  $\widetilde{\pi}_\mu(v) = \pi_\mu(v)$  for all  $v \in A_\Phi(G)$ .

Finally, uniqueness follows from condition (4.1).

(ii) Non-degeneracy of  $\widetilde{\pi}$  follows from the fact that  $\pi$  is non-degenerate. Further, homomorphism property of  $\widetilde{\pi}$  follows from (4.1). Now, we show that  $\widetilde{\pi}$  preserves involution. Let  $u \in B_\Phi(G)$ . Then, for  $v \in A_\Phi(G)$  and  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widetilde{\pi}(u)^* \pi(v)\xi, \eta \rangle &= \langle \xi, \pi(\bar{v})\widetilde{\pi}(u)\eta \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi, \widetilde{\pi}(\bar{v})\widetilde{\pi}(u)\eta \rangle \text{ (by (4.2))} \\ &= \langle \xi, \widetilde{\pi}(u\bar{v})\eta \rangle \text{ (}\widetilde{\pi} \text{ is a homomorphism)} \\ &= \langle \xi, \pi(u\bar{v})\eta \rangle \text{ (by (4.2))} \\ &= \langle \xi, \pi(\overline{uv})^*\eta \rangle \text{ (}\pi \text{ preserves involution)} \\ &= \langle \pi(\bar{u}v)\xi, \eta \rangle \\ &= \langle \widetilde{\pi}(\bar{u})\pi(v)\xi, \eta \rangle. \text{ (by (4.1))} \end{aligned}$$

Since the representation  $\pi$  is non-degenerate, the space  $\{\pi(u)\xi : u \in A_\Phi(G), \xi \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus, it follows that  $\widetilde{\pi}(u)^* = \widetilde{\pi}(\bar{u})$  for all  $u \in B_\Phi(G)$ .  $\square$

The following corollary is the converse of the above theorem.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}\}$  be a  $*$ -representation of  $B_\Phi(G)$  such that  $\pi|_{A_\Phi}$  is non-degenerate. Then,  $\widetilde{\pi|_{A_\Phi}} = \pi$  and  $\pi$  is non-degenerate.*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in B_\Phi(G)$  and  $v \in A_\Phi(G)$ . Then

$$\pi(u)\pi|_{A_\Phi}(v) = \pi(u)\pi(v) = \pi(uv) = \pi|_{A_\Phi}(uv).$$

Thus, by Theorem 4.1, it follows that  $\widetilde{\pi|_{A_\Phi}} = \pi$ . Again by Theorem 4.1,  $\widetilde{\pi|_{A_\Phi}}$  is non-degenerate and hence it follows that the representation  $\pi$  is non-degenerate.  $\square$

## 5. APPLICATION TO ERGODIC SEQUENCES IN $A_\Phi(G)$

In this section, we discuss an application of ergodic sequences. This section is also motivated from [10] and [11].

Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_B^\Phi &= \{u \in B_\Phi(G) : \|u\|_{B_\Phi} = u(e) = 1\} \\ S_A^\Phi &= \{u \in A_\Phi(G) : \|u\|_{A_\Phi} = u(e) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Before we proceed to the main result of this section, here we give an appropriate definition.

**Definition 5.1.** A sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset S_B^\Phi$  is said to be strongly (resp. weakly) ergodic if for any non-degenerate  $*$ -representation  $\{\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi\}$  of  $A_\Phi(G)$  the sequence  $\{\tilde{\pi}(u_n)\eta\}$  converges strongly (resp. weakly) to an element of  $\mathcal{H}_f$ , for every  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ , where

$$\mathcal{H}_f = \{\xi \in \mathcal{H} : \pi(u)\xi = \xi \ \forall u \in S_A^\Phi\}.$$

Our next theorem is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 5.2.** For a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $S_B^\Phi$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is strongly ergodic.
- (ii) the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is weakly ergodic.
- (iii) the sequence  $\{u_n(x)\}$  converges to 0 for every  $x \in G$  with  $x \neq e$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is obvious.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Fix  $x \in G$  with  $x \neq e$ . Define  $\pi : A_\Phi(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as  $\pi(u) = u(x)$ . Then  $\pi$  defines a non-degenerate  $*$ -representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . By Theorem 4.1, the representation  $\{\pi, \mathbb{C}\}$  can be extended uniquely to a non-degenerate  $*$ -representation  $\tilde{\pi}$  of  $B_\Phi(G)$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\tilde{\pi}(u)z = u(x)z$  for all  $u \in B_\Phi(G)$ . Since  $\{u_n\}$  is weakly ergodic the set  $\{\mathbb{C}_f\}$  is non-empty. In order to prove (iii) it is enough to show that the set  $\mathbb{C}_f$  consists only of the zero vector. Suppose to the contrary that there exists  $0 \neq z \in \mathbb{C}_f$ . Since  $G$  is Hausdorff, there exists an open set  $U$  containing  $e$  but not  $x$ . Let  $v$  denote the function given by [14, Proposition 5.5], corresponding the open set  $U$ . Then  $v \in S_A^\Phi$  and  $v(x)z = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus the set  $\mathbb{C}_f$  consists only of the zero vector. Hence (iii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate  $*$ -representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$ . By Corollary 3.4,  $\pi$  is unitarily equivalent to the representation  $\{\pi_\mu, L^2(G, d\mu)\}$  for some positive measure  $\mu$  defined on  $G$ . So, without loss of generality, let us assume that  $\pi$  is of the form  $\pi_\mu$  for some positive measure  $\mu$  on  $G$ . Let  $\tilde{\pi}_\mu$  denote the extension of  $\pi_\mu$  from  $A_\Phi(G)$  to  $B_\Phi(G)$  as in Theorem 4.1. Let  $f \in L^2(G, d\mu)$ . We now claim that the sequence  $\{\tilde{\pi}_\mu(u_n)(f)\}$  converges strongly. As  $L^2(G, d\mu)$  is complete, in order to prove the claim, it is enough to show that the sequence  $\{\tilde{\pi}_\mu(u_n)(f)\}$  is Cauchy. Note that, for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|u_n(x) - u_m(x)|^2 |f(x)|^2 \leq 4|f(x)|^2 \text{ a.e.}$$

Thus, by dominated convergence theorem and by (iv), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\pi}_\mu(u_n)(f) - \tilde{\pi}_\mu(u_m)(f)\|_2^2 &= \int_G |\tilde{\pi}_\mu(u_n)(f)(x) - \tilde{\pi}_\mu(u_m)(f)(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_G |u_n(x) - u_m(x)|^2 |f(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $g \in L^2(G, d\mu)$  denote the limit of the sequence  $\{\tilde{\pi}_\mu(u_n)(f)\}$ . Our next claim is that  $g$  is a fixed point of  $\pi_\mu(u)$  for each  $u \in S_A^\Phi$ . Again, this is a consequence of the dominated convergence theorem.  $\square$

## 6. SPECTRAL SUBSPACES

In this section, we study the spectral subspaces associated to a non-degenerate Banach space representation of  $A_\Phi(G)$ . Our main aim in this section is to prove Corollary 6.9. Most of the ideas of this section are taken from [12].

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $T \in PM_\Psi(G)$ . Then the support of  $T$  is defined as

$$\text{supp}(T) = \{x \in G : u \in A_\Phi(G), u(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow u.T \neq 0\}.$$

Here we recall some of the properties of the support of  $T$  in the form of a Lemma [7, Pg. 101].

**Lemma 6.2.**

- (i) If  $T_1, T_2 \in PM_{\Psi}(G)$  then  $supp(T_1 + T_2) \subseteq supp(T_1) \cup supp(T_2)$ .
- (ii) If  $u \in A_{\Phi}(G)$  and  $T \in PM_{\Psi}(G)$  then  $supp(u.T) \subseteq supp(u) \cap supp(T)$ .
- (iii) If  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $T \in PM_{\Psi}(G)$  then  $supp(cT) \subseteq supp(T)$ .
- (iv) Let  $T \in PM_{\Psi}(G)$  and let  $E$  be a closed subset of  $G$ . If a net  $\{T_{\alpha}\} \subset PM_{\Psi}(G)$  converges weakly to  $T$  with  $supp(T_{\alpha}) \subset E$  for all  $\alpha$ , then  $supp(T) \subset E$ .

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $\pi$  be an algebra representation of  $A_{\Phi}(G)$  on  $X$ . For  $\varphi \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ , define  $T_{x^*,\varphi} : A_{\Phi}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as

$$\langle u, T_{x^*,\varphi} \rangle := \langle \pi(u)\varphi, x^* \rangle \quad \forall u \in A_{\Phi}(G).$$

We say that the representation  $\pi$  is continuous if  $T_{x^*,\varphi}$  is a continuous linear functional on  $A_{\Phi}(G)$  for each  $\varphi \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ . It follows from uniform boundedness principle that the linear map  $\pi : A_{\Phi}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$  is norm continuous.

From now onwards,  $X$  will denote a Banach space and  $\pi$  an algebra representation of  $A_{\Phi}(G)$  on  $X$ .

Let  $E$  be a closed subset of  $G$ . Define

$$X_E := \{\varphi \in X : supp(T_{x^*,\varphi}) \subseteq E \quad \forall x^* \in X^*\}.$$

*Remark 6.3.* An immediate consequence of the above definition is that, if  $E = G$  then  $X_E = X$ .

**Lemma 6.4.** *The set  $X_E$  is a closed  $\pi$ -invariant subspace of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Note that for any  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in X_E$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$T_{x^*,\varphi_1+\alpha\varphi_2} = T_{x^*,\varphi_1} + \alpha T_{x^*,\varphi_2}.$$

Thus, it follows from (i) and (iii) of Lemma 6.2 that  $X_E$  is a linear space. Further, closedness of  $X_E$  is an immediate consequence of (iv) from Lemma 6.2. Again, note that, for any  $u \in A_{\Phi}(G)$ ,  $\varphi \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ , we have  $T_{x^*,\pi(u)\varphi} = u.T_{x^*,\varphi}$  and hence the invariance of  $X_E$  under  $\pi$  follows from (ii) of Lemma 6.2. □

The subspace  $X_E$  is called as the spectral subspace associated with the representation  $\pi$  and the closed set  $E$ .

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of  $A_{\Phi}(G)$ .*

- (i) *The space  $X_{\emptyset} = \{0\}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\{E_i\}$  is an arbitrary collection of closed subsets of  $G$ , then  $X_{\bigcap_i E_i} = \bigcap_i X_{E_i}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) is an easy consequence of the non-degeneracy of  $\pi$ , while (ii) is trivial. □

The following is an immediate corollary of Remark 6.3 and Lemma 6.5.

**Corollary 6.6.** *There exists a smallest closed non-empty set  $E$  of  $G$  such that  $X_E = X$ .*

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be disjoint compact subsets of  $G$ . Then  $X_{K_1 \cup K_2} = X_{K_1} \oplus X_{K_2}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of this follows exactly as given in [12, Proposition 2 (iii)]. □

**Theorem 6.8.** *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of  $A_{\Phi}(G)$  such that the only spectral subspaces are the trivial subspaces. Then there exists  $x \in G$  such that  $X_{\{x\}} = X$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a smallest non-empty closed set  $E$  such that  $X_E = X$ , which is possible by Corollary 6.6. Suppose there exists  $x, y \in E$  such that  $x \neq y$ . As  $G$  is locally compact and Hausdorff, there exists an open set  $U$  and a compact set  $K$  such that  $x \in U \subset K$  and  $y \notin K$ . Since  $A_{\Phi}(G)$  is regular, there exists  $u \in A_{\Phi}(G)$  such that  $u = 1$  on  $U$  and  $supp(u) \subset K$ .



Let  $v \in A_{\Phi}(G)$  be arbitrary. Let  $v_1 = v - uv$  and  $v_2 = uv$  so that  $v = v_1 + v_2$ . Let  $V = \{z \in G : v_1(z) \neq 0\}$ . The choice of  $u$  tells us that  $x \notin \bar{V}$ . Again, using the regularity of  $A_{\Phi}(G)$ , choose a function  $w \in A_{\Phi}(G)$  such that  $w = 1$  on some open set  $W$  containing  $x$  and  $\text{supp}(w) \cap V = \emptyset$ . Further, it is clear that  $v_1w = 0$ .

We now claim that  $\pi(v) = 0$ . Let  $\varphi \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ . If  $z \in W$ , then  $w(z) = 1$  and hence  $T_{x^*, \pi(v_1w)\varphi} = 0$  as  $T_{x^*, \pi(v_1w)\varphi} = w.T_{x^*, \pi(v_1)\varphi}$ . Thus  $\text{supp}(T_{x^*, \pi(v_1)\varphi}) \subset W^c$ . Therefore, using the non-degeneracy of  $\pi$ , it follows that, if  $\pi(v_1)\varphi \neq 0$  then  $X_{W^c} = X$  and hence, by the choice of the set  $E$ , it follows that  $E$  is a subset of  $W^c$ . On the other hand,  $x \notin W^c$  and  $x \in E$  and hence  $E$  is not a subset of  $W^c$ . Therefore,  $\pi(v_1) = 0$ . Similarly, one can show that  $\pi(v_2) = 0$ . Thus  $\pi(v) = 0$ . Since  $v$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $\pi(v) = 0$  for all  $v \in A_{\Phi}(G)$ , which is a contradiction. Thus the set  $E$  is a singleton.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.9.** *Let  $\pi$  be a non-degenerate representation of  $A_{\Phi}(G)$  such that the only spectral subspaces are the trivial subspaces. Then  $\pi$  is a character.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 6.8, there exists  $x \in G$  such that  $X_{\{x\}} = X$ , i.e.,

$$\text{supp}(T_{x^*, \varphi}) \subset \{x\}$$

for all  $\varphi \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ . As singletons are sets of spectral synthesis for  $A_{\Phi}(G)$  [14, Theorem 3.6 (i)], it follows that

$$(6.1) \quad T_{x^*, \varphi} = c\delta_x$$

for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $u \in A_{\Phi}(G)$  such that  $u(x) = 1$ . Then

$$(6.2) \quad c = c\langle u, \delta_x \rangle = \langle u, c\delta_x \rangle = \langle u, T_{x^*, \varphi} \rangle = \langle \pi(u)\varphi, x^* \rangle.$$

We now claim that  $\pi$  is a character. Let  $v \in A_{\Phi}(G)$ . Then, for  $\varphi \in X$  and  $x^* \in X^*$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(v)\varphi, x^* \rangle &= \langle v, T_{x^*, \varphi} \rangle = \langle v, c\delta_x \rangle \text{ (by (6.1))} \\ &= c\langle v, \delta_x \rangle = \langle \pi(u)\varphi, x^* \rangle \langle v, \delta_x \rangle \text{ (by (6.2))} \\ &= v(x)\langle \pi(u)\varphi, x^* \rangle = \langle v(x)\pi(u)\varphi, x^* \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi$  and  $x^*$  are arbitrary, it follows that  $\pi(v) = u(x)\pi(u)$ . Now

$$\pi(u) = u(x)\pi(u) = u^2(x)\pi(u) = \pi(u^2) = \pi(u)^2,$$

i.e.,  $\pi(u)$  is a projection. As  $\pi$  is non-degenerate, it follows that  $\pi(u)$  is the identity operator  $I$  on  $X$ . Thus

$$\pi(v) = v(x)I \quad \forall v \in A_{\Phi}(G),$$

i.e.,  $\pi$  is a character.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The first author would like to thank the University Grants Commission, India, for research grant.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. BOURBAKI, *Elements of Mathematics, Integration I*, Chapters 1-6, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2004.
2. A.DERIGHETTI, *Convolution Operators on Groups*, Lecture Notes Un. Mat. Ital.11, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
3. J. DIXMIER, *C\*- algebras*, North Holland, 1977.
4. P. EYMARD, L'algèbre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 92 (1964) 181-236.
5. G. B. FOLLAND, *A course in abstract harmonic analysis*, CRC Press, 1995.
6. R. GODEMENT, *Théorèmes taubériens et théorie spectrale*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., 64 (1947), 119-138

7. C.HERZ, *Harmonic synthesis for subgroups*, Ann. Inst.Fourier (Grenoble) 23 (1973), no.3, 91-123.
8. E. HEWITT and K. A. ROSS, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Springer, 1979.
9. E. KANIUTH and A. T. M. LAU, *Fourier and Fourier-Stieltjes algebras on locally compact groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 231, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018.
10. A. T. M. LAU and V. LOSERT, *Ergodic sequences in the Fourier-Stieltjes algebra and measure algebra of a locally compact group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351 (1999), no. 1, 417428.
11. S. MATHIEU GUEX, *Ergodic theorems for certain Banach algebras associated to locally compact groups*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Alberta, Canada, 2013.
12. K. PARTHASARATHY and R. PRAKASH, *Spectral subspaces for the Fourier algebra*, Colloq. Math., 108 (2007), 179-182.
13. M.M.RAO and Z.D.REN, *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, Dekker, New York, 1991.
14. RATTAN LAL and N. SHRAVAN KUMAR, *Orlicz Figà-Talamanca Herz algebras and invariant means*, Indag. Math., 30 (2019), 340-354.
15. M. TAKESAKI, *Theory of Operator algebras I*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Springer, Vol. 124, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI, DELHI, 110016, INDIA  
E-mail address: rattanlaltank@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI, DELHI, 110016, INDIA  
E-mail address: shravankumar@maths.iitd.ac.in

Received 19.11.2019; Revised 13.02.2020.