

NORM INEQUALITIES FOR ACCRETIVE-DISSIPATIVE BLOCK MATRICES

FADI ALRIMAWI, MOHAMMAD AL-KHLYLEH, AND FUAD A. ABUSHAHEEN

ABSTRACT. Let $T = [T_{ij}] \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ be accretive-dissipative, where $T_{ij} \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Let f be a function that is convex and increasing on $[0, \infty)$ where $f(0) = 0$. Then

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} |T|^2 \right) \right\| \right\|.$$

Also, if f is concave and increasing on $[0, \infty)$ where $f(0) = 0$, then

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq (2m^2 - 2m) \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{|T|^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\|.$$

Нехай $T = T_{ij} \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$, де $T_{ij} \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ при $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, – акретивно-дисипативна матриця. Нехай f – опукла функція, яка зростає на $[0, \infty)$, де $f(0) = 0$. Тоді

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} |T|^2 \right) \right\| \right\|.$$

Також, якщо f є угнутотою, зростає на $[0, \infty)$ і $f(0) = 0$, то

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq (2m^2 - 2m) \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{|T|^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\|.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the algebra of all $n \times n$ complex matrices. A matrix $T \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ can be partitioned as an $m \times m$ block matrix ($m \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$)

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & \cdots & T_{1m} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ T_{m1} & T_{m2} & \cdots & T_{mm} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $T_{ij} \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

A matrix $T \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ with Cartesian decomposition $T = \operatorname{Re} T + i \operatorname{Im} T$ is said to be accretive-dissipative if both $\operatorname{Re} T$ and $\operatorname{Im} T$ are positive semidefinite. We will represent $\operatorname{Re} T$ and $\operatorname{Im} T$ in our work as

$$\operatorname{Re} T = \tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1m} \\ A_{12}^* & A_{22} & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{1m}^* & \cdots & \cdots & A_{mm} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im} T = \tilde{B} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} & \cdots & B_{1m} \\ B_{12}^* & B_{22} & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{1m}^* & \cdots & \cdots & B_{mm} \end{bmatrix},$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A18; 15A60; 47A30; 47B15.

Keywords. Accretive-dissipative matrix; convex function; concave function; inequality; singular value; unitarily invariant norm.

where $A_{ij}, B_{ij} \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

A principal submatrix of a square matrix A is the matrix obtained by deleting any j rows and the corresponding j columns.

On $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, a norm $\|\cdot\|$ satisfying the invariance property that $\|UAV\| = \|A\|$ for every $A, U, V \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ where U, V are unitary is said to be unitarily invariant.

For $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $B \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$, the inequality $\|A\| \leq \|B\|$ means that

$$\|A \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0\| \leq \|B\|,$$

where the direct sum $A \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0$ is the matrix in $\mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by

$$A \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Ky Fan k -norms $\|\cdot\|_{(k)}$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) are the norms defined on $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ by $\|T\|_{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^k s_j(T)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, where $s_1(T) \geq \dots \geq s_n(T)$ are the eigen values of the matrix $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$ arranged in decreasing order. The Ky Fan dominance principle asserts that, for every unitarily invariant norm, we have

$$\|A\| \leq \|B\| \Leftrightarrow \|A\|_{(k)} \leq \|B\|_{(k)} \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.2)$$

Let ζ be the class of all functions f that are increasing and nonnegative on $[0, \infty)$ and satisfies the condition: If $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ are two decreasing sequences of nonnegative real numbers such that $\prod_{j=1}^k x_j \leq \prod_{j=1}^k y_j$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$\prod_{j=1}^k f(x_j) \leq \prod_{j=1}^k f(y_j) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

A nonnegative function f defined on $[0, \infty)$ is called submultiplicative if $f(mn) \leq f(m)f(n)$ whenever $m, n \in [0, \infty)$.

In [6],[12],[15], and [16], a norm inequalities that compare T with its diagonal blocks have been given.

In [8], it has been shown that for an accretive-dissipative 2×2 block matrix $T = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\left\| \left\| f(|T_{12}|^2) + f(|T_{21}^*|^2) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f(|T|^2) \right\| \right\| \quad (1.3)$$

$$\left\| \left\| f(|T_{12}|^2) + f(|T_{21}^*|^2) \right\| \right\| \leq 4 \left\| \left\| f\left(\frac{|T|^2}{4}\right) \right\| \right\| \quad (1.4)$$

$$\left\| \left\| f(|T_{12}|^2) + f(|T_{21}^*|^2) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f^p(2|T_{11}|) \right\| \right\|^{1/p} \left\| \left\| f^q(2|T_{22}|) \right\| \right\|^{1/q} \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\left\| \left\| f(|T_{12}|^2) + f(|T_{21}^*|^2) \right\| \right\| \leq 4 \left\| \left\| f^p(|T_{11}|) \right\| \right\|^{1/p} \left\| \left\| f^q(|T_{22}|) \right\| \right\|^{1/q}, \quad (1.6)$$

where in the inequality (1.3) f is a function convex and increasing on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$, in the inequality (1.4) f is a function concave and increasing on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$, in the inequality (1.5) $f \in \zeta$ is submultiplicative convex function with $f(0) = 0$ and $p, q \in (0, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and in the inequality (1.6) $f \in \zeta$ is submultiplicative concave function with $f(0) = 0$ and $p, q \in (0, \infty)$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

In this paper, some norm inequalities concerning with accretive-dissipative block matrices in $\mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ ($m \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$) are given. In Section 2, some unitarily invariant norm inequalities that compare the accretive-dissipative matrix T to its off-diagonal blocks, where T is partitioned as in (1.1) are derived. In Section 3, a unitarily invariant norm inequalities for functions $f \in \zeta$ are presented. In Section 4, some results for a 2×2 accretive-dissipative block matrices are given.

2. SOME UNITARILY INVARIANT NORM INEQUALITIES

In this section, we give some unitarily invariant norm inequalities that compare the accretive-dissipative matrix T to its off-diagonal blocks, where T is partitioned as in (1.1). To start our work, we will use the following lemma (see [13]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} X & B \\ B^* & Y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ be positive semidefinite. Then*

$$2s_j(B) \leq s_j(A)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The following lemma can be shown easily depending on the inequality (1.2).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $X, Y \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be positive semidefinite, and let f be a function that is increasing and nonnegative on $[0, \infty)$. If $s_j(X) \leq s_j(Y)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then*

$$|||f(X)||| \leq |||f(Y)|||.$$

The following lemma, which is essentially due to Fan and Hoffman [5], can be concluded from Lemma 3.2 in [12] or Proposition III.5.1 in [2, p. 73].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be accretive-dissipative. Then*

$$s_j(\operatorname{Re} T) \leq s_j(T) \text{ and } s_j(\operatorname{Im} T) \leq s_j(T)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Also, we need the following lemma (see [10, p. 149]) which is essential in our work.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $X \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let Y be a principal submatrix. Then*

$$s_j(Y) \leq s_j(X)$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

In the following lemma, part (a) is an extension of Theorem 2.3 in [1] for n -tuples of operators (see also [9, Theorem 1]), a stronger version of part (b) of the lemma can be obtained by invoking an argument similar to that used in the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [14]. For various Jensen type matrix inequalities, we refer to [3] and references therein. Part (c) can be found in [11] and we can find part (d) in [4]. Henceforth, we assume that every function is continuous.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be positive and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be positive real numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j = 1$. Then*

(a) $\left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j A_j \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j f(A_j) \right\| \right\|$ for every function f that is convex and non-negative on $[0, \infty)$.

(b) $\left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j f(A_j) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j A_j \right) \right\| \right\|$ for every function f that is concave and non-negative on $[0, \infty)$.

- (c) $\left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n f(A_j) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{j=1}^n A_j \right) \right\| \right\|$ for every function f that is convex and non-negative on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$.
- (d) $\left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{j=1}^n A_j \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n f(A_j) \right\| \right\|$ for every function f that is concave and non-negative on $[0, \infty)$.

Note that the the Fan (dominance and maximum) principles (see, e.g., [2, pp. 24, 93] or [7, pp. 47, 82]) are essential in the proof of Lemma 2.5.

Our first main result in this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ be a partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1), and let f be a function that is convex and increasing on $[0, \infty)$ where $f(0) = 0$. Then*

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} |T|^2 \right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Let $C_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{ii} & T_{ij} \\ T_{ji} & T_{jj} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, then C_{ij} is a principal submatrix of T , it follows that C_{ij} is accretive-dissipative with Cartesian decomposition $C_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{ii} & A_{ij} \\ A_{ij}^* & A_{jj} \end{bmatrix} + i \begin{bmatrix} B_{ii} & B_{ij} \\ B_{ij}^* & B_{jj} \end{bmatrix}$. Using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we get that

$$\left\| \left\| f \left((2m^2 - 2m) |A_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} (\operatorname{Re} C_{ij})^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\left\| \left\| f \left((2m^2 - 2m) |B_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} (\operatorname{Im} C_{ij})^2 \right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (2.3)$$

Also, using Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} (\operatorname{Re} C_{ij})^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} |C_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} (\operatorname{Im} C_{ij})^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} |C_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (2.5)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} (|T_{ij}|^2 + |T_{ji}^*|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.5(c)}) \\ & = \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} (|A_{ij} + iB_{ij}|^2 + |A_{ij} - iB_{ij}|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| \\ & = \left\| \left\| f \left(2 \sum_{i < j} (|A_{ij}|^2 + |B_{ij}|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left\| f \left(4 \sum_{i < j} (|A_{ij}|^2) \right) + f \left(4 \sum_{i < j} (|B_{ij}|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.5(a)}) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left\| f \left(4 \sum_{i < j} (|A_{ij}|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left\| f \left(4 \sum_{i < j} (|B_{ij}|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{m^2 - m} \left(\left\| \left\| \sum_{i < j} f \left((2m^2 - 2m) |A_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| \sum_{i < j} f \left((2m^2 - 2m) |B_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \right) \\
 &\quad (\text{by Lemma 2.5(a)}) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{m^2 - m} \sum_{i < j} \left(\left\| \left\| f \left((2m^2 - 2m) |A_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| f \left((2m^2 - 2m) |B_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{m^2 - m} \sum_{i < j} \left(\left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} (\operatorname{Re} C_{ij})^2 \right) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} (\operatorname{Im} C_{ij})^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \right) \\
 &\quad (\text{by the inequalities (2.2) and (2.3)}) \\
 &\leq \frac{2}{m^2 - m} \sum_{i < j} \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} |C_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (\text{by the inequalities (2.4) and (2.5)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \frac{2}{m^2 - m} \sum_{i < j} \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} |C_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (2.6)$$

Since C_{ij} is a principal submatrix of T , it can be inferred from Lemmas 2.4 and 2.2 that

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} |C_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} |T|^2 \right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (2.7)$$

Now, the result follows from the inequalities (2.6) and (2.7). □

Note that the inequality (1.3) follows by taking $m = 2$ in the inequality (2.1). So, the inequality (2.1) gives a generalization to the inequality (1.3).

Applications of Theorem 2.6 will be given in the following corollaries.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ be a partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1), and let f be a function that is convex and increasing on $[0, \infty)$ where $f(0) = 0$. For all $p \geq 2$, we have*

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right) + f \left(\left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} \right)^{p/2} |T|^p \right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (2.8)$$

In particular, when $m = 2$, we have

$$\left\| \left\| f (|T_{12}|^p) + f (|T_{21}^*|^p) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f (|T|^p) \right\| \right\|.$$

Proof. The inequality (2.8) follows by applying the inequality (2.1) to the convex function $f(t^{p/2})$. □

Corollary 2.8. *Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ be a partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1). Then*

$$\left\| \left\| e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2\right)} + e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2\right)} - 2I_n \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| e^{\left(\frac{m^2-m}{2}|T|^2\right)} - I_{mn} \right\| \right\|.$$

Proof. The proof follows by applying the inequality (2.1) to the function $f(t) = e^t - 1$ which is a convex function that is increasing on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ be a partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1). Then*

$$\left\| \left\| e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2\right)} + e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2\right)} \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| e^{\left(\frac{m^2-m}{2}|T|^2\right)} + I_{mn} \right\| \right\|. \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Applying Corollary 2.8 to the Ky Fan k -norms, we have

$$\left\| \left(e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2\right)} + e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2\right)} - 2I_n \right) \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \right\|_{(k)} \leq \left\| \left\| e^{\left(\frac{m^2-m}{2}|T|^2\right)} - I_{mn} \right\| \right\|_{(k)}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, mn$. Thus, for $k = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2\right)} + e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2\right)} \right) \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \right\|_{(k)} - 2k \\ &= \left\| \left(e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2\right)} + e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2\right)} - 2I_n \right) \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \right\|_{(k)} \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| e^{\left(\frac{m^2-m}{2}|T|^2\right)} - I_{mn} \right\| \right\|_{(k)} \\ &= \left\| \left\| e^{\left(\frac{m^2-m}{2}|T|^2\right)} \right\| \right\|_{(k)} - k \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

and for $k = n + 1, \dots, mn$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2\right)} + e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2\right)} \right) \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \right\|_{(k)} - 2k \\ &\leq \left\| \left(e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2\right)} + e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2\right)} \right) \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \right\|_{(k)} - 2n \\ &= \left\| \left(e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2\right)} + e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2\right)} - 2I_n \right) \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \right\|_{(k)} \\ &\leq \left\| \left\| e^{\left(\frac{m^2-m}{2}|T|^2\right)} - I_{mn} \right\| \right\|_{(k)} \\ &= \left\| \left\| e^{\left(\frac{m^2-m}{2}|T|^2\right)} \right\| \right\|_{(k)} - k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

From the inequalities (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \left(e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right)} + e^{\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right)} \right) \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \right\|_{(k)} \\
 & \leq \left\| e^{\left(\frac{m^2-m}{2} |T|^2 \right)} \right\|_{(k)} + k \\
 & = \left\| e^{\left(\frac{m^2-m}{2} |T|^2 \right)} + I_{mn} \right\|_{(k)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, mn$. Now, the inequality (2.9) follows from the inequality (2.12) and the Ky Fan dominance principle. \square

Our second main result in this section can be stated as follows.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ be a partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1), and let f be a function that is concave and increasing on $[0, \infty)$ where $f(0) = 0$. Then*

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq (2m^2 - 2m) \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{|T|^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\|. \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. Let $C_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{ii} & T_{ij} \\ T_{ji} & T_{jj} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, then C_{ij} is a principal submatrix of T , it

follows that C_{ij} is accretive-dissipative with Cartesian decomposition $C_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{ii} & A_{ij} \\ A_{ij}^* & A_{jj} \end{bmatrix} + i \begin{bmatrix} B_{ii} & B_{ij} \\ B_{ij}^* & B_{jj} \end{bmatrix}$. Using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we get that

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(|A_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{(\operatorname{Re} C_{ij})^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\| \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(|B_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{(\operatorname{Im} C_{ij})^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\|. \tag{2.15}$$

And by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{(\operatorname{Re} C_{ij})^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{|C_{ij}|^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\| \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{(\operatorname{Im} C_{ij})^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{|C_{ij}|^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\|. \tag{2.17}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\
 & = \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i<j} |A_{ij} + iB_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i<j} |A_{ij} - iB_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2 \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{\sum_{i<j} (|A_{ij} + iB_{ij}|^2 + |A_{ij} - iB_{ij}|^2)}{2} \right) \right\| \right\| && \text{(by Lemma 2.5(b))} \\
&= 2 \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i<j} (|A_{ij}|^2 + |B_{ij}|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| \\
&\leq 2 \left\| \left\| \sum_{i<j} f (|A_{ij}|^2 + |B_{ij}|^2) \right\| \right\| && \text{(by Lemma 2.5(d))} \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{i<j} \left\| \left\| f (|A_{ij}|^2 + |B_{ij}|^2) \right\| \right\| \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{i<j} \left\| \left\| f (|A_{ij}|^2) + f (|B_{ij}|^2) \right\| \right\| && \text{(by Lemma 2.5(d))} \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{i<j} \left\| \left\| f (|A_{ij}|^2) \right\| \right\| + 2 \sum_{i<j} \left\| \left\| f (|B_{ij}|^2) \right\| \right\| \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{i<j} \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{(\operatorname{Re} C_{ij})^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\| + 2 \sum_{i<j} \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{(\operatorname{Im} C_{ij})^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\| \\
&\hspace{10em} \text{(by the inequalities (2.14) and (2.15))} \\
&\leq 4 \sum_{i<j} \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{|C_{ij}|^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\| && \text{(by the inequalities (2.16) and (2.17)).}
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq 4 \sum_{i<j} \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{|C_{ij}|^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (2.18)$$

Since C_{ij} is a principal submatrix of T , it can be inferred from Lemmas 2.4 and 2.2 that

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{|C_{ij}|^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{|T|^2}{4} \right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (2.19)$$

Now, the result follows from the inequalities (2.18) and (2.19). \square

Note that the inequality (1.4) follows by taking $m = 2$ in the inequality (2.13). So, the inequality (2.13) gives a generalization to the inequality (1.4).

Corollary 2.11. *Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ be a partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1) and let f be a function that is concave and increasing on $[0, \infty)$ where $f(0) = 0$. For all $0 < p \leq 2$, we have*

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right) + f \left(\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right) \right\| \right\| \leq (2m^2 - 2m) \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{|T|^p}{2^p} \right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (2.20)$$

In particular, when $m = 2$, we have

$$\left\| \left\| f (|T_{12}|^p) + f (|T_{21}^*|^p) \right\| \right\| \leq 4 \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{|T|^p}{2^p} \right) \right\| \right\|.$$

Proof. The inequality (2.20) follows by applying the inequality (2.13) to the concave function $f(t^{p/2})$. \square

Corollary 2.12. *Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ be a partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\| \log \left(\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2} + I_n \right) + \log \left(\left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right)^{1/2} + I_n \right) \right\| \right\| \\ & \leq (2m^2 - 2m) \left\| \log(|T| + 2I_{mn}) - (\log 2) I_{mn} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof follows by taking $p = 1$ and applying the inequality (2.20) to the function $f(t) = \log(t + 1)$ which is a concave and increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ and satisfies that $f(0) = 0$. \square

The following corollary can be obtained by applying Theorems 2.6 and 2.10 to the function $f(t) = t^{p/2}$.

Corollary 2.13. *Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ be a partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1). Then*

$$\left\| \left\| \left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right)^{p/2} + \left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right\| \right\| \leq \left(\frac{m^2 - m}{2} \right)^{p/2} \left\| |T|^p \right\| \quad \text{for all } p \geq 2$$

and

$$\left\| \left\| \left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right)^{p/2} + \left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right)^{p/2} \right\| \right\| \leq \frac{m^2 - m}{2^{p-1}} \left\| |T|^p \right\| \quad \text{for all } 0 < p \leq 2.$$

3. UNITARILY INVARIANT NORM INEQUALITIES INVOLVING A SPECIAL CLASS OF FUNCTIONS

In this section, we give unitarily invariant norm inequalities including functions belongs to the class ζ .

We start this section with the following lemma (see [8]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} X & B \\ B^* & Y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{M}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ be positive semidefinite, and let $f \in \zeta$ be submultiplicative function. If p and q are positive real numbers with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then*

$$\left\| \left\| f(|B|^2) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f^p(X) \right\| \right\|^{1/p} \left\| \left\| f^q(Y) \right\| \right\|^{1/q}. \quad (3.1)$$

Our first result in this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ be a partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1), and let $f \in \zeta$ be a function that is convex and submultiplicative and satisfies that $f(0) = 0$. If $p, q > 0$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i<j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{2}{m^2 - m} \sum_{i<j} \left(\left\| \left\| f^p \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} |T_{ii}| \right) \right\| \right\|^{1/p} \left\| \left\| f^q \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} |T_{jj}| \right) \right\| \right\|^{1/q} \right). \quad (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\begin{bmatrix} A_{ii} & A_{ij} \\ A_{ij}^* & A_{jj} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} B_{ii} & B_{ij} \\ B_{ij}^* & B_{jj} \end{bmatrix}$ are positive semidefinite matrices, and by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\| f \left((2m^2 - 2m) |A_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \left\| f^p \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} A_{ii} \right) \right\| \right\|^{1/p} \left\| \left\| f^q \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} A_{jj} \right) \right\| \right\|^{1/q} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\| f \left((2m^2 - 2m) |B_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \left\| f^p \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} B_{ii} \right) \right\| \right\|^{1/p} \left\| \left\| f^q \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} B_{jj} \right) \right\| \right\|^{1/q} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} (|T_{ij}|^2 + |T_{ji}^*|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.5(c)}) \\ & = \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} (|A_{ij} + iB_{ij}|^2 + |A_{ij} - iB_{ij}|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| \\ & = \left\| \left\| f \left(2 \sum_{i < j} (|A_{ij}|^2 + |B_{ij}|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left\| f \left(4 \sum_{i < j} (|A_{ij}|^2) \right) + f \left(4 \sum_{i < j} (|B_{ij}|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.5(a)}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left\| f \left(4 \sum_{i < j} (|A_{ij}|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left\| f \left(4 \sum_{i < j} (|B_{ij}|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m^2 - m} \left(\left\| \left\| \sum_{i < j} f \left((2m^2 - 2m) |A_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| \sum_{i < j} f \left((2m^2 - 2m) |B_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \right) \\ & \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.5(a)}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m^2 - m} \sum_{i < j} \left(\left\| \left\| f \left((2m^2 - 2m) |A_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| f \left((2m^2 - 2m) |B_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m^2 - m} \sum_{i < j} \left(\left\| \left\| f^p \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} A_{ii} \right) \right\| \right\|^{1/p} \left\| \left\| f^q \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} A_{jj} \right) \right\| \right\|^{1/q} + \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left\| \left\| f^p \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} B_{ii} \right) \right\| \right\|^{1/p} \left\| \left\| f^q \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} B_{jj} \right) \right\| \right\|^{1/q} \right) \\ & \quad (\text{by the inequalities (3.3) and (3.4)}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m^2 - m} \sum_{i < j} \left(\left(\left\| \left\| f^p \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} A_{ii} \right) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| f^p \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} B_{ii} \right) \right\| \right\| \right)^{1/p} \times \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left(\left\| \left\| f^q \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} A_{jj} \right) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| f^q \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} B_{jj} \right) \right\| \right\| \right)^{1/q} \right) \\ & \quad (\text{by Hölder's inequality}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{m^2 - m} \sum_{i < j} \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(\left\| \left\| f^p \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m \operatorname{Re} T_{ii}} \right) \right\| \right\| + \right)^{1/p} \\ \left\| \left\| f^p \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m \operatorname{Im} T_{ii}} \right) \right\| \right\| \\ \left(\left\| \left\| f^q \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m \operatorname{Re} T_{jj}} \right) \right\| \right\| + \right)^{1/q} \\ \left\| \left\| f^q \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m \operatorname{Im} T_{jj}} \right) \right\| \right\| \end{array} \right) \times \quad (3.5)$$

Since the matrices T_{ii} are accretive-dissipative for $i = 1, \dots, m$, it follows from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 that

$$\left\| \left\| f^p \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m \operatorname{Re} T_{ii}} \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f^p \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} |T_{ii}| \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (3.6)$$

$$\left\| \left\| f^p \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m \operatorname{Im} T_{ii}} \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f^p \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} |T_{ii}| \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (3.7)$$

$$\left\| \left\| f^q \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m \operatorname{Re} T_{ii}} \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f^q \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} |T_{ii}| \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\left\| \left\| f^q \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m \operatorname{Im} T_{ii}} \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f^q \left(\sqrt{2m^2 - 2m} |T_{ii}| \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (3.9)$$

Now, the result follows from the inequalities (3.5)-(3.9). \square

Note that the inequality (1.5) follows by taking $m = 2$ in the inequality (3.2). So, the inequality (3.2) gives a generalization to the inequality (1.5).

Theorem 3.3. *Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{mn}(\mathbb{C})$ be a partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1), and let $f \in \zeta$ be a function that is concave and submultiplicative and satisfying that $f(0) = 0$. If $p, q > 0$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then*

$$\left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq 4 \sum_{i < j} \left(\left\| \left\| f^p \left(|T_{ii}| \right) \right\| \right\|^{1/p} \left\| \left\| f^q \left(|T_{jj}| \right) \right\| \right\|^{1/q} \right). \quad (3.10)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i < j} |T_{ji}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} |A_{ij} + iB_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(\sum_{i < j} |A_{ij} - iB_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\ &\leq 2 \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{\sum_{i < j} (|A_{ij} + iB_{ij}|^2 + |A_{ij} - iB_{ij}|^2)}{2} \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.5(b)}) \\ &= 2 \left\| \left\| f \left(\sum_{i < j} (|A_{ij}|^2 + |B_{ij}|^2) \right) \right\| \right\| \\ &\leq 2 \left\| \left\| \sum_{i < j} f \left(|A_{ij}|^2 + |B_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.5(d)}) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i < j} \left\| \left\| f \left(|A_{ij}|^2 + |B_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i < j} \left\| \left\| f \left(|A_{ij}|^2 \right) + f \left(|B_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.5(d)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2 \sum_{i < j} \left(\left\| \left\| f \left(|A_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| f \left(|B_{ij}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \right) \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{i < j} \left(\left\| \left\| f^p (A_{ii}) \right\| \right\|^{1/p} \left\| \left\| f^q (A_{jj}) \right\| \right\|^{1/q} + \left\| \left\| f^p (B_{ii}) \right\| \right\|^{1/p} \left\| \left\| f^q (B_{jj}) \right\| \right\|^{1/q} \right) \\
&\quad \text{(by Lemma 3.1)} \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{i < j} \left(\left(\left\| \left\| f^p (A_{ii}) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| f^p (B_{ii}) \right\| \right\| \right)^{1/p} \left(\left\| \left\| f^q (A_{jj}) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| f^q (B_{jj}) \right\| \right\| \right)^{1/q} \right) \\
&\quad \text{(by Hölder's inequality)} \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{i \neq j} \left(\left(\left\| \left\| f^p (|T_{ii}|) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| f^p (|T_{ii}|) \right\| \right\| \right)^{1/p} \left(\left\| \left\| f^q (|T_{jj}|) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| f^q (|T_{jj}|) \right\| \right\| \right)^{1/q} \right) \\
&\quad \text{(by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3)} \\
&\leq 4 \sum_{i < j} \left(\left\| \left\| f^p (|T_{ii}|) \right\| \right\|^{1/p} \left\| \left\| f^q (|T_{jj}|) \right\| \right\|^{1/q} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that the inequality (1.6) follows by taking $m = 2$ in the inequality (3.10). So, the inequality (3.10) gives a generalization to the inequality (1.6).

4. SOME RESULTS FOR 2×2 BLOCK MATRICES

In this section, our results consider the case when T partitioned as in (1.1) with $m = 2$. Our first result in this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1), and let f be a function that is increasing on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$ such that $f(\sqrt{t})$ is convex. Then*

$$\left\| \left\| f (|T_{12}| + |T_{21}^*|) + f (||T_{12}| - |T_{21}^*||) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| f \left(\sqrt{2} |T| \right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Let $g(t) = f(\sqrt{t})$, $t \in [0, \infty)$. Then g is an increasing convex function on $[0, \infty)$.

Since $T = A + iB$ with $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{12}^* & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{12}^* & B_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ are positive semidefinite, it follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 that

$$\left\| \left\| g \left(8 |A_{12}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| g \left(2 (\operatorname{Re} T)^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \left\| g \left(8 |B_{12}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| g \left(2 (\operatorname{Im} T)^2 \right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (4.2)$$

Also, using Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\left\| \left\| g \left(2 (\operatorname{Re} T)^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| g \left(2 |T|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \left\| g \left(2 (\operatorname{Im} T)^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| g \left(2 |T|^2 \right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (4.3)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \left\| f (|T_{12}| + |T_{21}^*|) + f (||T_{12}| - |T_{21}^*||) \right\| \right\| \\
&= \left\| \left\| g \left((|T_{12}| + |T_{21}^*|)^2 \right) + g \left(||T_{12}| - |T_{21}^*||^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \left\| g \left((|T_{12}| + |T_{21}^*|)^2 + ||T_{12}| - |T_{21}^*||^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.5(c))} \\
&= \left\| \left\| g \left(2 |T_{12}|^2 + 2 |T_{21}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\
&= \left\| \left\| g \left(4 |A_{12}|^2 + 4 |B_{12}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left\| g \left(8 |A_{12}|^2 \right) + g \left(8 |B_{12}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \quad \text{(by Lemma 2.5(a))}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left\| g\left(8|A_{12}|^2\right) \right\| \right\| + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left\| g\left(8|B_{12}|^2\right) \right\| \right\| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left\| g\left(2(\operatorname{Re} T)^2\right) \right\| \right\| + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \left\| g\left(2(\operatorname{Im} T)^2\right) \right\| \right\| \quad (\text{by the inequalities (4.2)}) \\
 &\leq \left\| \left\| g\left(2|T|^2\right) \right\| \right\| \quad (\text{by the inequalities (4.3)}) \\
 &= \left\| \left\| f\left(\sqrt{2}|T|\right) \right\| \right\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

The following example asserts that the convexity of the function $f(\sqrt{t})$ given in Theorem 4.1 is essential and can not be replaced by $f(t)$ to be convex.

Example 4.2. Consider $T = A + iB = \begin{bmatrix} 1+i & 2i \\ 0 & 1+i \end{bmatrix}$. Then $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ -i & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ are positive semidefinite matrices. Take $f(t) = t$, then for the spectral norm $\|\cdot\|$, the right hand side of the inequality (4.1) equals 4 and the left hand side of the inequality (4.1) equals $\sqrt{8+4\sqrt{3}}$, but $4 \not\leq \sqrt{8+4\sqrt{3}}$.

The following corollary gives an application on Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.3. Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1). Then

$$\left\| \left(|T_{12}| + |T_{21}^*| \right)^p + \left| |T_{12}| - |T_{21}^*| \right|^p \right\| \leq 2^{p/2} \left\| \left\| |T|^p \right\| \right\| \quad \text{for all } p \geq 2.$$

Proof. The proof follows by applying the inequality (4.1) to the function $f(t) = t^p, p \geq 2$. □

Our second result in this section is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ be a partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1), and let f be a function that is increasing on $[0, \infty)$ with $f(0) = 0$ such that $f(\sqrt{t})$ is concave. Then

$$\left\| \left\| f\left(|T_{12}| + |T_{21}^*|\right) + f\left(\left| |T_{12}| - |T_{21}^*| \right|\right) \right\| \right\| \leq 4 \left\| \left\| f\left(\frac{|T|}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Let $g(t) = f(\sqrt{t}), t \in [0, \infty)$. Then g is a concave and increasing function on $[0, \infty)$.

Since $T = A + iB$ with $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{12}^* & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{12}^* & B_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ are positive semidefinite, using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we get that

$$\left\| \left\| g\left(2|A_{12}|^2\right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| g\left(\frac{(\operatorname{Re} T)^2}{2}\right) \right\| \right\| \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \left\| g\left(2|B_{12}|^2\right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| g\left(\frac{(\operatorname{Im} T)^2}{2}\right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (4.5)$$

Also, using Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\left\| \left\| g\left(\frac{(\operatorname{Re} T)^2}{2}\right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| g\left(\frac{|T|^2}{2}\right) \right\| \right\| \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \left\| g\left(\frac{(\operatorname{Im} T)^2}{2}\right) \right\| \right\| \leq \left\| \left\| g\left(\frac{|T|^2}{2}\right) \right\| \right\|. \quad (4.6)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left\| \left\| f\left(|T_{12}| + |T_{21}^*|\right) + f\left(\left| |T_{12}| - |T_{21}^*| \right|\right) \right\| \right\| \\
 &= \left\| \left\| g\left(\left(|T_{12}| + |T_{21}^*|\right)^2\right) + g\left(\left| |T_{12}| - |T_{21}^*| \right|^2\right) \right\| \right\|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2 \left\| \left\| g \left(\frac{(|T_{12}| + |T_{21}^*|)^2 + ||T_{12}| - |T_{21}^*||^2}{2} \right) \right\| \right\| && \text{(by Lemma 2.5(b))} \\
&= 2 \left\| \left\| g \left(|T_{12}|^2 + |T_{21}^*|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\
&= 2 \left\| \left\| g \left(2|A_{12}|^2 + 2|B_{12}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \\
&\leq 2 \left(\left\| \left\| g \left(2|A_{12}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| g \left(2|B_{12}|^2 \right) \right\| \right\| \right) \\
&\leq 2 \left(\left\| \left\| g \left(\frac{(\operatorname{Re} T)^2}{2} \right) \right\| \right\| + \left\| \left\| g \left(\frac{(\operatorname{Im} T)^2}{2} \right) \right\| \right\| \right) && \text{(by the inequalities (4.5))} \\
&\leq 4 \left\| \left\| g \left(\frac{|T|^2}{2} \right) \right\| \right\| && \text{(by the inequalities (4.6))} \\
&= 4 \left\| \left\| f \left(\frac{|T|}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right\| \right\|.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We conclude this paper by the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $T \in \mathbb{M}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ be partitioned accretive-dissipative matrix as given in (1.1). Then*

$$\left\| \left(|T_{12}| + |T_{21}^*| \right)^p + ||T_{12}| - |T_{21}^*||^p \right\| \leq 2^{2-p/2} \left\| \left\| |T|^p \right\| \right\| \quad \text{for all } 0 < p \leq 2.$$

Proof. The proof follows by applying the inequality (4.4) to the function $f(t) = t^p$, $0 < p \leq 2$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aujla, F. Silva, *Weak majorization inequalities and convex functions*, Linear Algebra Appl. **369** (2003), 217–233.
- [2] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [3] J.-C. Bourin, E.-Y. Lee, *Unitary orbits of Hermitian operators with convex or concave functions*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **44** (2012), 1085–1102.
- [4] J.-C. Bourin, M. Uchiyama, *A matrix subadditivity inequality for $f(A+B)$ and $f(A)+f(B)$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **423** (2007), 512–518.
- [5] Ky Fan, A.J. Hoffman, *Some metric inequalities in the space of matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1955), 111–116.
- [6] X. Fu, Y. Liu, *Rotfel'd inequality for partitioned matrices with numerical ranges in a sector*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **64** (2017), 105–109.
- [7] I.C. Gohberg and M.G. Krein, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators*, vol. 18, AMS, Providence, RI, Transl. Math. Monographs, 1969.
- [8] I.H. Gumus, O. Hirzallah, F. Kittaneh, *Norm inequalities involving accretive-dissipative 2×2 block matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **528** (2017), 76–93.
- [9] O. Hirzallah, F. Kittaneh, *Norm inequalities for weighted power means of operators*, Linear Algebra Appl. **314** (2002), 181–193.
- [10] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [11] T. Kosem, *Inequalities between $f(A+B)$ and $f(A)+f(B)$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **418** (2006), 153–160.
- [12] M. Lin, D. Zhou, *Norm inequalities for accretive-dissipative matrix matrices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **407** (2013), 436–442.
- [13] Y. Tao, *More results on singular value inequalities of matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **416** (2006), 724–729.
- [14] M. Uchiyama, *Subadditivity of eigenvalue sums*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), 1405–1412.
- [15] P. Zhang, *A further extension of Rotfel'd theorem*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **63** (2015), 2511–2517.
- [16] Y. Zhang, *Unitarily invariant norm inequalities for accretive-dissipative operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **412** (2014), 564–569.

Department of Basic Sciences, Al-Ahliyyah Amman University, Amman, Jordan

FADI ALRIMAWI: f.rimawi@ammanu.edu.jo

Department of Applied Science, Ajloun College, Al-Balqa Applied University, Ajloun 26816, Jordan

MOHAMMAD AL-KHLYLEH: m.khlyleh@bau.edu.jo

Basic Science Department, Middle East University, Amman, Jordan

FUAD A. ABUSHAHEEN: Fshaheen@meu.edu.jo

Received 15.07.2020; Revised 29.07.2020