

METHODS MFAI OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS AND TOPOLOGY

n-POWER-POSINORMAL OPERATORS

EL MOCTAR OULD BEIBA

ABSTRACT. $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ will denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . In [6], the authors proved that natural power of a posinormal operator is not in general posinormal. Precisely, they constructed an example of a posinormal operator with square not being posinormal. Given a positive integer n, the aim of this article is to study a class of operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ called *n*-power-posinormal. This class is invariant under natural power and contains any natural power of any posinormal operator and all *n*-power normal operators.

Позначимо через $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ алгебру всіх обмежених лінійних операторів у комплексному гільбертовім просторі Н. У [6] доведено, що цілий степінь позінормального оператора не обов'язково є позінормальним. Зокрема, був наведений приклад позінормального оператора, квадрат якого не є позінормальним. Метою цієї статті є дослідження класу n-степенево позінормальних операторів з $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, інваріантного відносно натуральних степенів, який містить натуральні степені позінормальних операторів та n-степенево нормальні оператори.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . Let $S, T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We will let T^* denote the adjoint of $T, \mathcal{N}(T)$ denote the null space of T and $\mathcal{R}(T)$ denote the range of T. Moreover, T is self-adjoint if $T^* = T$, T is positive $(T \ge 0)$ if it is self-adjoint and $\langle Tx, x \rangle \ge 0$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}, T \ge S$ if S and T are self-adjoint and $T - S \ge 0$ and T is hyponormal if $T^*T \ge TT^*$. [S,T] = ST - TS is the commutator of S, T.

Adnan Jibril [4] generalized the concept of a normal operator to the concept of the *n*-power normal operator $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, T is *n*-power normal if $T^n T^* = T^* T^n$. He showed that T is n-power normal if and only if T^n is normal.

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. P is said to be an interrupter for T if it satisfies the equation

$$TT^* = T^*PT.$$

From the last equation, if T is not the zero operator, we get that the operator norm of the interrupter P satisfies $||P|| \ge 1$. Rhaly [8] introduced a class of posinormal operators. An operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be posinormal if it has a positive interrupter or equivalently if there exists a positive operator P such that the self commutator $[T^*, T]$ of T verifies the equation

$$[T^*, T] = T^*(I - P)T,$$

where I stands for the identity operator. T is called coposinormal if its adjoint is posinormal.

Normal operators are obviously posinornormal. Rhaly proved (see [8], Corollary 2.1) that hyponormal operators are posinormal. From the last statement, using the fact that a hyponormal operator needs not to be normal, we get that a posinormal operator is not necessarily normal.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B20.

Keywords. Posinormal Operators, n-power-Posinormal Operators, Interrupter.

It is easy to verify that if V is an isometry and T is posinormal with interrupter P, then V^*TV is posinormal with interrupter V^*PV . Consequently, two unitarily equivalent operators are both posinormal or both nonposinormal.

A natural power of a posinormal operator is not in general posinormal. Indeed, Kubrusly *et al.* (see [6], Example 1) proved that there is a posinormal operator with square not posinormal.

The class what we introduce in the present paper, namely the class of *n*-power-posinomal operator, contains posinormal operators as a subclass and is invariant under positive integer power.

2. Fundamental Properties of *n*-power-Posinormal Operators

We start with a definition of what we call an n-interrupter.

Definition 2.1. Let n be a positive integer, T and S be operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. S is said to be an *n*-interrupter for T if

$$T^n T^{*n} = T^* ST.$$
 (2.1)

Note that a 1-interrupter is an interrupter as described above.

Remark 2.2. It is easy to check from (2.1) that if S serves as an *n*-interrupter for a nonzero operator, then $||S|| \ge \frac{||T^n||^2}{||T||^2}$, where ||S|| is the operator norm of S. In particular if n = 1, we have $||S|| \ge 1$.

Proposition 2.3. If S is n-interrupter for T, then

$$\langle Sy, y \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall y \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}.$$

Proof. From Definition 2.1, we obtain $||T^{*n}x||^2 = \langle ST(x), Tx \rangle$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus, $\langle Sy, y \rangle \geq 0$, for all $y \in \mathcal{R}(T)$. Therefore, $\langle Sy, y \rangle \geq 0$, for all $y \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$.

As a direct consequence of Proposition 2.3, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. If T has dense range, then any n-interrupter for T is positive.

Proof. Since $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)} = \mathcal{H}$, the corollary follows from Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 2.5. If T has dense range, then T has at most one n-interrupter.

Proof. Let S_1 and S_2 be *n*-interrupters for T. We have $T^*S_2T = T^*S_1T$ which gives $T^*(S_2 - S_1)T = 0$. Since the range of T is dense, we obtain $T^*(S_2 - S_1) = 0$. Applying again the fact that T has dense range, we get that T^* is one to one. Thus, we obtain from the later identity $S_2 - S_1 = 0$. Therefore, $S_2 = S_1$.

We need the two following results:

Theorem 2.6. [[1], Theorem 1] Let A and B be bounded operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The following statements are equivalent:

(1) $\mathcal{R}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B);$ (2) $AA^* \leq \mu^2 BB^*$ for some $\mu \geq 0;$

(3) There exists a bounded operator C so that A = BC.

Moreover, if (1), (2) and (3) hold, then there is a unique operator T such that (a) $||T||^2 = \inf\{\mu, AA^* \le \mu^2 BB^*\};$

(b) $\mathcal{N}(A) = \mathcal{N}(T)$;

$$(c) \ \mathcal{R}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(B^*).$$

EL MOCTAR OULD BEIBA

Theorem 2.7. [[8], Theorem 2.1] For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the following statements are equivalent: (1) T is posinormal;

- (2) $\mathcal{R}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T^*);$
- (3) $TT^* \leq \lambda^2 T^*T$ or equivalently $||T^*x|| \leq \lambda ||Tx||, x \in \mathcal{H}$ for some $\lambda \geq 0$;
- (4) There exists a bounded operator C so that $T = T^*C$.
- Moreover, if (1), (2), (3) and (4) hold, then there is a unique operator S such that (i) $||S||^2 = \inf\{\lambda, TT^* \leq \lambda^2 T^*T\};$
- $\begin{array}{c} (i) \quad \mathcal{N}(T) = \mathcal{N}(S) ; \end{array}$
- (*iii*) $\mathcal{R}(S) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$.

We observe from (2) in Theorem 2.7 that if T is posinormal then $\mathcal{R}(T^n) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T^*)$ for any positive integer n. Starting from this observation, and since our goal is to introduce a new class of operators containing posinormal operators, we take this necessary condition for posinormality as a definition of the new concept. This leads to the following definition:

Definition 2.8. Let *n* be a positive integer and $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. *T* is *n*-power-posinormal if $\mathcal{R}(T^n) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T^*)$, and *T* is *n*-power-coposinormal if T^* is *n*-power-posinormal.

Remark 2.9. The class of 1-power-posinormal operators is the well known class of posinormal operators introduced by Rhaly in [8].

Remark 2.10. If $\mathcal{N}(T) = \{0\}$, then T^* is surjective. Consequently, T is *n*-power-posinormal for any positive integer n.

Remark 2.11. Let n be a positive integer. It is easy to check that the following statements hold:

- (a) If T is n-power-posinormal, then T is m-power-posinormal for any integer $m \ge n$;
- (b) If T is n-power-posinormal, then $\mathcal{N}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{N}(T^{*n})$;
- (c) If T is n-power-posinormal, then $\mathcal{N}(T^{n+1}) = \mathcal{N}(T^n)$;
- (d) If T is n-power-posinormal, then $\mathcal{N}(T^{k+1}) = \mathcal{N}(T^k)$ for $k \ge n$;
- (e) If T is n-power-posinormal, then T is n + 1-power-posinormal;
- (f) If T is posinormal, then T^k is n-power-posinormal for any positive integer k.

Remark 2.12. In ([6], Example 1), the authors constructed a posinormal operator T for which the square T^2 is not posinormal. From (f) in Remark 2.11 (take k = 1), T is 2-power-posinormal. This example shows that *n*-power-posinormality of T does not imply that T^n is posinormal.

Theorem 2.13. For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) T has positive n-interrupter;
- (2) $T^n T^{*n} \leq \lambda^2 T^* T$ or equivalently $||T^{*n} x|| \leq \lambda ||Tx||, x \in \mathcal{H}$ for some $\lambda > 0$;
- (3) T is n-power-posinormal : $\mathcal{R}(T^n) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T^*)$;
- (4) There exists $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $T^n = T^*C$.

Moreover, if (1), (2), (3) and (4) hold, then there is a unique operator S such that (i) $||S||^2 = \inf\{\lambda, T^nT^{n*} \leq \lambda^2T^*T\};$

- (*ii*) $\mathcal{N}(T^n) = \mathcal{N}(S)$;
- (*iii*) $\mathcal{R}(S) \subset \overline{\mathcal{R}(T^n)}$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2) : If $T^n T^{*n} = T^* PT$ with P positive, we get

$$\langle T^n T^{*n} x, x \rangle = \langle \sqrt{P} T x, \sqrt{P} T x \rangle = \|\sqrt{P} T x\|^2 \le \|\sqrt{P}\|^2 \|Tx\|^2 = \|\sqrt{P}\|^2 \langle T^* T x, x \rangle.$$

Thus (2) holds with $\lambda > \|\sqrt{P}\|$.

Applying Theorem 2.6, by taking $A = T^n$ and $B = T^*$, we obtain the equivalences $(2) \iff (3) \iff (4)$. If (4) holds then (1) holds by taking P = C C.

To get (i), (ii) and (iii), take $A = T^n$ and $B = T^*$ in (a), (b) and (c), respectively, in Theorem 2.6.

The following example shows that a 3-power-posinormal weighted shift is not necessarily posinormal.

Example 2.14. Let $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Define an operator T on \mathcal{H} by

$$Te_1 = e_2, Te_2 = 2e_3, Te_3 = 0, Te_k = e_{k+1}, k \ge 4.$$

A simple calculation yields

$$T^*e_1 = 0, \ T^*e_2 = 2e_1, \ T^*e_3 = 2e_2, \ T^*e_4 = 0, \ T^*e_k = e_{k-1}, \ k \ge 5.$$
 (2.2)

Observe that $e_3 \in \mathcal{N}(T)$ but $e_3 \notin \mathcal{N}(T^*)$. Thus, T is not posinormal.

Iterating, we obtain

$$T^3 e_k = 0, k = 1, 2, 3 \text{ and } T^3 e_k = e_{k+3}, k \ge 4.$$
 (2.3)

From (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain $T^3e_k \in \mathcal{R}(T^*)$ for $k \geq 1$. Thus $\mathcal{R}(T^3) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T^*)$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.13, T is 3-power-posinormal.

Theorem 2.15. Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If T has dense range and an n-interrupter, then T is *n*-power-posinormal.

Proof. This follows from (1) in Theorem 2.13 and Corollary 2.4. \Box

Remark 2.16. We observe from (1) in Theorem 2.13 that if T is n-power-posinormal with P as an n-interrupter and V is an isometry, then VTV^* is n-power-posinormal with VPV^* as n-interrupter. Consequently, n-power-posinotmality is a unitary invariant.

Theorem 2.17. T^n is k-power-posinormal if and only if T is nk-power-posinormal.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{R}(T^{nk}) = \mathcal{R}((T^n)^k)$, we have

$$\mathcal{R}(T^{nk}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T^*) \iff \mathcal{R}((T^n)^k) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T^*).$$

This yields the desired equivalence.

Corollary 2.18. *T* is *n*-power-posinormal if one of the following statements holds:

(1) T^n is hyponormal;

(2) T is n-power normal.

Proof. (1) The statement follows from Corollary 2.1 [8] and Theorem 2.17.

(2) If T is n-power normal then T^n is normal (see [4]) and thus T^n is posinormal. Applying Theorem 2.17, we obtain that T is n-power-posinormal.

Proposition 2.19. If T is n-power-posinormal and $\mathcal{R}(T) = \mathcal{R}(T^n)$, then T is posinormal.

Proof. If T is n-power-posinormal, we have $\mathcal{R}(T^n) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T^*)$. Since $\mathcal{R}(T) = \mathcal{R}(T^n)$ we obtain $\mathcal{R}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(T^*)$. Thus, from (2) in Theorem 2.7, T is posinormal.

Proposition 2.20. If T is k + n-power-posinormal such that T^{*n} is an isometry, then T is k-power-posinormal.

Proof. Let P be a positive n + k-interrupter for T. We have

 $T^{k+n}T^{*n+k} = T^*PT.$ Since $T^nT^{*n} = I$, we have $T^{k+n}T^{*n+k} = T^kT^{*k}$. Thus $T^kT^{*k} = T^*PT.$

Therefore, T is k-power-posinormal.

Corollary 2.21. If T^n is posinormal and T^{*n-1} is an isometry, then T is posinormal.

Proof. Straightforward from Theorem 2.17 and Proposition 2.20.

Proposition 2.22. If T is 2-power-posinormal and T^* is an isometry, then T is unitary. *Proof.* From Proposition 2.20, we obtain that T is posinormal. Let P be an interrupter

Proof. From Proposition 2.20, we obtain that T is posinormal. Let P be an interrupter for T. We have, $TT^* = T^* PT.$

Since T^* is an isometry, we have $TT^* = I$. This gives $I = T^* PT$

Multiplying the later identity from the left by T and from the right by T^* , we obtain

$$I = TT^* = P$$

Thus, we have $I=TT^{\ast}=T^{\ast}T$. This achieves the proof.

3. Other Characterization of *n*-power-Posinormal Operators

Masuo Ito obtained the following characterization of posinormal operators:

Theorem 3.1. [[3], Theorem 2] T is posinormal if and only if, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\left|\left\langle T|T|x,y\right\rangle\right| \le \lambda |||T|x|| |||T|y||, \ x,y \in \mathcal{H}.$$
(3.4)

We give a generalization of Theorem 3.1 to the class of n-power-posinormal operators. For that we need the following result due to Fuji *et al.* (see [2]).

Theorem 3.2. [2] Let $A \ge 0$ and $B \ge 0$. If $T^*T \le A^2$ and $TT^* \le B^2$ the inequality $|\langle T|T|^{p+q-1}x, y \rangle| \le \lambda ||A^p|x|| ||B^q|y||$ (3.5)

holds for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}, \ 0 \le p, q \le 1$ with $p + q \ge 1$

Proposition 3.3. If T is n-power-posinormal operator, then there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that $|\langle T^n | T^n |^{p+q-1}x, y \rangle| \le \lambda |||T^n|^p x||||\lambda^q |T|^q y||$ (3.6)

holds for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}, \ 0 \le p, q \le 1$ with $p + q \ge 1$

Proof. Taking in (3.5), $A = |T^n|$ and $B = \lambda |T|$ and $\lambda > 0$ as in the statement (2) of Theorem (2.13), we obtain

$$\left\langle T^{n}|T^{n}|^{p+q-1}x,y\right\rangle \leq \lambda \||T^{n}|^{p}x\|\|\lambda^{q}|T|^{q}y\|$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}, 0 \le p, q \le 1$ with $p + q \ge 1$.

Corollary 3.4. T is n-power-posinormal if and only if, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\left|\left\langle T^{n}|T^{n}|x,y\right\rangle\right| \leq \lambda \||T^{n}|x\|\|\|T|y\|, \quad \forall x,y \in \mathcal{H}.$$
(3.7)

Proof. The direct statement follows immediately from Proposition 3.3 by putting p = q = 1 in (3.6).

Conversely, suppose that (3.7) holds. Let $T^n = U|T^n|$ be the polar decomposition of T^n . Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Applying (3.7) to vectors U^*x and x, we obtain

$$\left|\left\langle T^{n}|T^{n}|U^{*}x,x\right\rangle\right| \leq \lambda \||T^{n}|U^{*}x\|\||T|x\|$$

which can be written

$$\left|\left\langle T^n T^{*n} x, x\right\rangle\right| \le \lambda \|T^{*n} x\| \||T|x\|.$$

Thus, $||T^{*n}x||^2 \leq \lambda ||T^{*n}x|| ||T|x||$. This yields $||T^{*n}x||^2 \leq \lambda ||T|x||^2$. Since $||T|x||^2 = ||Tx||^2$ for $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have then proved that there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$|T^{*n}x||^2 \le \lambda^2 ||Tx||^2, \ x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.13, T is n-power-posinormal. This completes the proof.

4. Examples

This section is devoted to give examples which illustrate various aspects of *n*-powerposinormality. The following proposition allows to calculate an n-interrupter for the power of posinormal operator.

Proposition 4.1. If T is posinormal and P is an interrupter for T then $(PT)^{n-1}P(T^*P)^{n-1}$ is an n-interrupter for T.

Proof. By induction : It is obvious that the statement is true for n = 1. Suppose that is true for n. We have

$$T^{n+1}T^{*n+1} = TT^nT^*T^* = TT^*(PT)^{n-1}P(T^*P)^{n-1}TT^*.$$

Since $TT^* = T^*PT$, we obtain

$$T^{n+1}T^{*n+1} = TT^nT^*T^* = T^*PT(PT)^{n-1}P(T^*P)^{n-1}T^*PT = T^*(PT)^nP(T^*P)^nT.$$

hus the property is true for $n+1$.

Thus the property is true for n+1.

Example 4.2. Let $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2$ and $\{e_n, n = 0, 1, ...\}$ its standard basis. The Cesàro operator on \mathcal{H} is defined by

$$Ce_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k+1} e_k, \ n = 0, 1, \dots$$

By routine computation, one gets

$$C^*e_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n e_k, \ n = 0, 1, \dots$$

C is posinormal (see [8]) with interrupter the diagonal operator P with diagonal entries $a_{nn} = (n+1)/(n+2)$ for $n = 0, 1, \cdots$ Applying the statement (f) of Remark 2.11 (take k = 1) and the Proposition 4.1, we obtain that C is n-power-posinormal for any n with *n*-interrupter $(PC)^{n-1}P(C^*P)^{n-1}$.

Example 4.3. Unilateral weighted shifts are posinormal (see Proposition 1.1 [8]). Thus these operators are n-power-posinormal operator for any positive integer n.

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The hereditary functional calculus defines $p(T) = \sum_{m,n>0} c_{m,n} T^{*n} T^m$ for a polynomial $p(x, y) = \sum_{m,n \ge 0} c_{m,n} x^m y^n \in \mathbb{C}[x, y],$ where $c_{m,n}$ is the coefficient of $x^m y^n$ in p (see [7, 9]).

Proposition 4.4. Let T be a root of $p(x, y) = x^n + yq(x, y)$, then T is n-power-posinormal.

Proof. If T is a root of p, we get, from p(T) = 0, that $T^n = TC$, where C = q(T). Therefore, by the statement (4) of Theorem 2.13, T is *n*-power-posinormal.

As a consequences of Proposition 4.4, we get that If T is (m, n)-isosymetry (T is a root of $p(x,y) = (yx-1)^m (y-x)^n$, then T is n-power-posinormal. In particular, if T is *n*-symetry (T is a root of $p(x,y) = (y-x)^n$), then T is *n*-power-posinormal.

Acknowledgements

The author would thank the anonymous referee for valuable and helpful comments and suggestions. The referee proposed two interesting similarity questions concerning *n*-power-posinormal operators.

EL MOCTAR OULD BEIBA

References

- R. G. Douglas, On Majorization, Factorization, and Range Inclusion of Operators on Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 17 (1966), 413-415, doi:10.1090/S0002-9939-1966-0203464-1.
- [2] M. Fujii, R. Nakamoto and H. Watanabe, The Hienz-Kato-Furuta Inequality and Hyponormal operators, Math. Japonica, 40 (1994), 469-472.
- [3] Masuo Itoh, Characterization of Posinormal Operators, Nihonkai Math. J. Vol. 11 (2000), 97-101.
- [4] Adnan A. S. Jibril, On n-Power Normal Operators, The Arabian Journal for Sciences and Engineering, Vol. 33, No. 2A, 2008, 247 - 251.
- [5] C. S. Kubrusly, Spectral Theory of Operators on hilbert Spaces, Birkhauser/Springer, New York, 2012, doi:10.1007/978-0-8176-8328-3.
- [6] C. S. Kubrusly, P. C. M. Vieira and J. Zanni, Powers of Posinormal Operators, Operators and Matrices, 10 (2016), 15-27, doi:10.10.7153/oam-10-02.
- Scott A. McCullough and Leiba Rodman, *Hereditary Classes of Operators and Matrices*, American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 104. No. 5 (May, 1997), pp. 415-430, doi:10.1080/00029890.1997. 11990659.
- [8] H. Crawford Rhaly, Jr., Posinormal operators, J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. 46, No. 4, 1994, 587-605, doi:10.2969/jmsj/04640587.
- M. Stankus, m-Isometries, n-symmetries and other linear transformations which are hereditary roots, Integr. Equat. Oper. Theory, 75 (2013), 301-321, doi:10.1007/s00020-012-2026-0.

EL MOCTAR OULD BEIBA: elbeiba@yahoo.fr, elmoctar.beiba@fst.e-una.mr Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences

Faculty of Sciences and Techniques, University of Nouakchott Al Aasriya P.O. Box 5026, Nouakchott, Mauritania

Received 14/04/2020; Revised 19/02/2021